Interdealer Price Dispersion^{*}

PRELIMINARY AND INCOMPLETE, PLEASE DO NOT CIRCULATE

Andrea L. Eisfeldt[†], Bernard Herskovic[‡], Shuo Liu[§] Current Draft: January 31, 2023

Abstract

Intermediation capacity varies across dealers and, as a result, misallocation of credit risk reduces the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector and increases effective marketlevel risk aversion. When the efficient reallocation of credit risk within the dealer sector is impaired, interdealer price dispersion increases. Empirically, interdealer price dispersion is a strong determinant of yield spread changes. When interdealer price dispersion is high, bond prices are low. Interdealer price dispersion explains a substantial portion of bond yield spread changes, the cross-section of bond returns, and the basis between yield spread changes and changes in fair-value spreads. We conclude that frictions *within* the dealer sector reduce the risk-bearing capacity of intermediaries and are thus crucial for intermediary bond pricing.

Keywords: OTC markets, intermediaries, dealers, corporate bonds, networks

^{*}We thank seminar participants at UCLA Finance Brown Bag, Lubrafin, and UBC Summer Finance Conference for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank Bruno Giovannetti (discussant) for insightful suggestions and feedback.

[†]Finance Area, Anderson School of Management, University of California, Los Angeles, and NBER.

[‡]Finance Area, Anderson School of Management, University of California, Los Angeles, and NBER.

[§]Department of Finance, School of Economics and Management, Tsinghua University

1 Introduction

Interdealer price dispersion explains a substantial amount of the variation in changes in corporate bond yields and is a priced risk factor in the cross section of bond returns. We argue that this is because interdealer price dispersion arises due to frictions within the dealer sector that lead to a misallocation of credit risk among intermediaries. Risk misallocation reduces dealer-sector risk-bearing capacity and increases effective market-level risk aversion due to worse risk sharing.

We measure interdealer price dispersion as the cross-sectional dispersion in bond yields of interdealer trades of the same bond at a given moment in time. Without frictions, bond dealers should optimally reallocate risk, and interdealer price dispersion should be zero in a competitive market. However, we observe in the data that interdealer price dispersion is significant and varies over time. It is high when dealers with additional credit-risk capacity only partially exploit the gains from trading with other dealers who wish to reduce their credit exposure. When it is more costly to reallocate credit risk efficiently, the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector is impaired. Bond prices are lower, and credit spreads are higher.

In addition to explaining changes in bond yields and being a priced risk factor in the cross section of bond returns, changes in interdealer price dispersion also explain changes in the basis between credit spreads from OTC market data and credit spreads constructed using exchange-traded equity data and leverage ratios. This finding supports the idea that the explanatory power of interdealer price dispersion reflects frictions within the dealer sector in an over-the-counter (OTC) market.

When interdealer price dispersion increases, bond yield spreads increase as well. Interdealer price dispersion explains a substantial portion of the common component in the residuals from a regression of yield spread changes on fundamental credit risk variables (Collin-Dufresne et al., 2001). Shocks to interdealer price dispersion are a priced risk factor in corporate bond markets, and bonds with higher exposure to increases in interdealer price dispersion earn a positive risk premium.

The risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector has been the focus of a large and growing empirical literature in asset pricing (Adrian, Etula, and Muir, 2014; He, Kelly, and Manela, 2017; Haddad and Muir, 2021). The theoretical motivation for these models typically employs a representative intermediary (see He and Krishnamurthy, 2013; Brunnermeier and Sannikov, 2014) and suggests using equity-weighted intermediary-sector leverage as the intermediary asset pricing factor. However, not all intermediaries are created equal for asset pricing, and straight equity weighting may not be best. Several new studies point to the importance of individual dealers in determining asset prices (Siriwardane, 2019; Siriwardane, Sunderam, and Wallen, 2021; Lewis, Longstaff, and Petrasek, 2017). Consistent with these findings, Eisfeldt, Herskovic, Rajan, and Siriwardane (forthcoming) document large heterogeneity across dealers in their net credit default swap positions and build a model to illustrate the resulting systemic risk in credit markets. Other recent studies point to an increase in interdealer frictions since the Global Financial Crisis (Copeland, Duffie, and Yang, 2021; Correa, Du, and Liao, 2020), indicating that the impact of dealer heterogeneity may have increased since 2008.

The reason why the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector in the presence of interdealer frictions depends on dealer heterogeneity can be understood in the context of an efficiency argument. The more efficient the allocation of credit risk among dealers—that is, the greater the ability of the dealer sector to equate participants' marginal costs of risk-bearing—the larger the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector is. The intuition is the same as why output is higher among producers with different productivities when capital is allocated to equate marginal products than when there is misallocation (Hsieh and Klenow, 2009). In asset pricing, the fact that misallocation leads to lower prices and higher risk premia is the core concept behind intermediary asset pricing. However, standard models feature only two types of agents, intermediaries and households, with frictionless interdealer markets and a representative-agent dealer sector.¹

Consider the corporate bond dealer sector. Each dealer has exposure to credit risk at any given point in time, which results from prior trade in bonds, loans, or derivatives. In a Walrasian market, these dealers would trade at a single market-clearing price to equalize credit exposures, and the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector would be independent of the initial allocation of risk.² In practice, the most intermediated markets are over-thecounter (OTC) markets (see Haddad and Muir, 2021). There is no one market-clearing price for OTC assets, even for bilateral trades within the dealer sector. If trading frictions prevent dealers from equalizing their exposures, the resulting dispersion in their marginal cost of risk-bearing will result in bilateral price dispersion.

For example, in the OTC network model of Eisfeldt et al. (forthcoming), prices are a weighted average of bilateral counterparties' marginal costs of risk-bearing.³ If two dealers with large pre-trade risk exposures transact, bond prices will be lower than in a trade between

¹See Kargar (2021) for a model with two types of intermediaries and households, and Eisfeldt, Lustig, and Zhang (2021) for a model in which the joint distribution of wealth and expertise determines aggregate risk-bearing capacity. See also Bretscher, Schmid, Sen, and Sharma (2020), which emphasizes the role of heterogeneous institutional bond holders in a demand-system asset pricing model.

 $^{^{2}}$ For an important early paper modeling a Walrasian interdealer market with an emphasis on frictions in customer trading, see (Duffie et al., 2005).

³See Atkeson et al. (2015) for a related result in a search model.

two less exposed dealers, with lower marginal costs of bearing additional credit risk. Thus, price dispersion within the dealer sector reflects the inability of dealers to efficiently reallocate credit risk and maximize the potential capacity of the dealer sector to absorb credit risk.

We provide substantial evidence that interdealer price dispersion in the corporate bond market reflects impairment to the risk-bearing capacity of the dealer sector. We construct a dataset containing all interdealer corporate bond trades using TRACE data and dealer-level proxies for corporate bond positions from past transactions. We merge this data with data on corporate bond yields and fair-value spreads constructed using equity-market data and a structural model.

We document four main results. First, in a panel regression setting, we show that changes in interdealer price dispersion are positively related to changes in yield spreads. A one percentage point increase in interdealer price dispersion is associated with around 78 basis point increase in yield spreads. Our finding is robust to various controls, including fundamentalbased variables from Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001), the default factor from Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008), risk factors from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017), risk factors from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019), and OTC-based frictions variables from Friedwald and Nagler (2019).

Second, we find interdealer price dispersion to explain a substantial fraction of the basis between bond spreads from the OTC market and fair-value spreads, where we construct fairvalue spreads via a structural model using exchange-traded equity volatility and leverage data. A one percentage point increase in interdealer price dispersion is associated with around 60 basis point increase in the bond basis—that is, the difference between yield spreads and fair-value spreads. Hence, interdealer price dispersion widens the gap between yield spreads and fair-value spreads, consistent with the idea that part of the bond basis between OTC market bond trades and bond spreads from a structural model and equity-market data is due to interdealer frictions. Again, our findings are robust to the same set of controls listed above.

Third, interdealer price dispersion explains a substantial fraction of the common component in residuals from a regression of yield spread changes on fundamental credit-risk variables. Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001) documented that these residuals feature a strong factor structure, in which the first principal component explains about 20-25% of the total variation. Explaining the first principal component is crucial for our understanding of bond prices. Our measure of interdealer price dispersion helps to explain variation in this first principal component, adding about 10 to 15 percentage points to the coefficient of determination of various specifications from the literature (Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu, 2008; He, Kelly, and Manela, 2017; He, Khorrami, and Song, 2019;

Friedwald and Nagler, 2019).

Finally, we document that interdealer price dispersion carries a negative price of risk in the cross-section of duration-times-spreads sorted portfolios of bonds as well as in the crosssection of bonds double-sorted on maturity and size. Bond yields tend to increase when interdealer price dispersion goes up—in terms of returns, bond returns decrease, and bonds typically have a negative beta with respect to interdealer price dispersion. We find that bonds more exposed to interdealer price dispersion have higher average returns. Exposure to shocks to interdealer price dispersion earns a positive risk premium. Interdealer price dispersion has a negative price of risk, consistent with the idea that higher dispersion indicates a less efficient allocation of risk and lower risk-bearing capacity (i.e. higher effective dealer-sector risk aversion).

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows: Sections 2 and 3 describe our data and the construction of interdealer price dispersion, respectively. Section 4 describes our main empirical estimations and results. Section 5 presents robustness practices which construct alternative interdealer price dispersion by controlling for within-month volatility of bond yields. Section 6 presents several other robustness exercises and Section 7 concludes.

2 Data Description

We use the enhanced Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine (TRACE) data set from January 2004 to December 2019 as the main data set for interdealer price dispersion and bond credit spreads. This data set provides counterparty information. In particular, it allows us to identify interdealer trades vs. trades involving customers as counterparties. The financial institutions registered as member firms of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) are labeled dealers in TRACE.⁴ We filter the data following the standard procedure in Dick-Nielsen (2014). Then we merge the filtered data set with the Mergent Fixed Income Securities Database (FISD) to obtain bond fundamental characteristics. We exclude variable-coupon, convertible, exchangeable, puttable and newly issued bonds. We also exclude asset-backed securities, privately placed instruments, and bonds denominated in foreign currencies or issued by foreign companies.

We use the academic version of the TRACE data to compute dealers' cumulative inventory of bonds, and we use the data on bond-level fair value spreads from Chang, d'Avernas, and Eisfeldt (2021) to calculate the OTC bond basis, which measures the non-default com-

⁴Member firms of the FINRA mainly include broker-dealers, exchanges and crowdfunding portals. Member firms must submit reports to FINRA after completing corporate bond transactions. The reports include detailed information on realized transactions, including bond ID, counterparty ID, price, volume, execution time, etc. Each report must be submitted within 15 minutes since the corresponding transaction happens.

ponent of the credit spread. For data describing all other bond- and market-level controls, we next merge our data with CRSP, Compustat, OptionMetrics, Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) and the economic data from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis to obtain issuers' and primary dealers' equity prices and accounting information, the Standard & Poor's (S&P) 500 index, the volatility index (VIX), the 10-year Treasury constant maturity rate, the slope of the yield curve, implied volatilities of options on S&P 500 futures, and the effective yields of U.S. investment-grade (IG) and high-yield (HY) corporate bond portfolios.

The merged data sample consists of monthly observations at the bond level. Following the standard practice in the academic literature, we only consider bonds for which we have at least 25 observations of monthly credit spread/basis changes. Our final data sample includes 10,537 bonds issued by 5,869 firms. The total outstanding amount of all the bonds is 19.6 billion dollars, with 73% rated BBB and above. The average age is 3.2 years, the average time-to-maturity is 7.2 years, and the average trade size is \$880,000 across all transactions.

3 Interdealer Price Dispersion

We construct a measure of the interdealer price dispersion as the cross-sectional standard deviation of yields of interdealer transactions. First, for each bond i in month t, we compute interdealer price dispersion as:

$$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i,t}} (yd_{j,i,t} - \overline{yd}_{i,t})^2}{N_{i,t} - 1}},$$
(1)

where $N_{i,t}$ is the total number of interdealer transactions of bond *i* at month *t*, and $yd_{j,i,t}$ is the yield of interdealer transaction *j*. Second, we compute the average dispersion across all bonds in a given month as our main measure of interdealer price dispersion (D2D Dispersion):

$$\sigma_t^{D2D} = \frac{1}{M_t} \sum_i \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D},\tag{2}$$

where M_t is the number of bonds traded in month t.

3.1 Risk Bearing Capacity Heterogeneity

To verify this intuition, we construct a measure of dealers' risk-bearing capacity heterogeneity as their heterogeneity in their bond inventories. The basic idea is that dealers will be willing to accumulate larger inventories when they are less averse to bearing credit risk (e.g., due to a higher credit rating, looser regulation, or a "risk-on" view). First, we compute total exposure to credit risk from dealers' bond inventory:

$$RC_{d,t} = \sum_{i \in I_d} Inv_{d,i,t} \times DTS_{i,t},$$
(3)

where $RC_{d,t}$ is the risk-bearing capacity of dealer d in month t, I_d is the set of bond traded by dealer d, $Inv_{d,i,t}$ is dealer-d's cumulative inventory of bond-i, $DTS_{i,t}$ is bond-i's durationtime-spread. We follow Ben Dor et al. (2007), and use duration-times-spread (DTS) as a proxy for bond-level exposure (β) to bond-market risk. The DTS-weighted cumulative inventory is our measure of dealers' risk-bearing capacity and it includes exposure to aggregate credit risk from all bonds traded by dealer d. The cumulative inventory of bond i held by dealer d in month t is calculated according to the following inventory model:

$$Inv_{d,i,t} = Inv_{d,i,0} + \sum_{s=1}^{t} q_{d,i,s},$$
(4)

where $q_{d,i,s}$ is the signed net trading volume of bond *i* traded by dealer *d* in month *s*, and $Inv_{d,i,0}$ is the initial inventory. The signed net trading volume $(q_{d,i,s})$ is positive if dealer *i* is a net buyer of bond *i* in month *s*, and negative if the dealer is a net seller. We set the initial level of inventory, $Inv_{d,i,0}$, to zero for all dealers and bonds.

3.2 Stylized Facts

In this section, we establish four stylized facts, namely, that (1) interdealer price dispersion exists, (2) it varies substantially over time, (3) higher price dispersion is associated with higher dispersion in dealer-level inventories, and (4) higher price dispersion is associated with higher bond yields.

[Figure 1 about here.]

Figure 1 plots our measure of interdealer price dispersion over time (red solid line). On average interdealer price dispersion is 40.5 basis points, but there is significant time variation. For example, in September 2008, dealers' corporate bond trades displayed price dispersion of over 400 basis points at the height of the Global Financial Crisis. Figure 1 plots the cross-sectional dispersion of dealers' risk-bearing capacity. The black dashed line is the cross-sectional inter-quartile range of dealers' risk-bearing capacity, which comoves strongly with interdealer price dispersion. The two series share a correlation of 55.8%. Consistent with our previous intuition, when dealers are more heterogeneous (i.e., higher dispersion in risk-bearing capacity), there is more price dispersion in the interdealer market for the same bond in a given month. Thus, this Figure shows that interdealer price dispersion exists and varies systematically with dispersion in dealer inventories and with known episodes of interdealer market disruptions.

These facts can be understood in the context of models in which frictions in interdealer markets prevent dealers from exploiting gains from trade and from efficiently reallocating risk within the dealer sector (Eisfeldt et al., forthcoming; Atkeson et al., 2015). Essentially, any trading friction in the interdealer market will prevent dealers from fully taking advantage of price dispersion by buying low and selling high. In the models developed in Eisfeldt et al. (forthcoming); Atkeson et al. (2015), prices reflect the weighted average of counterparties' risk-bearing capacities. When trade is inhibited by transaction costs, information asymmetries, or search frictions, the dealer sector fails to execute trades that would reallocate risk more efficiently. As a result, at any point in time, we observe trades amongst sets of dealers who have not equated their marginal costs of risk-bearing (or, equivalently, their marginal valuations of the asset absent trading costs). Across pairs of counterparties, we observe trades at higher and lower prices. Higher price trades occur between less well-positioned counterparties while lower prices reflect trades among intermediaries with more capacity on average. Higher price dispersion then reflects a combination of more heterogeneity in dealers' risk-bearing capacity, more frictions in the interdealer market, or both.

[Figure 2 about here.]

Figure 2 displays the risk-bearing capacity of individual dealers as measured by the time series average of each dealer's accumulated inventory for four subperiods based on the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2007-2008: (i) before June 2007 (pre-GFC), (ii) from July 2007 to August 2009 (CFG), (iii) from September 2009 to February 2014 (post-CFG), and (iv) after March 2014 (Volcker). In Panel (a), we plot the risk-bearing capacity of each dealer of the top 50 dealers. The picture shows that dealers are heterogeneous in their risk-bearing capacity and that this heterogeneity varies significantly over time. Panel (a) highlights that the tail of the distribution varies over time as well. In Panels (b) and (c), we plot the respective histogram and density kernels of the cross-sectional distribution of the riskbearing capacity. These panels show the risk-bearing capacity being concentrated around zero, however its distribution became fat-tailed during the Global Financial Crisis. As in Eisfeldt et al. (forthcoming), many dealers are decumulating inventories by relatively large amounts and thereby reducing intermediary risk-bearing capacity, while a third set of dealers provides intermediary risk-bearing capacity by accumulating credit risk. Based on the intuition described above, we expect that trades by counterparties with different positions to occur at different prices.

[Figure 3 about here.]

Interdealer price dispersion also relates to the market-wide average bond yields. In Figure 3, we plot the interdealer price dispersion along with the yield spreads for investment grade and high-yield bonds.⁵ There is a clear pattern from the data, which is that when interdealer price dispersion is high, both investment grade and high-yield bonds trade at higher yields (lower prices). This figure shows that higher price dispersion in the dealer market coincides with periods of higher bond premia.

As discussed earlier, when dealers are more heterogeneous in their ability to take on additional credit risk, they trade the same asset at different prices. In addition, dealers being more heterogeneous and trading at dispersed prices worsen dealers' ability to reallocate risk and intermediate trades between different non-dealer financial institutions, which may result in bonds being traded at a higher premium. Consistent with this intuition, Figure 3 shows that yield spreads indeed increase when interdealer price dispersion is higher.

[Figure 4 about here.]

In addition to heterogeneity in dealers' risk-bearing capacity, belief heterogeneity is another potential source for interdealer price dispersion. If beliefs are heterogeneous, one would expect dealers to bilaterally trade at different prices too. However, belief and risk-bearing capacity heterogeneity have opposite implications for the trading volume. Belief heterogeneity increases not only price dispersion but also trading volume, and trading intensifies as investors disagree more about the value of an asset. Heterogeneity in risk-bearing capacity combined with trading frictions leads to higher price dispersion and lower trading volume, which is precisely what we observe in the data. Figure 4 shows that interdealer price dispersion and interdealer trading volume are negatively related.

4 Empirical Analysis

We conduct four empirical exercises to evaluate whether interdealer price dispersion relates to bond prices. First, in Section 4.1, we estimate the relation between changes in credit spreads and changes in interdealer price dispersion by following the methodology from Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001) (henceforth CDGM). Additionally, we run a series of

 $^{^5\}mathrm{ICE}$ BofA US High Yield Index Effective Yield and ICE BofA US BBB Index Effective Yield, both retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

panel regressions following the existing specifications from the literature. In Section 4.2, we verify that changes in bond basis—that is, credit spreads in excess of fair-value spreads—vary with interdealer price dispersion. Third, in Section 4.3, we follow the CDGM methodology and show that changes in interdealer price dispersion help to explain the first principal component of credit spread residuals. Finally, Section 4.4 shows that change in interdealer price dispersion is priced in the cross-section of duration-times-spread and maturity-times-spread sorted portfolios of bonds. These empirical exercises combined are comprehensive evidence that interdealer price dispersion is a key factor for bond prices.

4.1 Credit Spread Changes and Interdealer Price Dispersion

In this section, we evaluate how changes in credit spread can be explained by changes in interdealer price dispersion. We start by implementing the methodology used by CDGM, which is to run a time-series regression of bond of changes in yield spreads ($\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t}$) on various explanatory variables. In all our specifications, we control for the variables used by CDGM: (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (vii) slope of Volatility Smirk. These are a comprehensive set of fundamental-based variables. Specifically, for each bond, we estimate the following:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t} = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_3^{i'} Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \tag{5}$$

where $Controls_{i,t}$ is a vector of controls, and $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the change in our measure of interdealer price dispersion (Equation 2 in Section 3). Then, we report average coefficients across all estimates. The specification in Equation 5 is nearly identical to the one implemented by Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001), except for including changes in interdealer price dispersion.

Our estimation results following the CDGM methodology (Equation 5) are in Table 1. In the first column, we mirror the benchmark specification in CDGM and do not include changes in interdealer price dispersion. In line with their findings, the average adjusted R^2 is 20.5%. All signs, significance, and magnitude of CDGM control coefficients are consistent with those reported in their original work. In the second column, we add changes in interdealer price dispersion. The coefficient is statistically significant and economically large—a onebasis-point increase in interdealer price dispersion is associated with yield spreads 1.36 basis points higher after controlling for the CDGM fundamental-based variables. We find that the average adjusted R^2 increases to 23.7%.

[Table 1 about here.]

In the remaining columns of Table 1, we add different variables that have been documented to explain changes in credit spreads. In Columns (3) and (4), we follow Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) and include a default factor defined as the spread between long-term investment grade and treasuries yields. Consistent with Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008), we find the default positively relates to credit spread changes. We also find that the interdealer price dispersion coefficient remains almost unchanged after controlling for the default factor. This suggests that changes in interdealer price dispersion are not related to changes in default probabilities.

Our findings are also largely unchanged if we include different variables measuring OTC market-wide frictions. Specifically, in Columns (5) and (6), we add the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017). We include two risk factors from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019) in Columns (7) and (8): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The significance and economic magnitude of the interdealer price dispersion coefficient are similar in Columns (2), (4), (6), and (8), which shows that interdealer price dispersion is not capturing market-wide frictions in the OTC markets, but rather the reallocation efficiency of the OTC markets as dealer becomes more heterogeneous.

Finally, in Columns (9)-(12), we verify whether interdealer price dispersion remains significant after controlling for the various measures of OTC frictions studied by Friedwald and Nagler (2019). They analyze 11 variables split into three broad groups: inventory frictions, search frictions, and bargaining frictions. For data availability and comparison purposes, we follow their filtering approach. One of their variables is the length of the intermediation chain, which is not available for our full sample. For this reason, the sample in the last four columns is significantly reduced from 10,537 to 2,803 bonds from January 2003 to December 2013. Given the sample restriction, we first verify the previous findings in this subsample. In Columns (9) and (10), we replicate the exercises in columns (1) and (2) but using the restricted sample. We find a positive and significant coefficient for changes in interdealer price dispersion, and we also estimate a higher average adjusted R^2 . In Columns (11) and (12), we control for all the variables from Friedwald and Nagler (2019). We find that interdealer price dispersion remains positive and statistically significant. Our results indicate that interdealer price dispersion captures market features above and beyond frictions inherent to over-the-counter markets.

In Table 1, we also consistently find a sizeable increase in average adjusted R^2 after including changes in interdealer price dispersion. Under the CDGM benchmark specification, the average adjusted R^2 increases by 15.6%, from 20.5% to 23.7%. In Columns(3) – (8), the average adjusted R^2 increases vary from 13.2% to 15.6%. After controlling for the

OTC variables from Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we find that the average adjusted R^2 increases by 6.3%, from 38.3% to 40.7%.

One challenge faced by the methodology used by Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001) is that the betas estimated in the time-series estimates are noisy and can potentially affect the standard errors of the average coefficient reported in the table. Although the literature has used this methodology (e.g., Friedwald and Nagler, 2019), we additionally estimate these coefficients in a panel regression setting, which allows us to compute standard errors clustered at bond and month levels.

Next, we repeat the specifications in Table 1, but in a panel regression specification with bond fixed effect. Specifically, we estimate the following panel regression:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t} = \eta_i + \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2' Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \tag{6}$$

where η_i is a bond fixed effect, $Controls_{i,t}$ is a vector of controls, and $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the change in our measure of interdealer price dispersion (Equation 2 in Section 3).

Table 2 reports the regression estimates based on Equation (6). In Column (1), we report estimates for the CDGM baseline, which does not include our variable of changes in interdealer price dispersion. A critical difference between the two empirical approaches is that our panel specification does not feature bond-specific slopes (e.g., β_1^i in Equation 5). Instead, we directly estimate coefficients common to all bonds (e.g., β_1 in Equation 6). Hence, the CDGM model has a more flexible structure and therefore has a better overall fit to the data with an average R^2 of 20.5% (see Column 1 in Table 1). Using the same control variables, the panel regression has an R^2 of 7.1% (see Column 1 in Table 2).

[Table 2 about here.]

In Column (2), we include changes in interdealer price dispersion ($\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$), and we find a positive and statistically significant coefficient of 0.777 with a *t*-statistic of 5.12, where standard errors are double clustered at month and bond levels. The estimated coefficient is economically meaningful. One basis-point increase in interdealer price dispersion is associated with credit spread increasing by 0.777 basis point on average after controlling for various fundamental-based variables.

In Columns (3) and (4) of Table 2, we add the controls for default factor (DEF) from Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008), which is measured as the yield difference between long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries. In Columns (5) and (6), we control for the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017), and in Columns (7) and (8), we control for the inventory and distress factors from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019). The interdealer price dispersion coefficient remains largely unchanged and significant. The last four columns include controls for the variables used by Friedwald and Nagler (2019). In all specifications, the coefficient on changes in interdealer price dispersion remains significant and economically important.

4.2 Changes in Bond Basis and Interdealer Price Dispersion

This section investigates how bond basis relates to interdealer price dispersion. The bond basis is the difference between bond spread and fair-value spread. We follow Chang, d'Avernas, and Eisfeldt (2021) to build fair value spreads (FVS) from Moody's (Peter Liu, 2020; Nazeran and Dwyer, 2015). The construction of fair-value spreads does not rely on bond market data; the primary inputs are data on leverage and equity volatility. Since fair-value spreads are based on equity market data, they measure a non-default component of credit spreads unrelated to OTC frictions since equities are not traded in over-the-counter markets. Hence, the difference between a bond spread and its fair-value spread, which is the bond basis, measures how much bond yields are dislocated from its non-default component, likely due to OTC frictions.

We follow the same approach as in Section 4.1 and regress bond basis on interdealer price dispersion and various controls.⁶ We run the following panel regression:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t} - \Delta FVS_{i,t} = \eta_i + \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2' Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \tag{7}$$

where η_i is a bond fixed effect, $\Delta FVS_{i,t}$ is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to *t*, and $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the change in our measure of interdealer price dispersion.

[Table 3 about here.]

Table 3 reports the regression estimates. In Column (1), we control for CDGM variables only, and in Column (2), we include changes in interdealer price dispersion ($\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$). The coefficient on interdealer price dispersion is positive and equal to 0.6. It is statistically significant and economically meaningful. One basis point increase in interdealer price dispersion is associated with a 0.6 basis point hike in bond basis.

In the remaining columns, we repeat this exercise but control for different factors. In Columns (3) and (4), we control for the default factor (DEF) from Bessembinder, Kahle,

⁶In this section, we report results for panel regression specifications. However, in Appendix Table A50, we apply the same methodology as in CDGM but with bond basis on the left-hand side and find similar findings.

Maxwell, and Xu (2008), which is the difference between the yields of long-term investmentgrade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries. In Columns (5) and (6), we control for the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017). Finally, in Columns (7) and (8), we control for both inventory and distress factors from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019). Across these specifications, the interdealer price dispersion coefficient remains largely unchanged and significant. The coefficients on all other variables (DEF, HKM, and HKS) are insignificant. This means that neither changes in default nor changes in market-wide OTC frictions (HKM, HKS) help explaining changes in the bond basis. Hence, interdealer price dispersion captures frictions and heterogeneity in the dealer market beyond OTC frictions previously documented in the literature.

In the last four columns of Table 3, we control for OTC variables from Friedwald and Nagler (2019), akin to Columns (9)-(12) in Tables 1 and 2. After controlling for their variables, we find that interdealer price dispersion remains positive and significant. The coefficient decreases by nearly 40%, from 0.26 to 0.16, but it is still economically meaningful. After controlling for various fundamental-based measures from CDGM and 11 OTC-based variables, we find a positive and significant relation between interdealer price dispersion and bond basis.

4.3 Principal Component Analysis of CDGM residuals

Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001) find that their fundamental-based variables explain 20% to 25% of the changes in credit spreads observed in the data. We replicate this finding in Column (1) of Table 1, where the CDGM controls feature an average adjusted R^2 of 20.5%. A key result of their work is that the residuals from these regressions are highly cross-correlated, with the first principal component of these residuals explaining 75% of the total variation. Following the same methodology, our replication in Column (1) of Table 4 depicts a similar figure in which the first principal component of the residual explains 57.2% of the variation in residuals. Panel A of Table 4 contains the principal component analysis for the same set of controls used in Table 1. This first panel reports the fraction of the variance of the residuals that the first and second principal components explain, as well as the remaining unexplained variance.

[Table 4 about here.]

The strong factor structure in credit spread residuals is interesting. Explaining their first principal component is crucial to understanding credit spreads' dynamics. Following Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we take the first principal component of the residuals from the

benchmark CDMG specification (Column (1) in Panel A) and regress it on various factors. These results are in Panel B of Table 4, where we report R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics for different specifications. The explanatory variables used mirror those in Panel A. In Column (2) Panel B, we find that interdealer price dispersion explains 16% of the first principal component of CDGM residuals. Columns (3), (5), and (7) show that the default, HKM, and HKS factors have low explanatory power with R^2 of about 1%. Once we add interdealer price dispersion to these specifications, the explanatory power increases significantly, with R^2 at about 17%, as reported in Columns (4), (6), and (8).

[Table 5 about here.]

In Friedwald and Nagler's (2019) (henceforth FN) original work, they document that their 11 OTC variables on inventory, search, and bargaining explain 23.4% of the first principal component of the CDGM residuals. Their finding suggests that OTC frictions are essential in explaining these residuals. Table 5 conducts the same exercise as Table 4. However, we restrict to a subsample in which FN variables are available. We limit the sample to verify how their 11 OTC variables interplay with interdealer price dispersion in explaining the CDGM residuals. Our replication differs slightly from FN's original work. Although we followed the same procedure, our sample has 2803 bonds, and theirs has 925 bonds. These differences might be due to data availability. In Columns (1)-(3) of Table 5, we conduct our analysis following our replication, including all 2803 different bonds. As a robustness exercise, Columns (4)-(6) report the same results, but we restrict the sample to the same universe of bonds used in FN's original work.

Our replication of FN findings in Column (1) of Table 5 shows that FN 11 variables explain 11% of the first principal component of bond residuals. In Column (2), we find that interdealer price dispersion along with FN 11 OTC variables explain 23% over the same data sample. Finally, in Column (3), we report the results with interdealer price dispersion as the only independent variable. We find that interdealer price dispersion alone explains 12% of the first principal component of bond residuals. The results reported in Columns (4)-(6) are similar to those reported in the first three columns. Our analysis is robust to restricting the universe of bonds to those used in FN's original work. Overall, our results indicate that interdealer price dispersion explains the first principal component of bond residuals beyond a wide range of measures of OTC frictions. Furthermore, we find that dealer heterogeneity is a crucial driver of changes in credit spreads. Dealer heterogeneity also helps to explain the first principal component of credit spread changes unexplained by CDGM factors.

4.4 Price of Risk

To estimate the price of risk of interdealer price dispersion, we follow a two-step estimation procedure, which is a particular case of the method developed by Fama and MacBeth (1973).

First, we run the following time-series regression for each portfolio i:

$$R_{i,t} = \beta_i^{D2D} \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_i^{DEF} DEF_t + \beta_i^{HKM} HKM_t + \beta_i^{HKS'} HKS_t + \gamma_i X_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
(8)

where $R_{i,t}$ is the excess return on portfolio *i* in month *t*, β_i^{D2D} is portfolio's exposure to interdealer price dispersion, β_i^{DEF} is portfolio's exposure to default risk, β_i^{HKM} is portfolio's exposure to capital ratio growth rate of primary dealers by He, Kelly, and Manela (2017), β_i^{HKS} are portfolio's exposures to the two risk factors in He, Khorrami, and Song (2019), X_t include market-level characteristics. Market-level characteristics include yield-curve slope, VIX, and S&P500 index return. We expect the sign of β_i^{D2D} to be negative because when interdealer price dispersion increases, bonds' excess returns *in the same month* decrease as yields increase.

Second, we run monthly cross-sectional regressions for t = 1, 2, ..., T as follows:

$$R_{i,t} = \lambda_t^{D2D} \beta_i^{D2D} + \lambda_t^{DEF} \beta_i^{DEF} + \lambda_t^{HKM} \beta_i^{HKM} + \lambda_t^{HKS'} \beta_i^{HKS} + \delta_t \gamma_i + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
(9)

where γ_i 's are portfolio exposures as above and β 's are the estimated coefficients from Equation 8. In this second step, we estimate the coefficient λ_t 's, and their time-series average are our estimates for the price of risk of each risk factor. We estimate the first and second steps in a single generalized method moments (GMM) estimation so that our standard errors take into account that the second stage uses the coefficient estimated in the first step.

We expect interdealer price dispersion to carry a negative price of risk—that is, $\lambda^{D2D} < 0$. Intuitively, interdealer price dispersion measures not only interdealer heterogeneity and frictions but also dealers' ability to reallocate risk. For this reason, when interdealer price dispersion increases, it becomes more costly for the dealer sector to reallocate risk leading to higher yields and lower realized returns for bonds. This positive relation between interdealer price dispersion and bond yields was documented earlier in Section 4.1. It is important to emphasize that interdealer price dispersion increases in bad states of the world. For example, in Figure 1, we see a significant spike in interdealer price dispersion around the Global Financial Crisis of 2007–2008.

Hence, a bond with a more negative exposure to interdealer price dispersion—that is, a more negative beta with respect to interdealer price dispersion—pays lower returns in bad states of the world and is riskier. For this reason, such a bond should carry a higher risk premium. Alternatively, bonds whose returns covary positively with changes in interdealer price dispersion, i.e., $\beta_i^{D2D} > 0$, are hedges against these states of the world and should carry a lower risk premium. This implies a negative price of risk for interdealer price dispersion.

[Table 6 about here.]

We estimate the prices of risk for different test assets (Equations 8 and 9), and Table 6 reports our estimation results under different specifications. In Panel A, we use 10 portfolios of bonds sorted by duration times spreads, and in each row, we estimate prices of risk under different specifications. In the first row, we control for VIX and the slope of the yield curve, and in the second row, we control for the default factor (DEF) from Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008). In the third row, we control for the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (He, Kelly, and Manela, 2017), and, in the fourth row, we control for inventory and distress factors (He, Khorrami, and Song, 2019). In all four specifications, we find that interdealer price dispersion carries a statistically significant negative price of risk.

In Panel B of Table 6, we use 25 portfolios of bonds double sorted by maturity and size.⁷ Again, in all four specifications, we find that interdealer price dispersion carries a statistically significant negative price of risk, which is consistent with our intuition and previous results.

5 Controlling for within-month volatility

Our measure of interdealer price dispersion consists of the cross-sectional standard deviation of all interdealer transactions' yield spreads. Within each calendar month, we first compute, for each bond, the standard deviation of yield spreads of all interdealer transactions (Equation 1). As a second step, we average across all bonds to get the monthly time series of interdealer price dispersion (Equation 2). One challenge to this approach is that interdealer price dispersion captures some of the within-month volatility of bond spreads because the standard deviation computed in the first step uses all interdealer trades each month. Bonds are not traded frequently, and unfortunately, we cannot compute interdealer price dispersion at a daily frequency.

We conduct two robustness exercises to control for within-month volatility. First, we construct interdealer price dispersion at a weekly frequency, which increases the frequency of our data and mitigates concerns regarding within-month volatility. However, there is still within-week volatility that is not being controlled for. In our second exercise, we control

⁷Figures A1 and A2 in the Appendix plot average bond risk premium against the predicted risk premium implied Equation 9 at estimated parameters for all four specifications.

for all within-month volatility by constructing interdealer price dispersion from bond basis instead of bond spreads, which effectively controls for every fundamental-base variation in bond spreads. Next, we discuss these two exercises in detail.

5.1 Interdealer price dispersion at weekly frequency

We construct an alternative measure of monthly interdealer price dispersion using bonds' cross-sectional standard deviation of yields of interdealer transactions within each *week*. This alternative measure helps control the within-month bond price volatility. In addition, we compute the volume-weighted average of week-bond dispersion, which helps to filter out weeks in which a particular bond had low trading volume.

First, for each bond i traded in week w, we compute interdealer price dispersion as:

$$\sigma_{i,w}^{D2D} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i,w}} (yd_{j,i,w} - \overline{yd}_{i,w})^2}{N_{i,w} - 1}},$$
(10)

where $N_{i,w}$ is the total number of interdealer transactions of bond *i* in week *w*, and $yd_{j,i,w}$ is the yield of interdealer transaction *j*.

Second, we compute the average dispersion across all bonds in a given month as our alternative measure of interdealer price dispersion:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D} = \frac{1}{M_t} \sum_i \overline{\sigma}_{i,t}^{D2D} = \frac{1}{M_t} \sum_i \frac{\sum_{w \in W_t} \sigma_{i,w}^{D2D} * Q_{i,w}}{\sum_{w \in W_t} Q_{i,w}},\tag{11}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{i,t}^{D2D}$ is volume-weighted average weekly interdealer price dispersion in month t, $\{Q_{i,w}\}_{w \in W_t}$ are bond's total trading volume in all weeks W_t within each month t, and M_t is the number of bonds traded in month t.

Then we apply this new measure of interdealer price dispersion to re-do the empirical analysis from Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. Tables A1, A2, A3, A4, and A5 in the Appendix replicate Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 but using the interdealer price dispersion measure controlling for weekly variation instead. We obtain results similar to those in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

5.2 Interdealer price dispersion from bond basis

Another way to control for within-month variation in spreads is to match the yield spread of each transaction with its respective daily fair value spread. Fair-value spreads are a fundamental-based measure of spreads that depend on the issuers' leverage and volatility but do not rely on data from bond markets. The difference between yield spreads and fairvalue spreads, the bond basis, captures the non-fundamental component of bond spreads and is not affected by variation in fundamentals. Hence, to control for within-month volatility in fundamentals, we construct an alternative measure of interdealer price dispersion based on bond basis instead of yield spreads.

Daily Fair Value Spreads We follow Chang, d'Avernas, and Eisfeldt (2021) and literature therein to build fair value spreads (FVS) *but at a daily frequency.*⁸ The construction of these spreads uses no bond market data. The main inputs are data on issuers' leverage and data on daily equity price.

We apply the Vasicek-Kealhofer (VK) model to calculate, for each issuer and day, asset volatility, the market value of assets, and the issuer's distance to default (DD). We map daily DD to obtain daily Expected Default Frequency (EDF) credit risk measure,⁹ which is an estimate for the probability of default projected on next year. Then using the generated EDF credit risk measure, we construct a cumulative EDF (CEDF) over T years by assuming a flat term structure—that is, $CEDF_T = 1 - (1 - EDF)^T$. Then we convert our physical measure of default probabilities (CEDF) to risk-neutral default probabilities (CQDF) using the following equation:

$$CQDF_T = N\left[N^{-1}\left(CEDF_T\right) + \lambda\rho\sqrt{T}\right],$$

where N is the cumulative distribution function for the standard normal distribution, λ is the market Sharpe ratio, and ρ is the correlation between the underlying asset returns and market returns. Given this risk-neutral default probability measure, the fair value spread of a zero-coupon bond with duration T can be computed as

$$FVS = -\frac{1}{T}\log(1 - CQDF_T \cdot LGD),$$

where LGD stands for the risk-neutral expected loss given default. We set T equals bond's remaining time to maturity date, and follow Chang, d'Avernas, and Eisfeldt (2021) to set LGD = 60%, $\lambda = 0.546$, and $\rho = \sqrt{0.3}$. We obtain series of FVS for each issuer and day.

Interdealer price dispersion based on bond basis. Interdealer price dispersion based on the bond basis is then computed monthly. We construct a monthly time series for bond basis dispersion by following the same methodology used in constructing interdealer price

⁸See Peter Liu (2020) and Nazeran and Dwyer (2015).

 $^{^{9}}$ Our Matlab codes also refer to the following public webpage: https://fintechprofessor.com/portfolio-items/kmv-merton-distance-to-default-model-through-iterative-process-in-stata/.

dispersion.

First, we compute the dispersion in basis for each bond in each month:

$$\sigma_{i,t}^{basis} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i,t}} (basis_{j,i,t} - \overline{basis}_{i,t})^2}{N_{i,t} - 1}},$$
(12)

where $basis_{j,i,t} = ys_{j,i,t} - FVS_{j,i,t}$, $ys_{j,i,t}$ is the yield spread of interdealer transaction j, $FVS_{j,i,t}$ is the corresponding daily fair-value spread of transaction j, and $N_{i,t}$ is the total number of interdealer transactions of bond i at month t. Dispersion in basis of bond i at month t, i.e. $\sigma_{i,t}^{basis}$, excludes the specific component of variation in yield spreads due to the fact that transactions in that particular bond may occur on different days within a given month.

Second, we compute the average dispersion across all bonds in a given month to obtain dispersion in bond basis over time:

$$\sigma_t^{basis} = \frac{1}{M_t} \sum_i \sigma_{i,t}^{basis},\tag{13}$$

where M_t is the number of bonds traded in month t.

Tables 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 replicate Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 but using interdealer price dispersion measure constructed from bond basis. We obtain results similar to those in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

[Table 7 about here.][Table 8 about here.][Table 9 about here.][Table 10 about here.][Table 11 about here.]

6 Robustness

In this section, we conduct various robustness exercises. Table 12 lists all robustness exercises and the related tables in the Appendix. Section 6.1 discusses estimates following CDGM methodology instead of estimating panel regressions when applied to bond basis. In Section 6.2, we consider another two alternative measures for interdealer price dispersion to control for within-month bond volatility. Finally, Section 6.3 shows that the relation between credit spread changes and changes in interdealer price dispersion holds under different controls and subsamples.

[Table 12 about here.]

6.1 CDGM Estimates applied to bond basis

Table A50 in the Appendix reports estimates of the CDGM methodology but applied to changes in bond basis instead of yield spread changes. The results are consistent with those reported in Tables 1 and 3. We find that even under this estimation methodology, changes in interdealer price dispersion are significantly related to changes in bond basis.

6.2 Alternative Measures of Interdealer Price Dispersion

We construct another two alternative measures of interdealer price dispersion based on: (i) volume-weighted dispersion of yields, and (ii) interdealer price dispersion among top 50 largest dealers. All versions are designed to control for within-month variation of yields and less liquid bonds' effects.

Volume-weighted interdealer price dispersion. As another robustness exercise, we construct a volume-weighted measure of interdealer price dispersion:

$$\sigma_{t,vw}^{D2D} = \frac{\sum_{i} Volume_{i,t} * \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}}{\sum_{i} Volume_{i,t}},$$
(14)

where $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ is the interdealer yield dispersion of bond *i* in month *t* defined by Equation (1), and $Volume_{i,t}$ is the transaction volume of bond *i* in month *t*. Tables A6, A7, A8, A9, A10 in the Appendix replicate Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 but using volume-weighted interdealer price dispersion measure instead. We obtain results similar to those in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

Interdealer price dispersion from largest dealers only. TRACE data identify many financial institutions as dealers. Some dealers are small, while others are large. Smaller dealers are more likely to have transactions that are outliers. To verify if this is an issue for our measure, we compute interdealer price dispersion based only on transactions between the largest 50 dealers. Tables A11, A12, A13, A14, A15 in the Appendix replicate Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 but using interdealer price dispersion measure constructed from transaction between the largest 50 dealers. We obtain results similar to those in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

6.3 Additional controls and subsamples

In this section, we conduct a few additional robustness exercises. (i) We show that our findings hold even after excluding the Global Financial Crisis period from our sample. Bond spreads and interdealer price dispersion spiked during that period (See Figure 3), but this unusual period is not a driver of our results.¹⁰ (ii) One could be concerned that interdealer price dispersion captures bond turnover—turnover could lead to changes in interdealer price dispersion. Our results hold if we control for bond turnover.¹¹ (iii) To rule out nonlinear effects, we show that our results are robust to controlling for the square term of interdealer price dispersion.¹² (iv) The market power of dealers could be a concern and a potential driver of interdealer price dispersion. As a robustness exercise, we control for dealers' market power, measured by the Herfindahl–Hirschman index (HHI) of dealers' market share in each bond market. Our findings still hold, and interdealer price dispersion is not capturing the dealers' market power.¹³ (v) Our results are robust to including bond-specific interdealer price dispersion and bond-specific changes in dealer-sector inventory as controls. This controls for the potential cross-sectional relations between yield spread change and bond-specific dealer-sector risk-bearing capacity and OTC frictions.¹⁴

We repeat these five exercises above but replace interdealer price dispersion $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ with that from bond basis $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ as well. Our results still hold. See Table 12 for a summary of all exercises.

Finally, our results are robust across bonds sorted by credit rating, maturity, and leverage. We estimate (5) with all CDGM controls with and without interdealer price dispersion for different groups of bonds, as in Collin-Dufresne, Goldstein, and Martin (2001). Specifically, we consider bonds grouped by issuer's leverage, credit rating, and different maturities. Tables A51 and A52 in the Appendix show that interdealer price dispersion remains consistently significant across different groups and also significantly improves the mean of adjusted R^2 relative to the CDGM specification.

7 Conclusion

We document the explanatory power of interdealer price dispersion for corporate bond yields. When interdealer price dispersion is higher, bond yield changes are more positive. Interdealer price dispersion explains a substantial fraction of the first principal component of the residu-

 $^{^{10}{\}rm See}$ Tables A16, A17, A18, A19, A20

 $^{^{11}\}mathrm{See}$ Tables A21, A22, A23 in the Appendix.

 $^{^{12}\}mathrm{See}$ Tables A24, A25, A26 in the Appendix.

¹³See Tables A27, A28, A29 in the Appendix.

¹⁴See Tables A30, A31, A32 in the Appendix.

als from a bond-level regression of yield spread changes on fundamental credit-risk variables. We argue that interdealer price dispersion is a proxy for frictions in the OTC bond market. Consistent with this, we show that interdealer price dispersion explains the basis between bond spreads from the OTC bond market and bond spreads constructed using a structural model and exchange-traded equity market data on volatility and leverage. Finally, we show that interdealer price dispersion is a priced risk factor. This is consistent with the idea that systematic credit risk capacity is lower when interdealer price dispersion is greater. We argue that this is because prices in the interdealer market become more dispersed when credit risk misallocation is more severe. Credit risk misallocation reduces risk-bearing capacity and increases effective risk aversion, leading to lower prices and higher expected returns.

References

- T. Adrian, E. Etula, and T. Muir. Financial intermediaries and the cross-section of asset returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 69(6):2557–2596, 2014.
- A. Atkeson, A. Eisfeldt, and P.-O. Weill. Entry and exit in otc derivatives markets. *Econo*metrica, 83(6):2231–2292, 2015.
- J. Bai, T. G. Bali, and Q. Wen. Common risk factors in the cross-section of corporate bond returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 131(3):619–642, 2019.
- A. Ben Dor, L. Dynkin, J. Hyman, P. Houweling, E. v. Leeuwen, and O. Penninga. Dts (duration times spread). Journal of Portfolio Management, Winter, 2007.
- H. Bessembinder, K. M. Kahle, W. F. Maxwell, and D. Xu. Measuring abnormal bond performance. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 22(10):4219–4258, 2008.
- L. Bretscher, L. Schmid, I. Sen, and V. Sharma. Institutional corporate bond pricing. *Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper*, (21-07), 2020.
- M. K. Brunnermeier and Y. Sannikov. A macroeconomic model with a financial sector. *American Economic Review*, 104(2):379–421, 2014.
- H. Chang, A. d'Avernas, and A. L. Eisfeldt. Bonds vs. equities: Information for investment. Equities: Information for Investment (April 15, 2021), 2021.
- P. Collin-Dufresne, R. S. Goldstein, and J. S. Martin. The determinants of credit spread changes. *The Journal of Finance*, 56(6):2177–2207, 2001.
- A. Copeland, D. Duffie, and Y. Yang. Reserves were not so ample after all. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2021.
- R. Correa, W. Du, and G. Y. Liao. Us banks and global liquidity. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- J. Dick-Nielsen. How to clean enhanced TRACE data. Working paper, CBS, 2014.
- D. Duffie, N. Gârleanu, and L. H. Pedersen. Over-the-counter markets. *Econometrica*, 73: 1815–1847, 2005.
- A. L. Eisfeldt, H. Lustig, and L. Zhang. Complex asset markets. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2021.

- A. L. Eisfeldt, B. Herskovic, S. Rajan, and E. Siriwardane. Otc intermediaries. *Review of Financial Studies*, forthcoming.
- E. F. Fama and J. MacBeth. Risk, return and equilibrium:empirical tests. Journal of Political Economy, 81:607–636, 1973.
- N. Friedwald and F. Nagler. Over-the-counter market frictions and yield spread changes. Journal of Finance, Forthcoming, 2019.
- V. Haddad and T. Muir. Do intermediaries matter for aggregate asset prices? *The Journal of Finance*, 76(6):2719–2761, 2021.
- Z. He and A. Krishnamurthy. Intermediary asset pricing. *American Economic Review*, 103(2):732–70, 2013.
- Z. He, B. Kelly, and A. Manela. Intermediary asset pricing: New evidence from many asset classes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 126(1):1–35, 2017.
- Z. He, P. Khorrami, and Z. Song. Commonality in credit spread changes: Dealer inventory and intermediary distress. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2019.
- C.-T. Hsieh and P. J. Klenow. Misallocation and manufacturing tfp in china and india. *The Quarterly journal of economics*, 124(4):1403–1448, 2009.
- M. Kargar. Heterogeneous intermediary asset pricing. Journal of Financial Economics, 141 (2):505–532, 2021.
- K. F. Lewis, F. A. Longstaff, and L. Petrasek. Asset mispricing. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2017.
- P. Nazeran and D. Dwyer. Credit risk modeling of public firms: Edf9. Moody's Analytics White Paper, 2015.
- D. D. Y. C. S. M. Peter Liu, Zhong Zhuang. Moody's analytics edf-based bond valuation model: Version 2.0. Americas, 1:553–1653, 2020.
- E. Siriwardane, A. Sunderam, and J. Wallen. Segmented arbitrage. Available at SSRN 3960980, 2021.
- E. N. Siriwardane. Limited investment capital and credit spreads. Journal of Finance, 2019.

Figures

Figure 1: Interdealer price dispersion and primary dealers' risk bearing capacity

This figure plots interdealer price dispersion (red solid line) and the dispersion of dealers' risk-bearing capacity (black dashed line). To compute the interdealer price dispersion, we first compute the cross-sectional standard deviation of yield spreads for each bond within each month. Then, we average across all bond trades that month (see Equation 2). Risk-bearing capacity is the duration-times-spread (DTS)-weighted average of cumulative bond inventory positions, and the dispersion of risk-bearing capacities is its cross-sectional interquartile range. See Section 3 for details on the construction of these variables.



Figure 2: Risk Bearing Capacity of Dealers

In Panel (a), we plot the average risk-bearing capacity for the largest 50 dealers over four different sub-periods relative to the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2007-2008: (i) before June 2007 (pre-GFC), (ii) from July 2007 to August 2009 (CFG), (iii) from September 2009 to February 2014 (post-CFG), and (iv) after March 2014 (Volcker). Risk-bearing capacity is the duration-times-spread (DTS)-weighted average of cumulative bond inventory positions. Each circle in the plot represents a different dealer. Circle size increases with the absolute value of risk-bearing capacity. Circles colors were randomly chosen to differentiate dealers. In Panel (b), we plot the histogram if the average risk-bearing capacity for the largest 50 dealers for the same four periods, and, in Panel (c), we plot the density kernel. See Section 3 for details on the construction of these variables.



Figure 3: Interdealer price dispersion and level of yield spreads

This figure plots interdealer price dispersion (red solid line), effective yield of BBB (blue dashed line) and high yield (green dashed line) bonds. To compute the interdealer price dispersion, we first compute the cross-sectional standard deviation of yield spreads for each bond within each month. Then, we average across all trades that month (see Equation 2). The effective yield data are the ICE BofA US High Yield Index Effective Yield and ICE BofA US BBB Index Effective Yield, both retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. See Section 3 for details on the construction of these variables.



Figure 4: Interdealer price dispersion and interdealer trading volume

In Panel (a), we plot interdealer price dispersion (red solid line) and volume in the interdealer markets as a fraction of total amount outstanding (black dashed lined). We linearly detrend and standardized both series to have mean zero and variance one. In Panel (b), we plot 12-month moving average of the series in Panel (a). See Section 3 for details on the construction of these variables.



Tables

Table 1: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from Equation (6):

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, *Controls*¹_t contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CL	DGM DEF HKM HKS		KS		F	'N					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.365^{***} (27.66)		$\begin{array}{c} 1.291^{***}\\ (25.99) \end{array}$		1.398^{***} (27.6)		1.412^{***} (27.33)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.339^{***} \\ (5.23) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.603^{***} \\ (4.30) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.039^{***} \\ (7.61) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033^{***} \\ (6.41) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$^{-14.417^{***}}_{(-8.44)}$	-10.887^{***} (-6.13)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$^{-10.491^{***}}_{(-3.79)}$	-11.534^{***} (-4.06)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.638^{***} \\ (8.31) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.397^{***} \\ (5.06) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.237	0.206	0.237	0.205	0.237	0.227	0.257	0.284	0.318	0.383	0.407
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.217	0.183	0.216	0.182	0.217	0.215	0.247	0.292	0.338	0.413	0.444
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table 2: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t} = \eta_i + \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2' Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_{i,t}$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDGM		DEF		HKM		HKS		FN			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.777^{***} \\ (5.12) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.775^{***} \\ (4.83) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.777^{***} \\ (5.09) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.777^{***} \\ (5.10) \end{array}$		0.693^{*} (2.00)		0.838^{**} (2.68)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \\ (1.29) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$-4.21 \\ (-0.47)$	$-4.23 \ (-0.53)$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$-9.58 \\ (-0.56)$	-13.87 (-0.89)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ (0.53) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.071	0.076	0.072	0.077	0.071	0.076	0.072	0.077	0.123	0.139	0.133	0.150
Adj R^2 (full)	0.053	0.058	0.054	0.059	0.053	0.058	0.053	0.058	0.102	0.117	0.112	0.128
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.114	0.130	0.124	0.141
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.037	0.042	0.038	0.043	0.037	0.042	0.037	0.042	0.093	0.107	0.103	0.119
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table 3: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{i,t} - \Delta FVS_{i,t} = \eta_i + \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2' Controls_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

where $\Delta FVS_{i,t}$ is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_{i,t}$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDGM		DEF		Hŀ	HKM		KS		$_{\rm FN}$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.596^{***} (4.22)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.596^{***} \\ (4.04) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.596^{***} \\ (4.36) \end{array}$		0.60^{***} (4.18)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.261^{***} \\ (3.81) \end{array}$		0.158^{*} (2.15)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \\ (0.96) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$-2.42 \\ (-0.319)$	$-2.42 \\ (-0.349)$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$-11.93 \\ (-0.85)$	-16.44 (-1.28)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.243 \\ (0.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166 \\ (0.28) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
B^{2} (full)	1 E.5 0 047	1 ES 0 051	1 E.S 0 047	1 ES 0 052	1 ES 0 047	1 ES 0 051	1 E.S 0 047	1 E.S 0 052	1 E.S 0 115	1 E.S 0 1 28	1 E.S 0 151	1 ES 0 154
Adj R^2 (full)	0.047	0.031	0.047	0.032	0.047	0.031	0.047	0.032	0.088	0.123	$0.101 \\ 0.125$	0.134
R^2 (proj)	0.021	0.020	0.026	0.030	0.026	0.030	0.026	0.030	0.084	0.097	0.120	0.120
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (proj)	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.002	0.037	0.007	0.056	0.069	0.094	0.097
num obs	$344,\!782$	344,782	344,782	344,782	344,782	344,782	$344,\!782$	$344,\!782$	75,369	75,369	$75,\!369$	75,369
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table 4: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	KM	HKS		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Panel A: Principal C	omponent	Analysis							
PC1 (% explained)	57.2	56.9	57.1	57.2	57.7	57.1	58.3	57.7	
PC2 (% explained)	13.3	9.2	12.2	8.9	13.3	9.2	13.1	9.4	
Unexplained Variance	0.222	0.18	0.21	0.17	0.214	0.18	0.204	0.164	
$\Delta \sigma_{\star}^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	
num bonds	10,537	10,537	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	
Panel B: Explaining	PC1 of the	e CDGM M	lodel residu	al with Dif	ferent Risk	Factors			
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.15	0.01	0.16	0.00	0.15	-0.00	0.15	
R^2	N/A	0.16	0.01	0.17	0.01	0.17	0.01	0.17	
F-statistic	N/A	18.1	2.64	12.95	1.26	12.36	0.82	9.43	
p-value	N/A	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.44	0.00	
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
num obs	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	

Table 5: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, Controls¹_t contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019). See Section 4.1 for details.

	sai	nple by FN filter	ing	FN sample					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Panel A: Principal Co	omponent An	alysis							
PC1 (% explained)	53.8	42.2	77.1	71.7	63.8	64.7			
PC2 (% explained)	23.3	31.2	6.1	6.9	11.6	10.9			
Unexplained Variance	0.135	0.246	0.09	0.17	0.46	0.32			
$\Delta \sigma_{\star}^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES			
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO			
num obs	117,023	117,023	117,023	68,168	68,168	68,168			
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925			
Danal D. Evplaining I	PC1 of the CI	CM Model ve	aidual						
		JGM Model re	sidual						
Adj. R^2	0.02	0.13	0.11	-0.01	0.13	0.09			
R^2	0.11	0.23	0.12	0.04	0.23	0.11			
F-statistic	1.25	2.2	8.1	0.84	2.14	6.73			
<i>p</i> -value	0.26	0.01	0.00	0.54	0.02	0.00			
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES			
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO			
num obs	129	129	129	129	129	129			

Table 6: Prices of Risk: DTS and MTS Portfolios

This table reports GMM estimates of the prices of the risk for interdealer price dispersion. Exposures and prices of risk are estimated accoring to Equations (8) and (9). In Panel A, we use 10 portfolios of bonds sorted by duration times spread (DTS) as test assets. For each bond and month, we calculate bonds' average duration multiplied by its realized yield spread in that month. Then, in each month, we sort bonds based on their DTS and form 10 DTS-sorted portfolios. In Panel B, we use 25 portfolios of bond double-sorted by maturity and size (MTS) as in Bai, Bali, and Wen (2019). These 25 value-weighted test portfolios are formed by independently sorting corporate bonds into 5×5 quintiles portfolios based on size (amount outstanding) and maturity. See Section 4.4 for details.

	$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D}$	DEF	HKM	HKS inventory	HKS	VIX	YC slope	$R_{S\&P500}$	$\mathrm{Adj.}R^2$	<i>p</i> -value		
Pan	Panel A: 10 duration times spread (DTS) sorted portfolios											
(1)	-4.983* (-1.70)					-0.960 (-0.59)	-0.651 (-1.57)		0.284	0.00		
(2)	-4.869* (-1.73)	$155.736 \\ (0.35)$				-1.044 (-0.70)	-0.616 (-1.34)		0.168	0.00		
(3)	-8.075** (-2.01)		-0.101 (-0.85)						0.194	0.00		
(4)	-9.535** (-2.15)			2.422 (1.22)	-0.027 (-0.39)		-0.577 (-1.38)		0.301	0.00		
Pan	el B: 25 m	aturity by	size (MTS) double-so	orted port	folio						
(5)	-5.115** (-2.16)					$\begin{array}{c} 0.196 \\ (0.14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.178 \\ (0.76) \end{array}$	-1.672 (-0.04)	0.150	0.01		
(6)	-5.200** (-2.04)	-529.270 (-1.51)				$\begin{array}{c} 0.351 \\ (0.23) \end{array}$	-0.057 (-0.23)	-1.852 (-0.04)	0.184	0.09		
(7)	-4.365* (-1.81)		-0.072 (-1.53)			-0.428 (-0.29)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$	23.883 (0.51)	0.187	0.02		
(8)	-4.125* (-1.71)			$0.695 \\ (1.18)$	-0.019 (0.97)	-0.334 (-0.24)	$0.088 \\ (0.36)$		0.176	0.01		

Table 7: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from Equation (6):

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for daily fair value spread (fvs), $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDGM DEF		EF	HKM			HKS			N		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}~({\rm bps})$		0.269^{***} (12.86)		0.273^{***} (12.72)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.289^{***} \\ (13.54) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.310^{***} \\ (13.43) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.287^{***} \\ (8.66) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.417^{***} \\ (5.75) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.039^{***} \\ (7.61) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.039^{***} \\ (7.21) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$^{-14.417^{***}}_{(-8.44)}$	$^{-15.592^{***}}_{(-8.75)}$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$^{-10.491^{***}}_{(-3.79)}$	-10.604^{***} (-3.58)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.638^{***} \\ (8.31) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.680^{***} \\ (8.57) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.216	0.206	0.217	0.205	0.217	0.227	0.238	0.284	0.305	0.383	0.403
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.194	0.183	0.195	0.182	0.197	0.215	0.227	0.292	0.318	0.413	0.437
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	$117,023 \\ 2,803$	$117,023 \\ 2,803$	$117,023 \\ 2,803$	$117,023 \\ 2,803$
Table 8: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for daily fair value spread (fvs), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	KΜ	Н	KS		F	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}~({\rm bps})$		0.530^{**} (2.60)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.502^{**}\\ (2.57) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.547^{**}\\ (2.68) \end{array}$		0.568^{**} (2.84)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.062^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{array}$		0.053^{*} (1.86)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.042 \\ (1.10) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	$-8.51 \\ (-0.99)$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$-9.58 \\ (-0.56)$	$-15.55 \ (-0.924)$				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66\\ (0.98) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.071	0.073	0.072	0.073	0.071	0.072	0.072	0.073	0.145	0.150	0.185	0.204
Adj R^2 (full)	0.053	0.054	0.054	0.055	0.053	0.054	0.053	0.055	0.121	0.126	0.162	0.181
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.057	0.114	0.120	0.155	0.176
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.037	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.037	0.038	0.037	0.039	0.090	0.094	0.132	0.152
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table 9: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2'Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for daily fair value spread (fvs), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDGM DEF		EF	Hł	KΜ	H	KS		F	Ν	$(12) \\ 0.097 \\ (1.16)$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.382^{**}\\ (2.62) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.367^{**} \\ (2.51) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.394^{**}\\ (2.74) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.407^{**} \\ (2.93) \end{array}$		0.161^{*} (1.84)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.097\\ (1.16) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018\\ (0.65) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$ \begin{array}{l} -2.42 \\ (-0.32) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{l} -5.68 \\ (-0.77) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: $\Delta Inventory$ (\$M)							$-11.93 \\ (-0.85)$	-14.81 (-1.08)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.243 \\ (0.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.403 \\ (0.65) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.047	0.048	0.047	0.048	0.047	0.048	0.047	0.048	0.115	0.119	0.151	0.152
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (full)	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.088	0.092	0.125	0.126
R^2 (proj)	0.026	0.027	0.026	0.027	0.026	0.027	0.026	0.027	0.084	0.087	0.121	0.122
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.056	0.059	0.094	0.095
num obs	344,782 10,527	344,782 10,527	344,782 10 527	344,782 10 527	344,782 10,527	344,782 10 527	344,782 10,527	344,782 10,527	15,369	15,369	15,369	15,369
num bonds	10,037	10,037	10,037	10,037	10,037	10,537	10,037	10,037	2,003	2,003	2,003	2,003

Table 10: Principal Components of Residuals with Alternative Measure of Interdealer Price Dispersion (control for daily fair value spread)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for daily fair value spread (fvs), $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDGM		D	EF	HI	KM	H	KS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Anal	ysis					
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	56.3	54.7	56.9	55.4	56.3	54.8	56.9	55.2
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	12.5	12.5	12.1	12.2	12.6	12.5	12.5	12.6
Unexplained Variance	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.21
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
CDGM	YES							
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$
Panel B: Explaining	g PC1 of	the CD	GM Mo	del resid	ual with	Differer	nt Risk F	actors
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.002	0.03	-0.00	0.02
R^2	N/A	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04
F-statistic	N/A	6.13	3.13	4.83	1.40	3.70	0.93	2.56
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.24	0.03	0.39	0.06
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191

Table 11: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample) with Alternative Measure of Interdealer Price Dispersion (control for daily fair value spread)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^{i\,\prime} Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for daily fair value spread (fvs), $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019). See Section 4.1 for details.

_	$^{\mathrm{sample}}_{(1)}$	e by FN filt (2)	ering (3)	(4) H	$_{(5)}^{\rm FN sample}$	(6)
Panel A: Principal Comp						
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	69.6	67.0	71.8	71.9	68.3	72.2
$PC2 \ (\% \ explained)$	8.5	10.5	9.3	7.2	9.0	7.9
Unexplained Variance	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.22	0.21
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	117,023	117,023	117,023	68,168	68,168	68,168
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925

Panel B: Explaining PC1 of the CDGM Model residual

Adj. R^2	0.065	0.064	0.028	0.053	0.051	0.012
R^2	0.160	0.167	0.036	0.138	0.143	0.019
F-statistic	1.68	1.62	4.35	1.63	1.55	2.62
p-value	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.11	0.11
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	129	129	129	129	129	129

Table 12: Summary of Robustness Exercises

This table lists our robustness exercises along with the related tables in the Appendix.

	Robustness Exercise	Related Appendix Tables
	(a) Alternative interdealer price dispersion	
(a-1) (a-2) (a-3)	Alternative interdealer price dispersion controlling weekly variation Alternative interdealer price dispersion weighted by bond-month trade volume Alternative interdealer price dispersion among top 50 dealers by size	A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 A6, A7, A8, A9, A10 A11, A12, A13, A14, A15
	(b) Subsample and additional controls (interdealer price dispersion $\Delta \sigma^{D2D}$)	
(b-1)	Results for the subsample excluding the Global Financial Crisis	A16, A17, A18, A19, A20
(b-2)	Results controlling for monthly bond turnover	A21, A22, A23
(b-3)	Results controlling for interdealer price dispersion squared	A24, A25, A26
(b-4)	Results controlling for dealer market power measured by HHI	A27, A28, A29
(b-5)	Results controlling for changes in bond-month price dispersion and changes in bond-month inventory	A30, A31, A32
	(c) Subsample and additional controls (interdealer basis dispersion $\Delta \sigma_{\star}^{D2D, bondbasis}$)	
(c-1)	Results for the subsample excluding the Global Financial Crisis	A33, A34, A35, A36, A37
(c-2)	Results controlling for monthly bond turnover	A38, A39, A40
(c-3)	Results controlling for interdealer price dispersion squared	A41, A42, A43
(c-4)	Results controlling for dealer market power measured by HHI	A44, A45, A46
(c-5)	Results controlling for changes in bond-month price dispersion and changes in	A47, A48, A49
	bond-month inventory	
	(d) Other exercise (interdealer price dispersion $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	
(d-1)	CDGM approach applied to bond basis	A50
(d-2)	CDGM approach controlling for sorted by rating, maturity and leverage groups	A51, A52

Appendix

A Robustness Tables

A.1 Interdealer price dispersion controlling for Weekly variation

[Table 13 about here.][Table 14 about here.][Table 15 about here.][Table 16 about here.][Table 17 about here.]

A.2 Volume-weighted interdealer price dispersion

[Table 18 about here.][Table 19 about here.][Table 20 about here.][Table 21 about here.][Table 22 about here.]

A.3 Interdealer price dispersion among top 50 dealers by size

[Table 23 about here.]
[Table 24 about here.]
[Table 25 about here.]
[Table 26 about here.]
[Table 27 about here.]

A.4 Interdealer price dispersion results excluding the Global Financial Crisis

[Table 28 about here.][Table 29 about here.][Table 30 about here.][Table 31 about here.][Table 32 about here.]

A.5 Interdealer price dispersion results controlling for bond turnover

[Table 33 about here.]

[Table 34 about here.]

[Table 35 about here.]

A.6 Interdealer price dispersion results controlling square term

[Table 36 about here.]

[Table 37 about here.]

[Table 38 about here.]

A.7 Interdealer price dispersion results controlling dealer market power measured by HHI

[Table 39 about here.]

[Table 40 about here.]

[Table 41 about here.]

A.8 Interdealer price dispersion results controlling bond-specific price dispersion and inventory

[Table 42 about here.]

[Table 43 about here.]

[Table 44 about here.]

A.9 Interdealer bond basis dispersion results excluding the Global Financial Crisis

> [Table 45 about here.] [Table 46 about here.]

> [Table 47 about here.]

[Table 48 about here.]

[Table 49 about here.]

A.10 Interdealer bond basis dispersion results controlling for bond turnover

[Table 50 about here.]

[Table 51 about here.]

[Table 52 about here.]

A.11 Interdealer bond basis dispersion results controlling square term

[Table 53 about here.]

[Table 54 about here.]

[Table 55 about here.]

A.12 Interdealer bond basis dispersion results controlling dealer market power measured by HHI

[Table 56 about here.]

[Table 57 about here.]

[Table 58 about here.]

A.13 Interdealer bond basis dispersion results controlling bondspecific price dispersion and inventory

[Table 59 about here.]

[Table 60 about here.]

[Table 61 about here.]

A.14 CDGM Estimates with dependent variable as bond basis change

[Table 62 about here.]

A.15 CDGM Estimates controlling rating, maturity and leverage groups

[Table 63 about here.]

[Table 64 about here.]

B Price of Risk

[Figure 5 about here.]

[Figure 6 about here.]

Figures



Figure A1: Real v.s. fitted 10 DTS-portfolio average excess return across all months



Figure A2: Real v.s. fitted 25 MTS-portfolio average excess return across all months

Tables

Table A1: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for weekly variation within each bond, $Controls_i^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CE	GM	DI	EF	Hł	KΜ	Hŀ	(S	FN		Ν	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ (bps)		2.176^{***} (18.44)		2.105^{***} (17.27)		2.209^{***} (17.91)		2.17^{***} (15.93)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.689^{***} \\ (9.71) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.710^{***} \\ (4.85) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.091^{***} \\ (14.02) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \\ (0.56) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-15.078*** (-5.08)	-13.394*** (-4.23)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-20.695*** (-3.03)	-14.318** (-2.01)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.689^{***} (5.64)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.68^{***} \\ (5.35) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.234	0.206	0.235	0.205	0.236	0.227	0.257	0.284	0.336	0.383	0.417
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.217	0.185	0.218	0.182	0.221	0.215	0.252	0.292	0.355	0.413	0.464
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A2: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$$

where $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for weekly variation within each bond, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	KΜ	H	KS		F	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ (bps)		1.06^{***} (4.76)		1.04^{***} (4.49)		1.06^{***} (4.73)		1.06^{***} (4.71)		0.090^{**} (2.68)		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.86) \end{array} $
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-6.01 (-0.78)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-10.75 (-0.72)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.47 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.071	0.079	0.072	0.079	0.071	0.079	0.072	0.079	0.145	0.154	0.185	0.202
Adj R^2 (full)	0.053	0.06	0.054	0.061	0.053	0.061	0.053	0.061	0.121	0.130	0.162	0.179
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.063	0.056	0.063	0.056	0.063	0.056	0.063	0.114	0.124	0.155	0.174
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.037	0.045	0.038	0.045	0.037	0.045	0.037	0.045	0.090	0.099	0.132	0.15
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A3: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} + \beta_2'Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to t, $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for weekly variation within each bond, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	ſΜ	HI	KS	FN		N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} \text{ (bps)}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.38^{***}\\ (2.62) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.39^{***}\\ (2.68) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.38^{***}\\ (2.62) \end{array}$		0.38^{**} (2.60)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.074^{**} \\ (2.04) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.020\\(0.56) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.011 \\ (-0.78) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$ \begin{array}{c} -6.34 \\ (-1.09) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.93 \\ (-1.29) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56^{*} (-1.96)	-16.78^{*} (-1.83)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ (1.03) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.39 \\ (1.00) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.072	0.080	0.072	0.080	0.072	0.080	0.073	0.081	0.115	0.120	0.151	0.151
Adj R^2 (full)	0.051	0.059	0.051	0.059	0.051	0.059	0.053	0.060	0.088	0.093	0.125	0.125
R^2 (proj)	0.053	0.061	0.053	0.061	0.054	0.062	0.055	0.063	0.084	0.089	0.121	0.121
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.032	0.040	0.032	0.041	0.033	0.041	0.034	0.042	0.056	0.061	0.094	0.094
num obs	318,410	318,410	318,410	318,410	318,410	318,410	318,410	318,410	83,745	83,745	83,745	83,745
num bonds	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A4: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for weekly variation within each bond, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CD	GM	D	EF	Hł	KM	H	KS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Anal	ysis					
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	57.2	54.9	57.1	54.8	57.7	55.5	58.3	58.1
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	13.3	12.7	12.2	12.3	13.3	12.9	13.1	12.8
Unexplained Variance	0.222	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.214	0.19	0.204	0.179
$\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
CDGM	YES							
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$
Panel B: Explaining	; PC1 of	the CD	GM Moo	del resid	ual with	Differer	nt Risk F	actors
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.08	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.08	-0.00	0.07
R^2	N/A	0.08	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.09
F-statistic	N/A	17.05	2.64	9.65	1.26	8.94	0.82	5.97
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.44	0.00
$\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191

Table A5: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_t^{D2D,week}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion controlling for weekly variation within each bond, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019). See Section 4.1 for details.

(1) sampl	le by FN fil (2)	(3)	(4)	FN sample (5)	(6)					
Panel A: Principal Component Analysis										
56.2	45.8	71.9	71.7	62.9	68.1					
23.3	30.0	6.4	6.9	10.7	7.2					
0.136	0.132	0.12	0.17	0.24	0.24					
NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES					
YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES					
YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO					
112,839	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$	68,168	68,168	68,168					
2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925					
	sampl (1) omponent 56.2 23.3 0.136 NO YES YES 112,839 2,803	sample by FN filt (1) (2) omponent Analysis 56.2 45.8 23.3 30.0 0.136 0.132 NO YES YES YES YES YES 112,839 112,839 2,803 2,803	sample by FN filtering (1) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3) (2) (3) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (2) (3) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) 56.2 45.8 71.9 23.3 30.0 6.4 0.136 0.132 0.12 NO YES YES YES YES YES YES YES NO 112,839 112,839 112,839 2,803 2,803 2,803	sample by FN filtering (4) omponent Analysis 56.2 45.8 71.9 71.7 23.3 30.0 6.4 6.9 0.136 0.132 0.12 0.17 NO YES YES NO YES YES YES YES 112,839 112,839 112,839 68,168 2,803 2,803 2,803 925	sample by FN filtering (1) FN sample (4) Sample by FN filtering (1) FN sample (4) omponent Analysis 56.2 45.8 71.9 71.7 62.9 23.3 30.0 6.4 6.9 10.7 0.136 0.132 0.12 0.17 0.24 NO YES YES NO YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES NO YES YES 112,839 112,839 112,839 68,168 68,168 2,803 2,803 2,803 925 925					

Panel B: Explaining PC1 of the CDGM Model residual

0.02	0.15	0.13	-0.01	0.10	0.10
0.11	0.23	0.14	0.04	0.15	0.11
1.25	2.82	20.8	0.84	3.13	16.37
0.26	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00
NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
129	129	129	129	129	129
	0.02 0.11 1.25 0.26 NO YES 129	0.020.150.110.231.252.820.260.00NOYESYESJES129129	0.020.150.130.110.230.141.252.8220.80.260.000.00NOYESYESYES129129	0.020.150.13-0.010.110.230.140.041.252.8220.80.840.260.000.000.54NOYESYESNOYES129129129	0.020.150.13-0.010.100.110.230.140.040.151.252.8220.80.843.130.260.000.000.540.00NOYESYESNOYESYES129129129129

Table A6: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from Equation (6):

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ is the volume-weighted average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CD	CDGM DEF		EF	HK	М	H	KS	FN			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w} \text{ (bps)}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.67^{***} \\ (14.35) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.629^{***} \\ (12.85) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.674^{***}\\ (13.85) \end{array}$		0.702^{***} (14.26)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.350^{***} \\ (10.79) \end{array}$		0.183^{**} (2.48)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.091^{***} \\ (14.02) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026^{***} \\ (2.66) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-15.078*** (-5.08)	-7.617** (-2.54)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-20.695*** (-3.03)	-22.116*** (-3.28)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.689^{***} \\ (5.64) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29^{**} \\ (2.36) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.223	0.206	0.223	0.205	0.224	0.227	0.245	0.284	0.311	0.383	0.398
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.200	0.183	0.200	0.182	0.202	0.215	0.234	0.292	0.328	0.413	0.433
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A7: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ is the volume-weighted average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDGM		DEF		HKM		HKS		FN		Ν	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.20^{*} \\ (1.92) \end{array} $		0.20^{**} (2.37)		0.20^{*} (1.91)		0.20^{*} (1.91)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.040^{**} \\ (2.90) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.48) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.053 \\ (1.31) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-3.77 (-0.44)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-11.25 (-0.67)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.44 \\ (0.65) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.071	0.073	0.072	0.073	0.071	0.073	0.072	0.073	0.145	0.148	0.185	0.201
Adj R^2 (full)	0.053	0.05	0.054	0.055	0.053	0.054	0.053	0.054	0.121	0.124	0.162	0.179
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.058	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.057	0.114	0.118	0.155	0.173
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.037	0.038	0.038	0.039	0.037	0.038	0.037	0.038	0.090	0.093	0.132	0.149
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A8: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, vol-w} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ is the volume-weighted average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DEF		HKM		HKS		FN			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.17^{***} \\ (7.86) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.17^{***} \\ (7.89) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.17^{***} \\ (7.73) \end{array}$		0.18^{***} (7.71)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.022\\ (1.57) \end{array}$		-0.004 (-0.217)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ (0.103) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ (0.118) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$\begin{array}{c} -6.34 \\ (-1.09) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} -5.94 \\ (-1.14) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56^{*} (-1.96)	-17.68^{*} (-1.87)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ (1.03) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ (0.98) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.072	0.080	0.072	0.080	0.072	0.080	0.073	0.081	0.115	0.116	0.151	0.151
Adj R^2 (full)	0.051	0.060	0.051	0.060	0.051	0.060	0.053	0.061	0.088	0.089	0.125	0.125
R^2 (proj)	0.053	0.062	0.053	0.062	0.054	0.062	0.055	0.063	0.084	0.085	0.121	0.121
Adj R ² (proj)	0.032	0.041	0.032	0.041	0.033	0.041	0.034	0.042	0.056	0.056	0.094	0.094
num bonds	318,410 8,081	518,410 8,081	518,410 8,081	518,410 8,081	518,410 8,081	318,410 8,081	318,410 8,081	318,410 8,081	$^{63,745}_{2,803}$	$^{63,145}_{2,803}$	$^{63,745}_{2,803}$	$^{63,740}_{2,803}$

Table A9: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w} + \beta_2^i Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ is the volume-weighted average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDGM		D	EF	Hŀ	KM	HKS		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Anal	ysis						
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	55.9	60.1	56.4	60.3	55.8	60.2	56.5	60.8	
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	12.3	8.7	11.9	8.3	12.4	8.7	12.3	8.8	
Unexplained Variance	0.25	0.19	0.22	0.18	0.24	0.19	0.23	0.18	
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
num obs	$542,\!798$	542,798	542,798	$542,\!798$	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	
Panel B: Explaining	; PC1 of	the CD	GM Moo	del resid	ual with	Differen	nt Risk F	actors	
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.08	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.08	-0.00	0.08	
R^2	N/A	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.09	
F-statistic	N/A	17.19	3.16	9.88	1.17	9.07	0.97	6.32	
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.38	0.00	
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
num obs	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	

Table A10: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ is the volume-weighted average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019). See Section 4.1 for details.

	$^{\mathrm{sample}}_{(1)}$	e by FN filt (2)	ering (3)	(4) F	$^{\rm CN}$ sample (5)	(6)
Panel A: Principal Co	mponent A	nalysis				
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	66.5	60.0	67.2	71.7	67.3	72.3
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	8.9	10.2	9.1	6.9	9.3	7.3
Unexplained Variance	0.12	0.21	0.22	0.17	0.23	0.22
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	112,839	112,839	112,839	68,168	68,168	68,168
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925

Panel B: Explaining PC1 of the CDGM Model residual

Adj. R^2	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01
R^2	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.00
F-statistic	0.68	0.65	0.25	0.84	0.64	0.01
$p ext{-value}$	0.67	0.71	0.62	0.54	0.72	0.91
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,vol-w}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	129	129	129	129	129	129

Table A11: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, top50} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion constructed using transactions completed by the top 50 dealers, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDGM		DEF		HKM		HKS		FN		Ν	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D,top50}~({\rm bps})$		2.217^{***} (29.01)		2.162^{***} (27.28)		1.792^{***} (19.43)		$\begin{array}{c} 1.923^{***}\\ (23.21) \end{array}$		1.128^{***} (6.17)		1.017^{***} (7.69)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.053^{***} \\ (8.12) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.038^{***} \\ (5.69) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-28.85*** (-14.26)	-19.44*** (-8.58)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-3.99 (-1.14)	-6.21* (-1.86)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							1.05^{***} (11.3)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75^{***} \\ (8.18) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.193	0.229	0.192	0.227	0.201	0.234	0.225	0.255	0.284	0.383	0.383	0.4
Median adj R^2	0.182	0.225	0.183	0.224	0.195	0.23	0.211	0.247	0.292	0.413	0.413	0.443
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	117,023	117,023	117,023	117,023
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A12: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50} + \beta_2'Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion constructed using transactions completed by the top 50 dealers (we calculate series { $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ } using academic version of TRACE data which is available until March 2018), η^i is bond fixed effect, *Controls*_t^i contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	CDGM		DEF		HKM		HKS		FN		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)		2.09^{***} (6.65)		2.06^{***} (6.29)		2.10^{***} (6.66)		2.11^{***} (6.69)		1.20^{*} (1.80)		1.14^{*} (1.76)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.58) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-5.70 (-0.63)	-7.10 (-0.91)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$2.91 \\ (0.16)$	-0.18 (-0.01)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.47 \\ (0.69) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63 \\ (0.95) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE D^2 (f.11)	YE5 0.074	Y E.5	YE5 0.074	Y E.5	Y E5 0.074	Y E.5	YE5 0.074	YE5 0.081	YE5 0 192	YE5 0 122	YE5 0 122	YES 0.140
Λ^- (1011) $\Lambda_{\rm di}$; D^2 (f.11)	0.074	0.081	0.074	0.081	0.074	0.061	0.074	0.060	0.125	0.155	0.155 0.1119	0.140
P^2 (proj)	0.055	0.00	0.054	0.000	0.055	0.000	0.055	0.000	0.102	0.111	0.1112	0.119
n (proj) $A di P^2 (\text{proj})$	0.000	0.005	0.039	0.005	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.005	0.114	0.124	0.124	0.131
num obs	462 125	$462\ 125$	462 125	$462\ 125$	462 125	$462\ 125$	462 125	$462\ 125$	117 023	$117\ 023$	$117\ 023$	$117\ 023$
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A13: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, top50} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion constructed using transactions completed by the top 50 dealers, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasures; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	CDGM		DEF		HKM		HKS		FN		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)		1.59^{***} (6.17)		$ \begin{array}{c} 1.58^{***} \\ (5.71) \end{array} $		1.59^{***} (6.14)		$\begin{array}{c} 1.60^{***} \\ (6.13) \end{array}$		0.996^{*} (1.72)		0.927^{*} (1.79)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.027 \\ (0.94) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.006 \\ (0.24) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.06 (-0.533)	-5.46 (-0.748)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-4.27 (-0.29)	-6.31 (-0.46)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.31 \\ (0.49) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.41 \\ (0.68) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.048	0.053	0.048	0.053	0.048	0.054	0.048	0.054	0.081	0.088	0.091	0.095
Adj R^2 (full)	0.024	0.030	0.024	0.030	0.024	0.030	0.024	0.030	0.056	0.063	0.065	0.070
R^2 (proj)	0.026	0.032	0.026	0.032	0.026	0.032	0.026	0.032	0.070	0.076	0.079	0.084
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.008	0.044	0.051	0.053	0.058
num obs	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	$318,\!410$	318,410	83,745	83,745	83,745	83,745
num bonds	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	8,081	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A14: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, top50} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion constructed using transactions completed by the top 50 dealers, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDGM		D	EF	Hł	KM	HKS	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Anal	ysis					
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	56.0	55.3	56.5	55.5	55.9	55.6	56.6	56.4
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	12.7	9.3	12.4	9.4	12.8	9.2	12.7	9.1
Unexplained Variance	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.25	0.21	0.24	0.20
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
CDGM	YES							
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$	$462,\!125$
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$
Panel B: Explaining	g PC1 of	the CD	GM Moo	del resid	ual with	Differer	nt Risk F	actors
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.124	0.011	0.128	0.003	0.124	-0.002	0.118
R^2	N/A	0.129	0.017	0.138	0.009	0.134	0.010	0.134
<i>F</i> -statistic	N/A	24.85	2.915	13.41	1.497	12.91	0.831	8.57
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.00	0.090	0.00	0.223	0.00	0.44	0.00
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO							
num obs	170	170	170	170	170	170	170	170

Table A15: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations::

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50} + \beta_2^{i\,\prime}Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ is the alternative measure of monthly change in dealer market price dispersion constructed using transactions completed by the top 50 dealers, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019),for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019). See Section 4.1 for details.

	sample (1)	(2) by FN filte	ering (3)	(4) F	$\stackrel{\text{`N sample}}{(5)}$	(6)
Panel A: Principal Co	mponent A	nalysis				
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	61.6	49.2	72.4	48.6	47.4	65.3
$PC2 \ (\% \ explained)$	13.9	30.0	6.7	33.6	33.1	9.9
Unexplained Variance	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.56	0.63	0.32
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$	68,168	68,168	68,168
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925

Panel B:	Explaining	$\mathbf{PC1}$	of the	CDGM	Model	residual
----------	------------	----------------	--------	------	-------	----------

Adj. R^2	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.07	0.03
R^2	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.04
F-statistic	1.29	1.85	13.69	1.35	1.65	4.24
p-value	0.23	0.04	0.00	0.20	0.08	0.04
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,top50}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	129	129	129	129	129	129

Table A16: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CL	OGM	DEF		HKM		HKS			FN		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.689^{***} (31.01)		1.58^{***} (28.39)		1.749^{***} (30.68)		1.826^{***} (30.27)		1.470^{***} (12.59)		$\begin{array}{c} 1.316^{***} \\ (6.54) \end{array}$
DEF			-0.019** (-2.76)	0 (-0.06)								
НКМ					$^{-11.472^{***}}_{(-6.19)}$	-5.524*** (-2.74)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.897*** (-3.72)	-6.449** (-2.00)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (5.32) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.257^{***} \\ (3.00) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.167	0.198	0.168	0.196	0.166	0.199	0.189	0.22	0.2	0.251	0.328	0.35
Median adj R^2	0.146	0.183	0.145	0.181	0.146	0.185	0.175	0.211	0.202	0.262	0.361	0.397
num obs	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	96,735	96,735	96,735	96,735
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A17: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	D	EF	Hŀ	KΜ	H	KS		F	Ν	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		2.12^{***} (4.82)		2.12^{***} (4.84)		2.17^{***} (4.98)		2.15^{***} (4.97)		1.93^{**} (3.04)		1.55^{**} (3.18)
DEF			-0.01 (-0.28)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-3.07 (-0.44)	$^{-10.44}_{(-1.51)}$						
HKS-1: $\Delta Inventory$ (\$M)							-20.3 (-1.03)	$^{-14.3}_{(-0.72)}$				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.44 \\ (0.70) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.73 \\ (1.12) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.06	0.065	0.060	0.065	0.060	0.065	0.060	0.065	0.093	0.108	0.116	0.124
Adj R^2 (full)	0.040	0.044	0.040	0.045	0.040	0.045	0.040	0.045	0.066	0.081	0.089	0.097
R^2 (proj)	0.035	0.040	0.035	0.040	0.035	0.040	0.036	0.041	0.067	0.083	0.091	0.098
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.014	0.020	0.015	0.020	0.015	0.020	0.015	0.020	0.039	0.055	0.063	0.071
num obs	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	96,735	96,735	96,735	96,735
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A18: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2'Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DEF		Hł	KМ	Hŀ	S		F	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.51^{***} (5.17)		1.52^{***} (5.15)		1.57^{***} (5.41)		1.52^{***} (5.26)		1.65^{***} (4.05)		1.39^{***} (4.50)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.135) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009 \\ (0.475) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-7.49 (-1.21)	-1.18^{**} (-2.47)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$\begin{array}{c} 0.70^{*} \\ (1.73) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77^{**} \\ (2.36) \end{array}$				
HKS-2: dealer distress							-23.29^{**} (-2.56)	-16.41^{*} (-1.82)				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.058	0.071	0.058	0.071	0.059	0.072	0.061	0.074	0.076	0.095	0.092	0.103
Adj R^2 (full)	0.036	0.049	0.036	0.049	0.036	0.050	0.039	0.052	0.046	0.066	0.063	0.074
R^2 (proj)	0.037	0.050	0.037	0.050	0.037	0.051	0.040	0.053	0.043	0.063	0.060	0.071
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.014	0.028	0.014	0.028	0.015	0.029	0.017	0.030	0.012	0.033	0.029	0.041
num obs	360,286	360,286	360,286	360,286	360,286	360,286	360,286	360,286	67,739	67,739	67,739	67,739
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A19: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, *Controls*⁴ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CD	GM	D	EF	Hł	KM	H	KS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Anal	ysis					
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	65.6	60.0	64.8	60.1	65.8	60.3	66.7	61.0
$PC2 \ (\% \ explained)$	8.8	9.9	9.2	10.1	8.7	9.9	8.5	9.6
Unexplained Variance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
num obs	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	501,362	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$
Panel B: Explaining	g PC1 of	the CD	GM Moo	del resid	ual with	Differer	nt Risk F	actors
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.14	-0.00	0.14	-0.00	0.14	-0.00	0.14
R^2	N/A	0.15	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.15	0.01	0.16
F-statistic	N/A	28.82	0.46	15.22	0.44	15.2	0.88	10.18
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.42	0.00
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
num obs	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169

Table A20: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, *Controls*¹_t contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and Fstatistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019) but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

	$_{(1)}^{\mathrm{sample}}$	by FN filt (2)	ering (3)	(4) F	$^{ m N}$ sample (5)	(6)
Panel A: Principal Co	mponent	Analysis				
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	44.8	58.0	72.2	50.4	48.9	50.0
$PC2 \ (\% \ explained)$	23.3	7.4	5.4	26.6	24.8	20.3
Unexplained Variance	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.18	0.29
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	96,735	96,735	96,735	37,863	37,863	37,863
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925

Panel B: Explaining PC1 of the CDGM Model residual

Adj. R^2	0.20	0.25	0.13	-0.01	-0.01	0.01
R^2	0.30	0.35	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.02
F-statistic	2.98	3.43	14.8	0.95	0.93	1.71
$p ext{-value}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.52	0.19
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	97	97	97	97	97	97

Table A21: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i \Delta \overline{turnover}_t + \beta_3^i Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CI	CDGM		DEF		HKM		KS		FI	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.382^{***} (27.8)		1.325^{***} (25.88)		1.412^{***} (27.25)		1.419^{***} (26.76)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.584^{***} \\ (5.81) \end{array}$		0.717^{***} (4.26)
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		-0.088*** (-2.69)		-0.002 (-0.06)		-0.09*** (-2.68)		-0.092*** (-2.61)		$\begin{array}{c} -62.956^{***} \\ (-10.96) \end{array}$		-54.83^{***} (-4.16)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.091^{***} \\ (14.02) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024^{***} \\ (4.14) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-15.078*** (-5.08)	-11.481*** (-6.24)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-20.695*** (-3.03)	-13.096*** (-4.38)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.689^{***} (5.64)	0.409^{***} (4.98)				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.237	0.206	0.236	0.205	0.237	0.227	0.257	0.289	0.343	0.393	0.413
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.216	0.185	0.216	0.182	0.217	0.215	0.25	0.3	0.365	0.424	0.473
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	112,839	112,839	112,839	112,839
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A22: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2 \Delta \overline{turnover}_t + \beta'_3 Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	CDGM		DEF H		KΜ	HI	KS		F		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.77^{***} \\ (5.08) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.77^{***} \\ (4.81) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.77^{***} \\ (5.06) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.77^{***} \\ (5.07) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.659^{*} \\ (1.95) \end{array}$		0.796^{**} (2.70)
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		-0.31 (-1.20)		-0.27 (-1.05)		-0.30 (-1.16)		-0.30 (-1.15)		-68.85^{**} (-2.07)		-77.95^{**} (-2.49)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.045\\ (1.24) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-3.26 (-0.39)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-13.68 (-0.86)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32\\ (0.48) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
B_{2}^{2} (full)	1 65	1 65	1 E.5	1 E.5	1 E/5	1 E.5	1 E.5	1 E.5	1 E.5	0.141	1 E.5	1 E S
Adi B^2 (full)	0.071	0.077	0.072	0.059	0.071	0.071	0.072	0.058	0.120	0.119	0.100	0.131
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.056	0.061	0.116	0.132	0.129	0.144
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (proj)	0.037	0.042	0.038	0.043	0.037	0.042	0.037	0.042	0.093	0.110	0.107	0.122
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	$112,\!839$	112,839	112,839	$112,\!839$
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A23: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} - \Delta FVS_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D} + \beta_{2} \Delta \overline{turnover}_{t} + \beta_{3}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDGM DEF		EF	Hŀ	KΜ	Н	IKS		FI			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (6.34) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (6.42) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (6.20) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (6.23) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.453^{***} \\ (3.27) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.351^{**}\\ (2.68) \end{array}$
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		$\begin{array}{c} -2.52 \\ (-0.21) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} -2.64 \\ (-0.22) \end{array}$		-1.46 (-1.12)		-1.58 (-0.13)		-24.03 (-1.23)		-29.61 (-1.51)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.002 \\ (-0.14) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$-3.67 \\ (-0.54)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.33 \\ (-1.19) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$-11.93 \\ (-0.85)$	-18.46^{**} (-2.05)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.243 \\ (0.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.33 \\ (0.81) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions Bond FE	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	NO VES	YES	YES
B^2 (full)	0.072	0.084	0.072	0.084	0.072	0.084	0.073	0.085	0 103	0 119	0.120	0.127
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (full)	0.051	0.063	0.051	0.063	0.051	0.063	0.053	0.064	0.078	0.095	0.095	0.103
R^2 (proj)	0.053	0.065	0.053	0.065	0.054	0.066	0.055	0.067	0.079	0.095	0.096	0.103
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (proj)	0.032	0.044	0.032	0.044	0.033	0.045	0.034	0.046	0.053	0.070	0.071	0.078
num obs	385,200	385,200	$385,\!200$	$385,\!200$	385,200	385,200	$385,\!200$	385,200	$78,\!370$	$78,\!370$	$78,\!370$	$78,\!370$
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803
Table A24: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i (\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 + \beta_3^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDC	GM	DE	\mathbf{F}	HK	М	HK	S		FN	1	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.157**	**	1.147**	**	1.195**	*	1.223**	*	1.045**	*	0.693*
		(18.73)		(18.19)		(18.43)		(18.31)		(5.42)		(2.00)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 \ (\mathrm{bps}^2)$		0.028**	**	0.018**	k*	0.033**		0.024**	*	-0.053°	**	-0.019
		(4.45)		(2.61)		(5.17)		(3.67)		(-2.50)		(-0.34)
DEF			0.039**	**0.040**	k*							
			(7.61)	(6.92)								
HKM					-14.42^{*}	* <u>*</u> 10.576	***					
					(-8.44)	(-5.81)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49*	* <u>*</u> 12.119	***			
U ()							(-3.79)	(-4.19)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638**	**0.378**	*			
							(8.31)	(4.7)				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.243	0.206	0.243	0.205	0.244	0.227	0.265	0.284	0.363	0.383	0.428
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.221	0.183	0.22	0.182	0.223	0.215	0.255	0.292	0.391	0.413	0.488
num obs	542,798	8542,798	8542,798	8542,798	8542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	112,839	112,839	112,839	112,839
num bonds	10,537	10,537	$10,\!537$	10,537	10,537	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$2,\!803$	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A25: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + beta_2 (\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 + \beta'_3 Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer investment grader and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	ΞM	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		$_{\rm FN}$	1	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.911** (3.38)	*	0.980** (3.57)	*	0.914** (3.36)	*	0.915** (3.36)	*	0.773* (2.21)		0.888** (2.93)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 \text{ (bps}^2)$		-1.26 * (-0.88)	e^{-3}	-1.92 * (-1.24)	e^{-3}	-1.28 * (-0.87)	e^{-3}	-1.29 * (-0.87)	e^{-3}	-1.71 * (-1.70)	e^{-3}	$-1.31 * e^{-3}$ (-1.26)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	0.057 (1.44)								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-4.69 (-0.57)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-14.37 (-0.92)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36 \\ (0.53) \end{array}$				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES								
$R^{2} \text{ (full)} Adj R^{2} \text{ (full)} R^{2} \text{ (proj)} Adj R^{2} \text{ (proj)}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.061 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.059 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.043 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.061 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.061 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125 \\ 0.103 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.139 \\ 0.117 \\ 0.13 \\ 0.108 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.138 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.107 \end{array}$	$0.15 \\ 0.128 \\ 0.141 \\ 0.119$
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803							

Table A26: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2 (\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 + \beta_3' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, *Controls*_tⁱ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	GM	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		FI	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.510** (3.80)	*	0.512** (3.54)	*	0.514** (3.72)	*	0.517** (3.66)	**	0.597^{**} (3.84)	**	0.499^{***} (3.85)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D})^2 \text{ (bps}^2)$		$-5.78e^{-0.83}$	-4	$-6.05e^{-6.0$	-4	$-6.17e^{-6.111e^{-6.111e^{-6.111e^{-6.11e^{1$	-4	-6.36e (-0.86)	-4	-2.75e (-2.17)	$^{-3*}$	$-3.33e^{-3*3}$ (-3.25)
DEF			0.002 (0.10)	0.003 (0.143)								
НКМ					-6.34 (-1.09)	-6.78 (-1.18)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56 (-1.96)	$^{*}-20.60$ (-2.30)	**			
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.42 (1.03)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38 \\ (0.84) \end{array}$				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$R^{2} (full)$ Adj $R^{2} (full)$ $R^{2} (proj)$ Adj $R^{2} (proj)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$0.082 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.043$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$0.082 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.043$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.033 \end{array}$	$0.083 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.043$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.073 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.034 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.103 \\ 0.078 \\ 0.079 \\ 0.053 \end{array}$	$0.121 \\ 0.097 \\ 0.097 \\ 0.072$	$0.120 \\ 0.095 \\ 0.096 \\ 0.071$	0.129 0.105 0.106 0.081
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	2,803 (78,370) (2,803)	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$

Table A27: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i HHI_t + \beta_3^i Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, HHI_t is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDC	ΞM	DE	F	HK	Μ	HK	S		FI	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.216**	*	1.434**	*	1.008**	*	1.373**	*	0.724**	**	1.093***
		(16.91)		(23.1)		(13.62)		(14.62)		(7.78)		(6.68)
HHI_t		0.024		0.013**	*	0.019**	*	0.020**	*	-0.000		-0.010^{*}
		(13.15)		(5.99)		(10.25)		(10.29)		(-0.07)		(-1.64)
DEF			0.039**	**0.092**	*							
			(7.61)	(11.12)								
HKM					-14.42^{*}	**_22.264	***					
					(-8.44)	(-10.24))					
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49*	**12.03*	**			
							(-3.79)	(-3.33)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638**	**0.730**	*			
							(8.31)	(6.80)				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.205	0.23	0.206	0.231	0.205	0.242	0.227	0.257	0.284	0.345	0.383	0.425
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.226	0.183	0.228	0.182	0.235	0.215	0.25	0.292	0.367	0.413	0.474
num obs	542,798	3542,798	542,798	542,798	3542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	3112,839	9.112,839	9112,839	$9112,\!839$
num bonds	10,537	10,537	$10,\!537$	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A28: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2 HHI_t + \beta'_3 Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month $t, \Delta \sigma_t^{FVS}$ is the simple average change in fair-value-spread (FVS) dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month $t, \Delta \sigma_t^{FVS}$ is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019): we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	GM	DE	EF	HK	Μ	HK	s		\mathbf{F}	V	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.720** (4.94)	**	0.719** (4.62)	**	0.720** (4.90)	*	0.720** (4.89)	**	0.649* (1.95)		0.827** (2.72)
HHI_t		0.008* (1.78)		0.008 (1.24)		0.008 (1.23)		0.008 (1.24)		0.025^{**} (4.92)	**	0.019** (2.42)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	0.046 (1.24)								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-5.70 (-0.70)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-6.41 (-0.37)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.46 (0.67)	0.37 (0.56)				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES									
$ \begin{array}{l} R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125 \\ 0.103 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.143 \\ 0.121 \\ 0.134 \\ 0.112 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.138 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.107 \end{array}$	$0.152 \\ 0.131 \\ 0.144 \\ 0.122$
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	8112,839 2,803	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.112,839\\ 2,803 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 112,839\\2,803\end{array} $	112,839 2,803

Table A29: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2 HHI_t + \beta'_3 Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, HHI_t is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD0	GM	DF	EF	HK	М	HK	S		FI	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.415** (6.18)	**	0.415** (6.27)	*	0.415** (5.92)	**	0.417** (5.92)	*	0.436^{**} (3.24)	**	0.359^{**} (2.78)
HHI_t		0.007^{*} (3.41)	**	0.007^{*} (1.92)		0.007^{*} (1.95)		0.007^{*} (1.91)		0.015^{**} (3.18)	**	0.011^{**} (2.32)
DEF			0.002 (0.10)	-0.002 (-0.145))							
НКМ					-6.34 (-1.09)	-9.51 (-1.62)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56 (-1.96)	$^{*-11.70}_{(-1.19)}$	*			
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.42 (1.03)	0.50 (1.13)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$R^{2} (full)$ Adj $R^{2} (full)$ $R^{2} (proj)$ Adj $R^{2} (proj)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.093 \\ 0.069 \\ 0.072 \\ 0.048 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.093 \\ 0.069 \\ 0.072 \\ 0.048 \end{array}$	$0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.033$	$0.094 \\ 0.070 \\ 0.073 \\ 0.049$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.073 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.034 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.094 \\ 0.071 \\ 0.074 \\ 0.049 \end{array}$	$0.103 \\ 0.078 \\ 0.079 \\ 0.053$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.123 \\ 0.099 \\ 0.099 \\ 0.075 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120 \\ 0.095 \\ 0.096 \\ 0.071 \end{array}$	$0.128 \\ 0.104 \\ 0.104 \\ 0.080$
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537	0.385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	0.385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	$ \begin{array}{c} 78,370 \\ 2,803 \end{array} $	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$

Table A30: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{0}^{i} + \beta_{1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D} + \beta_{2}^{i} \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D} + \beta_{3}^{i} Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}^{i} 'Controls_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ is bond-specific dealer market price dispersion in month t, $Inventory_{i,t}$ is bond-specific dealer inventory in month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDC	βM	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		$_{\rm FN}$	J	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.383** (22.21)	*	1.402** (20.5)	*	1.450** (22.18)	*	1.452** (20.64)	*	0.942** (8.38)	*	0.831*** (4.54)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ (bps)		-0.401 (-0.43)		-1.619 (-1.52)		-0.641 (-0.65)		-1.624 (-1.54)		-7.341 (-0.27)		2.335 (0.59)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$M)		2.484** (10.96)	*	2.158** (10.03)	*	2.443** (11.48)	*	2.665** (11.48)	**	0.220* (1.72)		1.029*** (3.47)
DEF			0.039** (7.61)	* *0.035** (4.06)	*							
НКМ					-14.42^{*} (-8.44)	$^{*\pm}17.25^{*}$ (-5.61)	**					
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49^{*} (-3.79)	$^{*\underline{*}}8.13^{*}$ (-1.71)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638** (8.31)	* 0 .474** (3.51)	*			
CDGM FN OTC frictions Mean adj R^2 Median adj R^2 num obs num bonds	YES NO 0.205 0.181 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.29 0.276 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.206 0.183 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.29 0.277 0.517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.205 0.182 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.289 0.278 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.227 0.215 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.311 0.306 517,880 10,537	YES NO 0.284 0.292 0.112,539 2,802	YES NO 0.371 0.403 112,539 2,802	YES YES 0.383 0.413 112,539 2,802	YES YES 0.445 0.5 9 112,539 2,802

Table A31: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D} + \beta_{2} \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D} + \beta_{3} Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i},$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ is bond-specific dealer market price dispersion in month t, $Inventory_{i,t}$ is bond-specific dealer inventory in month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDO	GM	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		FI	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.745** (4.64)	<*	0.744** (4.46)	**	0.745** (4.62)	*	0.745** (4.46)	*	0.679* (2.02)		0.798** (2.66)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ (bps)		7.76*** (3.36)	¢	7.65*** (3.43)	¢	7.76^{***} (3.36)	:	7.75*** (3.36)	¢	13.54** (3.08)	*	13.60*** (3.52)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$B)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.51 \\ (0.39) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ (0.33) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.51 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.53 \\ (0.04) \end{array}$		-0.792 (-0.60)	2	-0.914 (-0.69)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.029 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-4.21 (-0.52)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-12.70 (-0.82)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ (0.52) \end{array}$				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES							
$ \begin{array}{l} R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.068 \\ 0.048 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.068 \\ 0.049 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.068 \\ 0.048 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.068 \\ 0.048 \end{array}$	$0.125 \\ 0.103 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.093$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.144 \\ 0.122 \\ 0.135 \\ 0.113 \end{array}$	$0.138 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.107$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.155 \\ 0.134 \\ 0.147 \\ 0.125 \end{array}$
num obs num bonds	517,880 10,537	0.517,880 10,537	0.517,880 10,537	517,880 10,537	0.517,880 10,537	517,880 10,537	517,880 10,537	517,880 10,537	$ \begin{array}{c} 112,539\\ 2,802 \end{array} $	$9\ 112,539\ 2,802$	$9\ 112,53$ 2,802	$9\ 112,539\ 2,802$

Table A32: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} - \Delta FVS_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1}\Delta\sigma_{t}^{D2D} + \beta_{2}\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D} + \beta_{3}Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}'Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ is bond-specific dealer market price dispersion in month *t*, *Inventory*_{*i*,*t*} is bond-specific dealer inventory in month *t*, *Controls*^{*i*}_{*t*} contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDO	GΜ	DF	\mathbf{F}	HK	Μ	HK	s		FI	V	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.446** (6.74)	<*	0.446** (6.84)	*	0.446** (6.55)	*	0.43*** (6.20)	:	0.465^{**} (3.36)	**	0.364^{**} (2.75)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D}$ (bps)		0.712** (4.03)	**	0.719** (4.03)	*	0.707** (4.00)	*	0.69^{***} (3.94)	:	1.57 (1.40)		1.96^{*} (1.70)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$B)		0.623 (1.30)		0.626 (1.30)		0.628 (1.31)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.65 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$		-0.950 (-0.89))	-0.954 (-1.04)
DEF			0.002 (0.10)	-0.004 (-0.26)								
НКМ					-6.34 (-1.09)	-6.61 (-1.17)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56 (-1.96)	$^{*}-20.37$ (-2.28)	**			
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.42 (1.03)	0.38 (0.87)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$ \begin{array}{l} R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.043 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.043 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.033 \end{array}$	$0.083 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.065 \\ 0.043$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.073 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.034 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.066 \\ 0.045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.103 \\ 0.078 \\ 0.079 \\ 0.053 \end{array}$	$0.119 \\ 0.094 \\ 0.094 \\ 0.070$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120 \\ 0.095 \\ 0.096 \\ 0.071 \end{array}$	$0.126 \\ 0.102 \\ 0.102 \\ 0.077$
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537	0.385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	0.385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	78,370 2,803	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$

Table A33: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CE	GM	DE	EF	Hŀ	ŚМ	HI	ΚS		F	Ν	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.209^{***} (9.86)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.168^{***}\\ (7.68) \end{array}$		0.232^{***} (10.56)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.241^{***}\\ (9.52) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.389^{***} \\ (8.07) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.310^{***}\\ (3.58) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} -0.019^{**} \\ (-2.76) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.006 \\ (-0.86) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$^{-11.472^{***}}_{(-6.19)}$	-11.529^{***} (-5.97)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.897*** (-3.72)	$^{-17.723^{***}}_{(-5.2)}$				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.43^{***} \\ (5.32) \end{array}$	0.535^{***} (6.07)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES YES	YES YES
Mean adj R^2 Median adj R^2 num obs num bonds	$\begin{array}{c} 0.167 \\ 0.146 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.178 \\ 0.157 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.168 \\ 0.145 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.177 \\ 0.156 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166 \\ 0.146 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.176 \\ 0.16 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	0.189 0.175 501,362 10,537	$\begin{array}{c} 0.197 \\ 0.188 \\ 501,362 \\ 10,537 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.202 \\ 96,735 \\ 2,803 \end{array}$	0.214 0.209 96,735 2,803	$\begin{array}{c} 0.328 \\ 0.361 \\ 96,735 \\ 2,803 \end{array}$	0.336 0.37 96,735 2,803

Table A34: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	KМ	H	KS]	FN	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.299^{*} (1.76)		0.296^{*} (1.78)		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.315^{*} \\ (1.86) \end{array} $		$\begin{array}{c} 0.359^{**}\\ (2.26) \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.163^{*} \\ (2.04) \end{array} $		$\begin{array}{c} 0.182^{***}\\ (3.98) \end{array}$
DEF			-0.01 (-0.28)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.007 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-3.07 (-0.44)	$ \begin{array}{l} -5.90 \\ (-0.86) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-20.3 (-1.03)	-24.8^{*} (-1.69)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.44 \\ (0.70) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.61 \\ (0.989) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.06	0.061	0.060	0.061	0.060	0.061	0.060	0.061	0.093	0.098	0.116	0.121
Adj R^2 (full)	0.040	0.04	0.040	0.04	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.066	0.071	0.089	0.095
R^2 (proj)	0.035	0.036	0.035	0.036	0.035	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.067	0.083	0.072	0.096
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.039	0.044	0.063	0.069
num obs	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	501,362	96,735	96,735	96,735	96,735
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A35: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2'Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	DI	EF	Hŀ	КM	H	KS		F	FN	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \ (\text{bps})$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.162^{*} \\ (1.96) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.164^{*} \\ (2.04) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.182^{*} \\ (2.22) \end{array}$		0.207^{**} (2.65)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.085^{**} \\ (3.03) \end{array}$		0.094^{***} (4.87)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.004 \\ (0.224) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.007 \\ (0.358) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$ \begin{array}{l} -5.03 \\ (-1.12) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.75 \\ (-1.54) \end{array} $						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-23.05^{**} (-2.69)	-24.94^{**} (-2.92)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.299 \\ (0.85) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.409 \\ (1.15) \end{array} $				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Bond FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
R^2 (full)	0.071	0.073	0.071	0.073	0.072	0.075	0.076	0.081	0.113	0.121	0.145	0.154
Adj R^2 (full)	0.044	0.047	0.044	0.047	0.045	0.048	0.050	0.054	0.082	0.090	0.115	0.124
R^2 (proj)	0.044	0.046	0.044	0.047	0.045	0.048	0.050	0.054	0.075	0.083	0.109	0.118
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.016	0.019	0.016	0.019	0.017	0.021	0.022	0.027	0.043	0.051	0.078	0.087
num obs	289,893	289,893	289,893	289,893	289,893	289,893	289,893	289,893	66,283	66,283	66,283	66,283
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A36: Principal Components of Residuals

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level ot the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. For all model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019 but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CDGM} \\ (1) (2) \end{array}$		D	EF	Hł	KM	H	KS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: Principal	Compon	ent Ana	lysis					
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	65.6	64.9	64.8	64.8	65.8	65.3	66.7	66.2
$PC2 \ (\% \ explained)$	8.8	8.5	9.2	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.5	8.4
Unexplained Variance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
num obs	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$	$501,\!362$
num bonds	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$	$10,\!537$
Panel B: Explaining	g PC1 of	the CD	GM Moo	del resid	ual with	Differen	nt Risk F	actors
Adj. R^2	N/A	0.014	-0.003	0.013	-0.003	0.012	-0.001	0.015
R^2	N/A	0.020	0.003	0.025	0.003	0.024	0.010	0.033
F-statistic	N/A	3.37	0.46	2.13	0.44	2.02	0.88	1.88
<i>p</i> -value	N/A	0.07	0.50	0.12	0.51	0.14	0.42	0.14
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
num obs	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169

Table A37: Principal Components of Residuals (FN sample)

This table reports principal component analysis of the residuals from Equation (6) regression estimations by using the sample data excluding GFC period:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i 'Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. Panel A reports the friction of variance of residuals explained by the first and second principal component, and level of the remaining unexplained variance. Panel B reports R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and F-statistics of the regression of the first principal component of CDGM residual (Column 1 specification) on the various controls considered in Panel B. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), for Columns 1-3, we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013 but excluding GFC period, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For Columns 4-6, we use the sample in Friedwald and Nagler (2019) but excluding GFC period. See Section 4.1 for details.

_	sample (1)	by FN filt (2)	ering (3)	(4) F	$ N \text{ sample} \\ (5) $	(6)
Panel A: Principal Comp	onent Ana	alysis				
PC1 ($\%$ explained)	65.4	64.4	76.1	59.5	59.0	62.3
PC2 ($\%$ explained)	7.5	7.4	4.6	9.3	10.1	12.6
Unexplained Variance	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.11
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	96,735	96,735	96,735	37,863	37,863	37,863
num bonds	2,803	2,803	2,803	925	925	925

Panel B: Explaining PC1 of the CDGM Model residual

Adj. R^2	0.19	0.18	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.01
R^2	0.29	0.29	0.03	0.17	0.17	0.02
F-statistic	2.86	2.62	2.49	1.74	1.61	2.17
p-value	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.14
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
num obs	97	97	97	97	97	97

Table A38: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{0}^{i} + \beta_{1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2}^{i} \Delta \overline{turnover}_{t} + \beta_{3}^{i'} Controls_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month $t, \Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	C	DGM	D	EF	HI	KМ	Н	KS		F	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}~({\rm bps})$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.271^{***} \\ (12.65) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.291^{***} \\ (13.24) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.292^{***} \\ (13.39) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.320^{***}\\ (13.35) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.584^{***} \\ (5.81) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.717^{***} \\ (4.26) \end{array}$
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		-18.193^{***} (-5.51)		-9.773^{**} (-2.71)		-17.924^{***} (-5.09)		-17.982^{***} (-4.87)		$\begin{array}{c} -62.956^{***} \\ (-10.96) \end{array}$		-54.833^{***} (-4.16)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.091^{***} \\ (14.02) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032^{***} \\ (5.33) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-15.078^{***} (-5.08)	-14.943^{***} (-8.07)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$^{-20.695^{**}}_{(-3.03)}$	$^{-12.014^{***}}_{(-3.93)}$				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.689^{***} (5.64)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.656^{***} \\ (8.02) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R ²	0.205	0.215	0.206	0.215	0.205	0.215	0.227	0.237	0.284	0.343	0.383	0.413
Median adj R^2	0.181	0.195	0.183	0.195	0.182	0.198	0.215	0.229	0.292	0.365	0.413	0.473
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	112,839	112,839	112,839	112,839
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A39: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2 \Delta \overline{turnover}_t + \beta_3' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month $t, \Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019); inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CD	GM	D	EF	H	KM	Н	KS		I	FN	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.533^{**} (2.64)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.507^{**} \\ (2.59) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.548^{***}\\ (2.71) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.570^{***}\\ (2.88) \end{array}$		0.659^{*} (1.95)		0.796^{**} (2.70)
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		$-34.20 \ (-1.31)$		$-30.75 \ (-1.18)$		$-33.04 \\ (-1.25)$		$-32.98 \\ (-1.24)$		-68.85^{*} (-2.07)		-77.95^{**} (-2.50)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (1.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.038\\ (1.04) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	$-7.46 \\ (-0.84)$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$-9.58 \\ (-0.56)$	-15.38 (-0.904)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \\ (0.92) \end{array}$				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
B_{2}^{2} (full)	1 65	1 E.5	1 E 5	1 E 5	1 E 5	1 E 5	1 E.5	1 E 5	1 E.5	1 £5	1 E.5	1 E S
Adj B^2 (full)	0.071	0.075	0.072	0.075	0.071	0.075	0.072	0.075	0.125	0.141	0.136	0.133
R^2 (proj)	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.058	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.058	0.116	0.132	0.129	0.144
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (proj)	0.037	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.037	0.039	0.037	0.039	0.093	0.110	0.107	0.122
num obs	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	542,798	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$	$112,\!839$
num bonds	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	10,537	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A40: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2 \Delta \overline{turnover}_t + \beta'_3 Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ is the change in the average level (in cross section of bonds) of bond's monthly turnover rate (monthly trading amounts divided by outstanding amount), η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	C	DGM	D	EF	Hł	KΜ	H	KS		F	'N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}~({\rm bps})$		0.395^{**} (2.29)		0.283^{**} (2.45)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.413^{*} \\ (2.42) \end{array}$		0.441^{**} (2.67)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.077^{**}\\ (2.58) \end{array}$		0.065^{**} (2.64)
$\Delta \overline{turnover}_t$ (bps)		-60.34^{***} (-3.47)		$^{-45.21^{**}}_{(-3.08)}$		$ \begin{array}{l} -59.01 \\ (-3.33) \end{array} $		$-1.58 \\ (-0.13)$		-25.44^{*} (-1.81)		-24.17^{**} (-2.12)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.154^{***} \\ (6.68) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.144^{***} \\ (6.68) \end{array}$								
НКМ					$\begin{pmatrix} -6.14 \\ (-0.87) \end{pmatrix}$	$-7.71 \\ (-1.07)$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-27.24^{**} (-2.37)	-30.18^{**} (-2.55)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.506\\ (0.87) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.64 \\ (1.04) \end{array} $				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions Bond FE	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	YES YES	YES YES
R^2 (full)	0.141	0.145	0.151	0.153	0.142	0.145	0.142	0.146	0.115	0.124	0.151	0.157
$\operatorname{Adj} R^2$ (full)	0.119	0.123	0.130	0.132	0.120	0.123	0.121	0.124	0.088	0.097	0.125	0.131
R^2 (proj)	0.025	0.029	0.036	0.039	0.025	0.029	0.026	0.030	0.084	0.093	0.121	0.127
Adj R^2 (proj)	0.0004	0.004	0.012	0.014	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.005	0.056	0.065	0.094	0.100
num obs num bonds	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$385,200 \\ 10,537$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$	$78,370 \\ 2,803$

Table A41: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i (\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis})^2 + \beta_3^{i\prime} Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDC	βM	DE	F	HK	Μ	HK	S		FN	I	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.204** (8.62)	*	0.223** (9.01)	*	0.230** (9.39)	*	0.242** (8.79)	*	0.368** (6.98)	*	0.427 (0.62)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis})^2 \text{ (bps}^2)$		0.003* (2.14)		0.002 (1.42)		0.002 (1.28)		0.001 (0.62)		-0.004 (-1.64)		-0.002 (-0.35)
DEF			0.039** (7.61)	* *0.028 ** (4.87)	*							
НКМ					-14.42^{*} (-8.44)	$* \pm 15.92^{*}$ (-8.43)	**					
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49^{*} (-3.79)	$^{*\pm}7.44^{**}$ (-2.36)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638** (8.31)	* 0. 689** (8.13)	*			
CDGM FN OTC frictions Mean adj R^2 Median adj R^2 num obs num bonds	YES NO 0.205 0.181 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.225 0.207 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.206 0.183 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.226 0.208 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.205 0.182 3 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.225 0.21 3 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.227 0.215 3 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.246 0.239 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.284 0.292 112,839 2,803	YES NO 0.317 0.331 112,839 2,803	YES YES 0.383 0.413 112,839 2,803	YES YES 0.409 0.447 112,839 2,803

Table A42: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_1 \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + beta_2 (\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis})^2 + \beta_3' Controls_t^i + \eta^i + \varepsilon_t^i,$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	GΜ	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		Fl	V	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.181* (1.95)		0.198* (2.08)		0.181* (1.94)		0.185^{*} (1.99)		0.203* (1.95)		0.138 (1.57)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis})^2 \text{ (bps}^2)$		-8.74 *	e^{-4}	-9.80 * (-1.22)	e^{-4}	-8.79 * (-0.86)	e^{-4}	-8.90 * (-1.63)	e^{-4}	-6.99 * (-0.48)	e^{-4}	$-3.51 * e^{-4}$ (-0.22)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	0.056 (1.42)								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-4.22 (-0.47)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-14.27 (-0.85)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	0.44 (0.63)				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES									
$egin{array}{ll} R^2 \ ({ m full}) \ { m Adj} \ R^2 \ ({ m full}) \ R^2 \ ({ m proj}) \ { m Adj} \ R^2 \ ({ m proj}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.073 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.123 \\ 0.102 \\ 0.114 \\ 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.129 \\ 0.106 \\ 0.120 \\ 0.097 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.133 \\ 0.112 \\ 0.124 \\ 0.103 \end{array}$	0.139 0.117 0.131 0.108
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	8542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	3112,839 2,803	$9\ 112,839\ 2,803$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.112,839\\2,803\end{array} $	2112,839 2,803

Table A43: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} - \Delta FVS_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1}\Delta\sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2}(\Delta\sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis})^{2} + \beta_{3}^{\prime}Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	GΜ	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		FI	V	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.162^{*} (1.82)		0.162^{*} (1.82)		0.174* (1.98)		0.192* (2.28)		0.091^{*} (2.29)		0.064^{*} (1.78)
$(\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis})^2 \text{ (bps}^2)$		$-6.0e^{-}$ (-0.26)	4	-5.96e (-0.74)	-4	$-6.4e^{-}$ (-0.86)	4	$-0.1e^{-}$ (-0.47)	4	-1.95e (-1.00)	-4)	$-0.115e^{-4}$ (-0.02)
DEF			$3.23e^{-4}$ (0.03)	$^{4}-0.94e$ (-0.01)	-4							
НКМ					-4.71 (-1.12)	-6.04 (-1.48)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-19.85 (-2.35)	$^{*}-21.63$ (-2.61)	**			
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.183 (0.57)	0.252 (0.77)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$ \begin{array}{l} R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \end{array} $	$0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \\ 0.050 \\ 0.050 \\ 0.024 \end{array}$	$0.078 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.027$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.081 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.031 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115 \\ 0.088 \\ 0.084 \\ 0.056 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.121 \\ 0.094 \\ 0.090 \\ 0.062 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.121 \\ 0.094 \end{array}$	$0.154 \\ 0.128 \\ 0.124 \\ 0.097$
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	75,369 2,803	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$

Table A44: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_2^i HHI_t + \beta_3^i Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, HHI_t is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDC	GΜ	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		\mathbf{F}	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.481** (17.85)	*	0.462** (16.78)	*	0.516^{**} (15.52)	*	0.516** (15.74)	*	0.323** (4.22)	**	0.272*** (5.78)
HHI_t		$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (8.36) \end{array}$		0.014** (5.77)	*	0.017** (6.91)	*	0.017** (7.4)	*	-0.005 (-0.84)	***	-0.002^{*} (-0.58)
DEF			0.039** (7.61)	** 0.083** (9.77)	**							
НКМ					-14.42^{*} (-8.44)	$^{*\underline{*}}24.42^{*}$ (-10.49)	**					
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49^{*} (-3.79)	* 1 .753 (0.43)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638** (8.31)	* 0.982** (10.75)	*			
CDGM FN OTC frictions Mean adj R^2 Median adj R^2	YES NO 0.205 0.181	YES NO 0.216 0.205	YES NO 0.206 0.183	YES NO 0.215 0.207	YES NO 0.205 0.182	YES NO 0.222 0.215	YES NO 0.227 0.215	YES NO 0.232 0.224	YES NO 0.284 0.292	YES NO 0.308 0.329	YES YES 0.383 0.413	YES YES 0.412 0.446
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	112,839 2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803

Table A45: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2} HHI_{t} + \beta_{3}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i},$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month $t, \Delta \sigma_t^{FVS}$ is the simple average change in fair-value-spread (FVS) dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month $t, \Delta \sigma_t^{FVS}$ is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019): to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDC	GΜ	DE	F	HK	М	HK	\mathbf{S}		$_{\rm FN}$	J	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.534^{**} (2.68)	:	0.498* (2.48)		0.555** (2.80)	*	0.563** (2.91)	*	0.054** (1.50)	*	0.051* (1.80)
HHI_t		0.013^{**} (2.66)		0.013* (1.94)		0.007 (1.18)		0.007 (1.19)		0.011** (3.22)		0.005* (2.20)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	0.037 (1.23)								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-10.03 (-1.17)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-2.40 (-0.14)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.46 (0.67)	0.67 (0.98)				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES						
$R^{2} (full)$ Adj $R^{2} (full)$ $R^{2} (proj)$ Adj $R^{2} (proj)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.060 \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.060 \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.060 \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$0.076 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.060 \\ 0.039$	$0.146 \\ 0.122 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.090$	$0.159 \\ 0.135 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.105$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.201 \\ 0.179 \\ 0.173 \\ 0.149 \end{array}$	$0.206 \\ 0.183 \\ 0.178 \\ 0.154$
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803						

Table A46: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} - \Delta FVS_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2} HHI_{t} + \beta_{3}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D,bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, HHI_t is the simple average of bond-specific market share concentration (measured by Herfindahl–Hirschman Index of dealers' market shares) across all bonds in month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDO	ЗM	DF	\mathbf{F}	HK	М	HK	s		Fl	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.138* (1.81)		0.138** (1.78)	**	0.160* (2.24)		0.160* (2.24)		0.07^{**} (2.30)		0.063^{**} (2.41)
HHI_t		0.006^{*} (3.79)		0.006* (3.54)		0.007* (1.89)		0.006* (1.84)		0.008^{**} (2.46)	¢	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.99) \end{array}$
DEF			0.0003 (0.03)	-7.36e (-0.06)	-4							
НКМ					-6.34 (-1.09)	-7.47^{*} (-1.83)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-19.85 (-2.35)	$^{*}-16.42$ (-1.92)	*			
HKS-2: dealer distress							$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31 \\ (0.97) \end{array}$				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES								
$R^{2} (full)$ Adj $R^{2} (full)$ $R^{2} (proj)$ Adj $R^{2} (proj)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.078 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.051 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.033 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.028 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.030 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115 \\ 0.088 \\ 0.084 \\ 0.056 \end{array}$	$0.125 \\ 0.098 \\ 0.093 \\ 0.066$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.121 \\ 0.094 \end{array}$	$0.155 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.098$
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537) 385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	75,369 2,803	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$

Table A47: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$$\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{0}^{i} + \beta_{1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2}^{i} \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis} + \beta_{3}^{i} Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}^{i'} Controls_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i'}$$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis}$ is bond-specific dealer market bond basis dispersion in month t, $Inventory_{i,t}$ is bond-specific dealer inventory in month t, $Controls_i^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019): inventory frictions, search frictions and bargaining frictions. To compare with regression results in Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details.

	CDO	CDGM DEF		F	HK	М	HK	S		$_{\rm FN}$	J	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.284**	**	0.251** (8.24)	*	0.333** (11.12)	*	0.306** (9.25)	*	0.298** (6.83)	*	0.482*** (6.37)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D,basis}$ (bps)		3.33^{***} (3.53)	¢	3.23*** (3.1)		3.92*** (3.99)		5.82 (5.7)		13.41 (0.57)		10.65** (2.9)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$M)		2.22^{***} (10.02)	¢	2.36*** (10.28)		2.40*** (10.62)		2.02*** (8.51)	:	-0.28^{*} (-2.72)	*	-0.004 (-0.02)
DEF			0.039** (7.61)	* 0.031** (3.51)	*							
НКМ					-14.42^{*} (-8.44)	(-4.48)	**					
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-10.49^{*} (-3.79)	(-1.52)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.638** (8.31)	*0.65** (4.82)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Mean adj R^2 Median adj R^2 num obs num bonds	YES NO 0.205 0.181 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.271 0.255 3 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.206 0.183 3542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.272 0.259 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.205 0.182 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.273 0.258 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.227 0.215 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.294 0.288 542,798 10,537	YES NO 0.284 0.292 112,839 2,803	YES NO 0.334 0.351 112,839 2,803	YES YES 0.383 0.413 112,839 2,803	YES YES 0.433 0.468 112,839 2,803

Table A48: Credit Spread Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

 $\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2} \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis} + \beta_{3} Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i},$

where $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis}$ is bond-specific dealer market bond basis dispersion in month t, *Inventory*_{i,t} is bond-specific dealer inventory in month t, *Controls*ⁱ_t contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor; (5) three groups of over-the-counter market frictions (FN) from Friedwald and Nagler (2019), we follow their filtering approach to construct a new data sample from January 2003 to December 2013, and use the new sample to do regression only for model specification with FN controls. For all other model specifications (Columns 1-8), we use the sample of January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.1 for details. In this table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDO	GM	DE	F	HK	М	HK	S		FN	1	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.491** (2.46)	:	0.477* (2.42)		0.508** (2.54)	*	0.527** (2.70)	*	0.062* (1.68)		0.053* (1.84)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis} \text{ (bps)}$		7.74*** (3.37)		7.65*** (3.43)		7.73*** (3.36)		7.72*** (3.36)		0.966 (1.66)		1.19* (2.19)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$B)		0.32 (0.214)		0.51 (0.33)		0.32 (0.21)		0.33 (0.22)		-0.28 (-0.29)		-0.23 (-0.03)
DEF			0.049 (1.23)	0.023 (0.65)								
НКМ					-4.21 (-0.47)	-8.11 (-0.93)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-9.58 (-0.56)	-14.4 (-0.86)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.46 (0.67)	0.64 (0.93)				
CDGM FN OTC Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$R^{2} (full)$ Adj $R^{2} (full)$ $R^{2} (proj)$ Adj $R^{2} (proj)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$0.082 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.045$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.071 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$0.082 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.045$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.056 \\ 0.037 \end{array}$	$0.082 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.065 \\ 0.045$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.150 \\ 0.126 \\ 0.120 \\ 0.095 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.150 \\ 0.126 \\ 0.120 \\ 0.095 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.201 \\ 0.179 \\ 0.173 \\ 0.149 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.205 \\ 0.182 \\ 0.176 \\ 0.153 \end{array}$
num obs num bonds	542,798 10,537	$\begin{array}{c} 3\ 542,798\ 10,537 \end{array}$	5542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	542,798 10,537	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803	112,839 2,803

Table A49: Bond Basis Changes

This table reports the regression estimations from the following equation:

$\Delta YieldSpread_{t}^{i} - \Delta FVS_{t}^{i} = \beta_{1} \Delta \sigma_{t}^{D2D, bondbasis} + \beta_{2} \sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis} + \beta_{3} Inventory_{i,t} + \beta_{4}^{\prime} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \beta_{4}^{i} Controls_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \eta^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t - 1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ is the simple average change in dealer market bond basis dispersion across all bonds from month t - 1 to month t, η^i is bond fixed effect, $\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D, basis}$ is bond-specific dealer market bond basis dispersion in month t, $Inventory_{i,t}$ is bond-specific dealer inventory in month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details. In the table, standard errors are clustered at bond and month levels.

	CDO	GΜ	DEF		HK	М	HK	s		\mathbf{FI}	N	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta\sigma_t^{D2D, bondbasis}$ (bps)		0.158* (2.09)		0.158* (2.06)		0.172* (2.31)		0.182** (2.56)	:	0.076^{*} (2.44)		0.063^{**} (2.41)
$\sigma_{i,t}^{D2D,basis} \text{ (bps)}$		0.187* (1.84)		0.194* (2.02)		0.180* (1.78)		0.166* (1.66)		1.01^{*} (1.73)		0.97^{*} (1.73)
$Inventory_{i,t}$ (\$B)		0.76 (1.40)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.76 \\ (4.87) \end{array}$		0.76 (1.40)		0.80^{**} (2.74)		-1.35^{*} (-2.35)	*)	-1.34 (-0.05)
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	-0.002 (-0.18)								
НКМ					-6.34 (-1.09)	-6.13 (-1.48)						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							-18.56 (-1.96)	$^{*}-21.46$ (-2.57)	**			
HKS-2: dealer distress							0.42 (1.03)	0.26 (0.80)				
CDGM FN OTC frictions Bond FE	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES NO YES	YES YES YES	YES YES YES
$ \begin{array}{l} R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{full}) \\ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \\ \mathrm{Adj} \ R^2 \ (\mathrm{proj}) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.078 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$0.074 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.024$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.078 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.052 \\ 0.026 \end{array}$	$0.074 \\ 0.050 \\ 0.050 \\ 0.024$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.031 \end{array}$	$0.115 \\ 0.088 \\ 0.084 \\ 0.056$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.121 \\ 0.094 \\ 0.090 \\ 0.061 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.121 \\ 0.094 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.155 \\ 0.128 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.097 \end{array}$
num obs num bonds	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537) 385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	385,200 10,537	75,369 2,803	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$	$75,369 \\ 2,803$

Table A50: Bond Basis Changes (CDGM)

This table reports the regression estimations from Equation (7):

$$\Delta YieldSpread_t^i - \Delta FVS_t^i = \beta_0^i + \beta_1^i \Delta \sigma_t^{D2D} + \beta_2^i Controls_t^i + \varepsilon_t^i$$

where ΔFVS_t^i is the change in fair value spread of bond *i* from month t-1 to t, $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ is the simple average change in dealer market price dispersion across all bonds from month t-1 to month t, $Controls_t^i$ contains different combinations of bond- and market-level controls as follows: (1) CDGM controls (changes in (i) issuer-firm leverage, (ii) risk-free rate, (iii) squared risk-free rate, (iv) yield-curve slope, (v) VIX, (vi) S&P500 return, (viii) slope of Volatility Smirk); (2) a default factor (DEF) similar to Bessembinder, Kahle, Maxwell, and Xu (2008) which is the difference between the yields of long-term investment-grade corporate bonds and long-term treasuries; (3) the capital ratio growth rate of the whole sector of primary dealers (HSM) from He, Kelly, and Manela (2017); (4) two risk factors (HKS) from He, Khorrami, and Song (2019): the dealer inventory factor and the intermediary distress factor. The sample is monthly from January 2004 to December 2019. See Section 4.2 for details.

	CD	CDGM DEF		HK	Μ	Hŀ	(S		FN			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)		1.07^{***} (4.00)		1.14^{***} (4.07)		1.30^{***} (4.67)		1.04^{***} (3.53)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.554^{***} \\ (11.61) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.389^{***} \\ (4.67) \end{array}$
DEF			$\begin{array}{c} -0.002 \\ (-0.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.022\\ (1.14) \end{array}$								
НКМ					-43.71^{***} (-5.59)	$^{-17.45^{**}}_{(-2.06)}$						
HKS-1: Δ Inventory (\$M)							$^{-110.95^{***}}_{(-9.91)}$	-94.84^{***} (-8.16)				
HKS-2: dealer distress							1.21^{***} (3.29)	0.85^{**} (2.16)				
CDGM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
FN OTC frictions	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
Mean adj R^2	0.127	0.2	0.124	0.195	0.134	0.204	0.158	0.226	0.257	0.289	0.366	0.386
Median adj R^2	0.099	0.175	0.094	0.174	0.104	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.27	0.309	0.402	0.425
num obs	428,002	428,002	428,002	428,002	428,002	428,002	428,002	428,002	83,745	83,745	83,745	83,745
num bonds	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399	2,244	2,244	2,244	2,244

Panel A: Leverage Groups and All maturities												
Leverage	$<\!\!15\%$	15-25%	25-35%	35-45%	45-55%	>55%	All					
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.807^{***} \\ (8.2) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.124^{***} \\ (7.13) \end{array}$	1.579^{***} (8.03)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.592^{***} \\ (9.32) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.023^{***} \\ (4.45) \end{array}$	2.666^{***} (30.76)	$\frac{1.403^{***}}{(19.06)}$					
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.154	0.176	0.174	0.182	0.208	0.291	0.237					
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.146	0.144	0.152	0.155	0.188	0.255	0.205					
num obs num bonds	$98880 \\ 3843$	$\begin{array}{c} 57541 \\ 4410 \end{array}$		$57886 \\ 5060$	$55684 \\ 4945$	$212634 \\ 6409$	$542798 \\ 10537$					

Table A51: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM) by Leverage and Maturity

Panel B: Leverage Groups and Short Maturities (<= 9 years)

Leverage	$<\!\!15\%$	15-25%	25-35%	35-45%	45 - 55%	>55%	All
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	0.839^{***} (6.25)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.093^{***} \\ (5.13) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.295^{***} \\ (4.95) \end{array}$	2.00^{***} (8.74)	0.989^{***} (3.03)	3.077^{***} (26.72)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.845^{***} \\ (45.12) \end{array}$
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.169	0.182	0.161	0.181	0.206	0.284	0.233
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.158	0.144	0.144	0.161	0.186	0.248	0.206
num obs num bonds		$39692 \\ 3282$	$41735 \\ 3589$	$38789 \\ 3786$	$37033 \\ 3709$	$155940 \\ 5184$	381720 8637

Panel C: Leverage Groups and Long Maturities (>= 12 years)

_

Leverage	$<\!\!15\%$	15-25%	25-35%	35-45%	45-55%	>55%	All
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	0.389^{**} (2.17)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.891^{***} \\ (2.69) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.947^{***} \\ (5.69) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.266^{***} \\ (4.22) \end{array}$	1.129^{***} (3.21)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.487^{***} \\ (10.95) \end{array}$	$\frac{1.123^{***}}{(28.72)}$
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.112	0.163	0.214	0.171	0.208	0.295	0.223
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.115	0.128	0.17	0.143	0.184	0.267	0.192
num obs num bonds	$22967 \\ 898$	$13717 \\ 1039$	$14193 \\ 1168$	$\begin{array}{c} 14901 \\ 1168 \end{array}$	$14513 \\ 1137$	$42690 \\ 1313$	$122981 \\ 2213$

Panel A: Credit Rating Groups and All maturities											
Credit Rating	AAA	AA	А	BBB	BB	В	IG	HY			
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	0.264^{***} (3.8)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.627^{***} \\ (16.61) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.914^{***} \\ (37.22) \end{array}$	1.669^{***} (38.06)	2.695^{***} (22.06)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.336^{***} \\ (14.61) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.961^{***} \\ (49.91) \end{array}$	2.85^{***} (34.11)			
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.123	0.178	0.191	0.243	0.309	0.296	0.195	0.29			
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.116	0.151	0.159	0.207	0.291	0.271	0.164	0.265			
num obs num bonds	$ \begin{array}{r} 10807 \\ 228 \end{array} $	$51544 \\ 990$	$183850 \\ 3366$	$163956 \\ 3027$	$85218 \\ 1727$	$47423 \\ 1199$	$332960 \\ 6187$	$209838 \\ 4350$			

Table A52: Credit Spread Changes (CDGM) by Leverage and Credit Rating

Panel B: Credit Rating Groups and Short Maturities (<= 9 years)

Credit Rating	AAA	AA	А	BBB	BB	В	IG	HY
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.185^{*} \\ (1.88) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.692^{***} \\ (14.22) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.944^{***} \\ (26.36) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.899^{***} \\ (29.05) \end{array}$	2.991^{***} (20.17)	5.321^{***} (14.62)	1.022^{***} (36.77)	3.303^{***} (30.44)
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.121	0.183	0.177	0.237	0.317	0.295	0.185	0.295
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.116	0.16	0.148	0.201	0.299	0.272	0.156	0.271
num obs num bonds	$7081 \\ 172$	$37619 \\ 833$	$119743 \\ 2586$	$111074 \\ 2456$	$\begin{array}{c} 67361 \\ 1567 \end{array}$	$38842 \\ 1023$	$222158 \\ 4870$	$159562 \\ 3767$

Panel C: Credit Rating Groups and Long Maturities (>= 12 years)

Credit Rating	AAA	AA	А	BBB	BB	В	IG	HY
$\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$ (bps)	$0.009 \\ (0.03)$	0.551^{***} (6.88)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.001^{***} \\ (21.58) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.422^{***} \\ (19.01) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.555^{***} \\ (6.77) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.67 \\ (1.45) \end{array} $	1.01^{***} (27.01)	$\frac{1.447^{***}}{(11.67)}$
Mean R_{adj}^2	0.113	0.138	0.203	0.256	0.261	0.288	0.205	0.263
Mean R_{adj}^2 (model without $\Delta \sigma_t^{D2D}$)	0.108	0.115	0.166	0.225	0.235	0.252	0.171	0.237
num obs num bonds	$3073 \\ 58$	$\begin{array}{c} 10674 \\ 194 \end{array}$	$51254 \\ 907$	$39699 \\ 655$	$11359 \\ 206$	$6922 \\ 193$	$87236 \\ 1523$	$35745 \\ 690$