Internet Appendix to "Role of Managerial Incentives and Discretion in Hedge Fund Performance"*

Table IA.I

Do Managerial Incentives and Discretion Affect Returns? Results from the Two-stage Least Squares (2SLS) Regressions

This table reports the results of the second stage from the 2SLS regressions of Returns_t on various measures of managerial incentives, managerial discretion, and the control variables. The sample period is 1994 to 2002. See Table I of the main text for definitions of the variables. *p*-values are reported in parentheses. Coefficients marked with ^{***}, ^{**}, and ^{*} are significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

Independent Variables	Expected Sign	
MANAGERIAL INCENTIVES		
Tatal Dalta	+	0.275***
Total Delta _{$t-1$}		(0.000)
Hundle Dete	+	0.032***
Hurdle Kale		(0.000)
High Water Mark	+	0.021***
High-water Mark		(0.000)
MANAGERIAL DISCRETION		
Leolup Deriod	+	0.016**
Lockup renou		(0.019)
Pestriction Deriod	+	0.036***
Restriction Feriod		(0.000)
CONTROLS		
Size		-0.061***
$\operatorname{Sizc}_{t-1}$		(0.000)
Flow		-0.002
110w _{t-1}		(0.181)
Volatility		0.116*
\mathbf{v} of a time \mathbf{y}_{t-1}		(0.065)
Δœ		-0.010***
Agu _{t-1}		(0.000)
Management Fee		-1.434***
Wanagement i ee		(0.000)
Returns		-0.028***
Ketullis _{t-1}		(0.001)
Intercent		0.277***
intercept		(0.000)
Strategy Dummies		Yes
No. of observations		16,901

^{*} Citation format: Agarwal, Vikas, Naveen D. Daniel, and Narayan Y. Naik, 2009, Internet Appendix to "Role of managerial incentives and discretion in hedge fund performance," *Journal of Finance* 64, 2221 - 2256, <u>http://www.afajof.org/IA/2009.asp</u>. Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the authors of the article.

Table IA.II Do Managerial Incentives and Discretion Affect Alphas?

This table reports Fama-MacBeth (1973) coefficient estimates using the risk-adjusted returns (alpha_t) as the dependent variable. In Panel A, alpha is estimated as the annual return net of the median annual return of the strategy to which the fund belongs. In Panel B, annual alphas are estimated from fund-level time-series regressions using the Fung and Hsieh (2004) seven-factor model. Annual alpha is measured as the sum of the monthly alphas, where monthly alpha is given by the sum of the intercept and the monthly residual. The sample period is 1994 to 2002. Size is the logarithm of assets under management. See Table I of the main text for definitions of the variables. *p*-values are reported in parentheses. Coefficients marked with ***, **, and * are significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

		Panel A. Alpha = returns in excess of median strategy returns		Panel B. Alpha based on intercepts from estimating Fung and Hsieh (2004) model	
Independent Variables	Exp. Sign	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
MANAGERIAL INCENTIVES					
2-year average delta	+	0.014*** (0.004)		0.010*** (0.003)	
Lifetime average delta	+		0.030*** (0.000)		0.022*** (0.003)
Hurdle Rate	+	0.008* (0.077)	0.009* (0.062)	0.006* (0.061)	0.006** (0.046)
High-Water Mark	+	0.024*** (0.002)	0.025*** (0.001)	0.023** (0.012)	0.023*** (0.010)
MANAGERIAL DISCRETION					
Lockup Period	+	0.029* (0.085)	0.030*	0.038** (0.038)	0.038** (0.038)
Restriction Period	+	0.019	0.018	0.026**	0.026**
CONTROLS		(0.120)	(0.127)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Size _{t-1}		-0.018*** (0.002)	-0.018*** (0.002)	-0.016*** (0.000)	-0.017*** (0.000)
Flow _{t-1}		-0.007* (0.066)	-0.007* (0.067)	-0.005** (0.033)	-0.005** (0.033)
Volatility _{t-1}		0.284 (0.631)	0.276 (0.640)	-0.614* (0.058)	-0.620* (0.057)
Age _{t-1}		-0.004* (0.062)	-0.004* (0.058)	-0.002 (0.336)	-0.002 (0.326)
Management Fee		-0.503 (0.351)	-0.503 (0.349)	-0.486 (0.527)	-0.483 (0.527)
Intercept		0.044* (0.065)	0.045* (0.056)	0.099*** (0.009)	0.099*** (0.009)
Adjusted R^2 No. of observations		8.0% 16,901	8.1% 16,901	7.0% 16,901	7.1% 16,901

Table IA.III Does Delta Matter? Evidence from Sample of Funds with 20% Incentive Fee

This table reports Fama-MacBeth (1973) coefficient estimates using the risk-adjusted returns (alpha_t) as the dependent variable. In Panel A, alpha is estimated as the annual return net of the median annual return of the strategy to which the fund belongs. In Panel B, annual alphas are estimated from fund-level time-series regressions using the Fung and Hsieh (2004) seven-factor model. Annual alpha is measured as the sum of the monthly alphas, where monthly alpha is given by the sum of the intercept and the monthly residual. The sample period is 1994 to 2002, and the sample of funds all have incentive fee equal to 20%. Size is the logarithm of assets under management. See Table I in the main text for definitions of the variables. *p*-values are reported in parentheses. Coefficients marked with ^{***}, ^{**}, and ^{*} are significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

		Panel A. Alpha = returns in excess median strategy returns			Panel B. Alpha based on intercepts from estimating Fung and Hsieh (2004) model		
Independent Variables	Exp. Sign	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
MANAGERIAL INCENTIVES							
Total Delta _{t-1}	+	0.011*** (0.001)			0.008*** (0.000)		
Manager's Option Delta _{t-1}	+	× ,	0.021*** (0.004)	0.021*** (0.002)	``	0.011*** (0.004)	0.011*** (0.003)
Managerial Ownership _{t-1}	+		0.081 (0.112)	0.254 (0.179)		0.062 (0.186)	0.156 (0.157)
Managerial Ownership _{2t-1}	-			-0.446 (0.251)			-0.217 (0.252)
Hurdle Rate	+	0.012 (0.139)	0.015* (0.095)	0.017* (0.088)	0.007* (0.078)	0.009** (0.032)	0.011** (0.034)
High–Water Mark	+	0.024*** (0.002)	0.025*** (0.002)	0.026*** (0.001)	0.018*** (0.007)	0.019*** (0.005)	0.019*** (0.004)
MANAGERIAL DISCRETION							
Lockup Period	+	0.027 (0.111)	0.027 (0.108)	0.027 (0.118)	0.040** (0.030)	0.040** (0.031)	0.040** (0.033)
Restriction Period	+	0.013 (0.287)	0.013 (0.281)	0.013 (0.269)	0.020* (0.090)	0.021* (0.077)	0.021* (0.078)
CONTROLS							
Size _{t-1}		-0.013*** (0.003)	-0.013*** (0.006)	-0.013*** (0.003)	-0.013*** (0.000)	-0.012*** (0.001)	-0.012*** (0.001)
Flow _{t-1}		-0.007* (0.089)	-0.006 (0.126)	-0.005 (0.180)	-0.004^{**} (0.028)	-0.004* (0.060)	-0.003 (0.111)
Volatility _{t-1}		0.184 (0.747)	0.149 (0.794)	0.106 (0.849)	-0.653* (0.070)	-0.682* (0.066)	-0.709* (0.058)
Age _{t-1}		-0.003 (0.203)	-0.004* (0.093)	-0.004 (0.115)	-0.001 (0.739)	-0.002 (0.478)	-0.002 (0.422)
Management Fee		-0.383 (0.701)	-0.439 (0.661)	-0.441 (0.660)	-0.867 (0.387)	-0.916 (0.363)	-0.917 (0.363)
Intercept		0.037 (0.105)	0.033 (0.150)	0.029 (0.246)	0.103*** (0.009)	0.098** (0.011)	0.097** (0.013)
Adjusted R^2 No. of observations		6.6% 11,149	6.9% 11,149	7.4% 11,149	6.2% 11,149	6.5% 11,149	6.6% 11,149

Table IA.IV Do Incentive Fees Have Additional Explanatory Power over Delta?

This table reports Fama-MacBeth (1973) coefficient estimates using the risk-adjusted returns (alpha_{*t*}) as the dependent variable. In Panel A, alpha is estimated as the annual return net of the median annual return of the strategy to which the fund belongs. In Panel B, annual alphas are estimated from fund-level time-series regressions using the Fung and Hsieh (2004) seven-factor model. Annual alpha is measured as the sum of the monthly alphas, where monthly alpha is given by the sum of the intercept and the monthly residual. The sample period is 1994 to 2002. Size is the logarithm of assets under management. See Table I in the main text for definitions of the variables. *p*-values are reported in parentheses. Coefficients marked with ***, **, and * are significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

		Panel A. A of med	Alpha = return dian strategy	ns in excess returns	Panel B. A from esti	Alpha based of mating Fung (2004) mode	n intercepts and Hsieh l
Independent Variables	Exp. Sign	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
MANAGERIAL INCENTIVES							
Total Delta _{$t-1$}	+	0.011*** (0.001)			0.007*** (0.001)		
Manager's Option	+		0.016**	0.016***		0.009**	0.009**
Delta _{t-1}			(0.011)	(0.010)		(0.026)	(0.024)
Managerial	+		0.104**	0.267		0.057	0.136
Ownership _{t-1}			(0.045)	(0.112)		(0.235)	(0.191)
Managerial	_			-0.407			-0.142
Ownership _{2t-1}				(0.157)			(0.414)
Incentive Fee	+	0.064	0.026	0.000	0.067*	0.041	0.024
Incentive ree		(0.184)	(0.602)	(1.000)	(0.080)	(0.346)	(0.618)
Hurdle Date	+	0.007	0.010*	0.011*	0.005*	0.007*	0.008*
Thurde Rate		(0.165)	(0.085)	(0.092)	(0.090)	(0.059)	(0.064)
Uigh Water Mark	+	0.026***	0.026***	0.027***	0.024***	0.024**	0.024***
righ-water water		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.010)	(0.015)	(0.008)
MANAGERIAL							
DISCRETION							
Lockup Period	+	0.028*	0.029*	0.029*	0.037**	0.037**	0.037**
Lockup renou		(0.083)	(0.072)	(0.077)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.042)
Postriction Daried	+	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.024**	0.025**	0.024**
Restriction renou		(0.197)	(0.185)	(0.181)	(0.018)	(0.015)	(0.015)
CONTROLS							
Size		-0.012***	-0.011**	-0.011**	-0.012***	-0.011***	-0.011***
$Size_{t-1}$		(0.008)	(0.015)	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Flow		-0.007*	-0.007*	-0.006	-0.005**	-0.005*	-0.005*
ΓIOW_{t-1}		(0.059)	(0.086)	(0.112)	(0.029)	(0.055)	(0.082)
Volatility		0.284	0.254	0.230	-0.612*	-0.632*	-0.649*
\mathbf{v} or a three \mathbf{y}_{t-1}		(0.634)	(0.668)	(0.695)	(0.060)	(0.057)	(0.052)
Δαe		-0.003	-0.004*	-0.005*	-0.001	-0.002	-0.002
Agc_{t-1}		(0.118)	(0.076)	(0.084)	(0.545)	(0.413)	(0.371)
Management Fee		-0.567	-0.596	-0.619	-0.622	-0.628	-0.631
ivianagement ree		(0.401)	(0.373)	(0.359)	(0.468)	(0.465)	(0.464)
Intercent		0.019	0.019	0.020	0.078**	0.078**	0.079**
mercept		(0.407)	(0.381)	(0.329)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.015)
Adjusted R^2		7.1%	7.4%	7.7%	6.4%	6.7%	6.8%

No. of observations 16,901 16,901 16,901 1	6,901 16,9	01 16,9
--	------------	---------