Internet Appendix for

Why Do Foreign Firms Leave U.S. Equity Markets?

CRAIG DOIDGE, G. ANDREW KAROLYI, and RENÉ M. STULZ*

^{*}Citation format: Doidge, Craig, G. Andrew Karolyi, and René M. Stulz, Internet Appendix for "Why Do Foreign Firms Leave U.S. Equity Markets?" *Journal of Finance* DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.2010.01577.x. Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the authors of the article.

Doidge, Karolyi, and Stulz (2010) provide an empirical analysis of foreign firms that voluntarily delist and deregister from U.S. equity markets. This Internet Appendix contains robustness tests (Tables IA.II to IA.IX) that accompany the results published in Doidge, Karolyi, and Stulz (2010). The specific robustness tests provided for each table are described below. In the legend for each table in this appendix, the **underlined text in bold** indicates how the Internet Appendix table differs from the table reported in the paper. The Internet Appendix also contains the list of firms included / excluded from the sample (Tables IA.X and XI). Note that the table numbers in the Internet Appendix correspond to their analogues in the main text; there is no "Table IA.I" in the Internet Appendix.

Table IA.II

Table IA.II.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.II.b includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations. That is, even though the firm announced a voluntary delisting, the announcement was likely a preemptive action for an inevitable involuntary delisting. In Table IA.II.c, the standard errors are calculated using two-way clustering on firms and on years. Results for Models (4) and (5) are not reported. In these models, there are fewer years in the regressions because firms are split into pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 groups – for the Rule 12h-6 group there are only two time periods.

Table IA.III

Table IA.III.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.III.b includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations. That is, even though the firm announced a voluntary delisting, the announcement was likely a preemptive action for an inevitable involuntary delisting. In Table IA.III.c, we use value-weighted portfolios instead of equal-weighted portfolios.

Table IA.IV

Table IA.IV.a shows abnormal stock-price reactions around the individual SOX announcement dates. Table IA.IV.b uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.IV.c includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations. That is, even though the firm announced a voluntary delisting, the announcement was likely a preemptive action for an inevitable involuntary delisting. In Table IA.IV.d, R_b is an equal-weighted portfolio return rather than a value-weighted portfolio return. In Table IA.IV.e, R_p is a value-weighted portfolio return rather than an equal-weighted return. In Table IA.IV.f, we define the event windows as in Litvak (2007). In Table IV, the event dummies are set equal to one (minus one) for the day of the event, the day before the event, and the day after the event for the events that are expected to have a negative (positive) impact. In Table IA.IV.f, we follow the convention used in Litvak (2007), Table 1 to define the event dummies. For example, for the early SEC announcement on January 17, we set the event dummy to one on January 16, 17, and 18, whereas Litvak sets it to one on January 18.

Table IA.V

Table IA.V.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.V.b includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations. That is, even though the firm announced a voluntary delisting, the announcement was likely a preemptive action for an inevitable involuntary delisting. In Table IA.V.c, the CARs are computed relative to an equal-weighted benchmark portfolio instead of a value-weighted benchmark portfolio.

Table IA.VI

Table IA.VI.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. In Table IA.VI.b, R_b is an equal-weighted portfolio return rather than a value-weighted portfolio return. In Table IA.VI.c, R_p is a value-weighted portfolio return rather than an equal-weighted return. In Table IA.VI.d, we define the event windows as in Fernandes, Lel, and Miller (2010). For example, in Table VI, for the March 21, 2007 event, we set the event dummy equal to one on March 20, 21, and 22, while Fernandes, Lel, and Miller (2010) set the dummy to one on March 21, 22, and 23. Table IA.VI.e considers three additional Rule 12h-6 announcement dates on February 9, 2004, January 25, 2005, and March 18, 2005.

Table IA.VII

Table IA.VII.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. In Table IA.VII.b, we substitute the variable "Disclosure" from Djankov et al. (2008), for the "Anti-self-dealing" variable and in Table IA.VII.c, we substitute the variable "Accounting standards" from La Porta et al. (1998) for the "Anti-self-dealing" variable. In Table IA.VII.d, the CARs are computed relative to an equal-weighted benchmark portfolio instead of a value-weighted benchmark portfolio. In Table IA.VII.e, the CARs are estimated around all three event windows listed in Table VI, rather than just around the March 21, 2007 event date as in Table VII. Finally, in Table IA.VII.f, we use the March 21, 22, and 23 event window as in Fernandes, Lel, and Miller (2010) instead of March 20, 21, and 22 as in Table VII.

Table IA.VIII

Table IA.VIII.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.VIII.b includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations. That is, even though the firm announced a voluntary delisting, the announcement was likely a preemptive action for an inevitable involuntary delisting. In Table IA.VIII.c, the CARs are computed relative to equal-weighted benchmark portfolios instead of value-weighted benchmark portfolios.

Table IA.IX

Table IA.IX.a uses a size cutoff of \$100 million in total assets instead of a \$10 million cutoff. Table IA.IX.b includes 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, had previously received a delisting notice from the exchange, or were subject to SEC investigations (two firms do not have complete data and are not included in the regression). In Table IA.IX.c, the CARs are computed relative to an equal-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. In Table IA.IX.d (Table IA.IX.e), the CARs are computed relative to an equal-weighted (value-weighted) benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed on a U.S. exchange that did not deregister.

Table IA.X

Table IA.X contains the list of firms included in the sample of voluntary deregistrations.

Table IA.XI

Table IA.XI contains the list of firms excluded from the sample of voluntary deregistrations and the reason for exclusion.

REFERENCES

- Brown, Stephen J., and Jerold B. Warner, 1985, Using daily stock returns: The case of event studies, *Journal of Financial Economics* 14, 3-31.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer, 2008, The law and economics of self-dealing, *Journal of Financial Economics* 88, 430-465.
- Doidge, Craig, G. Andrew Karolyi, and René M. Stulz, 2010, Why do foreign firms leave U.S. equity markets, *Journal of Finance* 65,1507-1553
- Fama, Eugene, and Kenneth French, 1993, Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds, Journal of Financial Economics 33, 3-56.
- Fernandes, Nuno G., Ugur Lel, and Darius P. Miller, 2010, Escape from New York: the market impact of loosening disclosure requirements, *Journal of Financial Economics* 95, 129-147.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert Vishny, 1998, Law and finance, *Journal of Political Economy* 106, 1113-1155.
- Litvak, Kate, 2007, The effect of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act on non-U.S. companies cross-listed in the U.S., *Journal of Corporate Finance* 13, 195-228.

Table IA.II.a Multi-period Logit Regressions: The Characteristics of Deregistering Firms

The logit models estimate the probability of deregistration in year t, given that the firm has not yet deregistered, over the period from 2002 to 2008. Non-financial firms with at least \$100m in total assets are included in the sample. The dependent variable equals one for 130 non-U.S. firms that deregistered from major U.S. exchanges in the year of deregistration (60 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 70 firms after Rule 12h-6). After firms deregister they are removed from the data set. Models (1), (2), (3), and (6) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (4) is estimated over 2002 to 2006 and excludes firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Model (5) is estimated over 2007 to 2008 and excludes firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6. Model (7) (Model (8)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. All independent variables are lagged by one year. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on firms – they are computed assuming observations are independent across firms, but not within firms. Pseudo-R² is a goodness-of-fit measure based on the difference between unrestricted and restricted likelihood functions. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 periods (Models (4) and (5)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (7) and (8)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 periods (Models (4) and (5)) or between

the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (7) and (8)).

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Constant | -16.955 | -20.498 | -18.559 | -21.389 | -18.142 | -17.303 | -24.112 | -14.464 |
| | (5.19)*** | (3.52)*** | (4.69)*** | (3.51)*** | (2.96)*** | (5.37)*** | $(2.50)^{**}$ | $(4.09)^{***}$ |
| Sales growth | -1.105 | -5.268 | -1.320 | -0.490 | -2.437 | -1.159 | -0.906 | -2.410 |
| | (1.63) | (3.96)*** | $(1.69)^*$ | (0.54) | $(2.44)^{**}$ | $(1.94)^*$ | (0.91) | $(2.20)^{**}$ |
| Financing deficit | -2.647 | -1.096 | -2.235 | -2.648 | -2.066 | | | |
| | (3.02)*** | (0.81) | $(2.23)^{**}$ | $(1.74)^*$ | $(1.74)^*$ | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.154 | -0.479 | -0.196 | -0.456 | -0.034 | -0.153 | -0.131 | -0.238 |
| | (2.73)*** | (3.35)*** | (3.05)*** | (3.91)*** | $(0.41)^{###}$ | (2.86)*** | (1.56) | $(2.51)^{**}$ |
| Leverage | 0.737 | 0.347 | 1.187 | 0.025 | 2.488 | 0.579 | 0.850 | 1.342 |
| | (1.39) | (0.28) | $(1.92)^*$ | (0.03) | (2.80)***,## | (1.02) | (0.96) | (1.61) |
| ROA | -0.878 | 1.088 | -0.627 | -0.765 | 0.513 | | -0.129 | -0.331 |
| | (0.96) | (0.48) | (0.64) | (0.62) | (0.35) | | (0.09) | (0.25) |
| Ownership | 0.412 | 0.136 | 0.954 | 1.755 | 0.357 | 0.349 | 1.456 | 0.449 |
| | (0.96) | (0.12) | $(1.96)^*$ | $(2.74)^{***}$ | (0.49) | (0.85) | $(2.19)^{**}$ | (0.64) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 1.473 | 2.853 | 1.656 | | | 1.428 | 1.116 | 2.123 |
| | (6.34)*** | (5.42)*** | (6.50)*** | | | (6.24)*** | (2.68)*** | (5.93)***,# |
| S&P rating | | 0.005 | | | | | | |
| | | (0.25) | | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | -10.553 | 9.734 | -28.435 | | -12.430 | -13.262 |
| | | | (0.60) | (0.35) | (1.20) | | (0.50) | (0.56) |
| FCF problem | | | | | | 0.964 | | |
| | | | | | | (1.30) | | |
| O-score | | | | | | 1.588 | | |
| | | | | | | (1.13) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | -0.181 | | -0.340 | 0.928 | -0.988 | -0.131 | 0.395 | -0.996 |
| | (0.31) | | (0.53) | (0.84) | (1.18) | (0.24) | (0.39) | (1.20) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.273 | -0.109 | -0.258 | -0.708 | -0.053 | -0.284 | -0.291 | -0.252 |
| | (1.60) | (0.34) | (1.38) | (2.40)** | $(0.22)^{\#}$ | $(1.68)^*$ | (1.16) | (0.83) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | 1.526 | 2.261 | 1.718 | 2.325 | 1.585 | 1.553 | 2.128 | 1.414 |
| | (4.79)*** | (3.84)*** | (4.57)*** | (3.88)*** | (2.77)*** | (4.91)*** | $(2.26)^{**}$ | (4.49)*** |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | | | | 48.71 | (0.00) | | | |
| Number of observations | 2925 | 1050 | 2473 | 1889 | 584 | 3082 | 1293 | 1180 |
| Pseudo R ² | 0.1579 | 0.3570 | 0.1834 | 0.1406 | 0.1489 | 0.1463 | 0.1523 | 0.2146 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table IA.II.b Multi-period Logit Regressions: The Characteristics of Deregistering Firms

The logit models estimate the probability of deregistration in year t, given that the firm has not yet deregistered, over the period from 2002 to 2008. Non-financial firms with at least \$10m in total assets are included in the sample. The dependent variable equals one for 130 non-U.S. firms that deregistered from major U.S. exchanges in the year of deregistration (60 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 70 firms after Rule 12h-6) plus 10 additional firms that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted. After firms deregister they are removed from the data set. Models (1), (2), (3), and (6) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (4) is estimated over 2002 to 2006 and excludes firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Model (5) is estimated over 2007 to 2008 and excludes firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6. Model (7) (Model (8)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. All independent variables are lagged by one year. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on firms – they are computed assuming observations are independent across firms, but not within firms. Pseudo-R² is a goodness-of-fit measure based on the difference between unrestricted and restricted likelihood functions. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 periods (Models (4) and (5)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (7) and (8)). "Chisquared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 periods (Models (4) and (5)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (7) and (8)).

(4) (1)(2)(3) (5) (6)(7) (8) Constant -16.142 -17.241 -17.105 -18.735 -16.213 -16.836 -24.781 -12.098 $(5.45)^{**}$ $(3.21)^*$ $(4.86)^*$ $(2.82)^{*}$ $(3.07)^*$ $(5.56)^{*}$ $(2.81)^{*}$ $(3.70)^*$ Sales growth -0.798 -5.102 -0.982 -0.507 -1.757 -0.907 -0.326 -2.840(3.01)***,## $(3.88)^{**}$ $(2.03)^*$ $(2.03)^{*}$ (1.56)(1.56)(0.72)(0.47)-2.127 Financing deficit -2.382 -1.926 -1.127-1.825 $(3.18)^{**}$ $(2.38)^{*}$ $(2.28)^{*}$ (0.85)(1.32)Log(assets) -0.094-0.502-0.135-0.253-0.048-0.109-0.086-0.171 $(2.03)^*$ $(3.51)^*$ $(2.44)^{*}$ $(2.28)^*$ $(2.60)^{*}$ $(2.88)^{**}$ $(0.61)^{\#}$ (1.23)0.386 -0.5172.322 0.352 0.515 0.894 Leverage 0.333 0.800 (2.86)***,## (0.68)(0.31)(1.42)(0.55)(0.72)(0.66)(1.10)ROA -0.675 0.889 -0.596 -1.510 1.214 -0.433 0.006 (0.91)(0.40)(0.71) $(1.71)^*$ (0.86)(0.38)(0.00)Ownership 0.314 -0.010 0.868 1.722 0.129 0.300 1.321 0.481 (0.77)(0.01) $(1.87)^*$ $(2.85)^{**}$ $(0.18)^{\#}$ (0.77) $(2.03)^{*}$ (0.74)Rule 12h-6 dummy 1.214 2.965 1.441 1.188 0.916 1.918 (5.91)***,## (6.30)*** (5.64)*** $(5.60)^*$ $(5.78)^{*}$ $(2.38)^{*}$ S&P rating 0.006 (0.29)10.228 -26.794 -10.191 SOX CAR -5.573 -8.168 (0.36)(0.50)(1.24)(0.38)(0.48)FCF problem 0.954 (1.40)O-score 0.640 (0.67)Anti-self-dealing -0.219 -0.479 0.389 -1.096 -0.236 0.208 -1.182 (0.39)(0.77)(1.33)(0.43)(0.21)(1.51)(0.38)Stock market cap / GDP -0.272-0.107-0.266-0.592-0.062-0.293-0.307-0.254 $(2.17)^*$ $(1.68)^{\circ}$ (0.34)(1.46)(0.26) $(1.77)^{\circ}$ (1.21)(0.84)Log(GNP/capita) 1.388 1.982 1.525 1.822 1.434 1.476 2.161 1.123 $(4.75)^{**}$ $(3.59)^{**}$ $(4.47)^{**}$ $(2.66)^*$ $(2.96)^{**}$ $(4.86)^{**}$ $(2.52)^{*}$ $(3.59)^{*}$ Chi-square test (*p*-value) 63.28 Number of observations 3252 1056 2691 2065 626 3445 1437 1254 Pseudo R² 0.1290 0.3548 0.1501 0.1182 0.1313 0.1188 0.1323 0.1821

Table IA.II.c Multi-period Logit Regressions: The Characteristics of Deregistering Firms

The logit models estimate the probability of deregistration in year *t*, given that the firm has not yet deregistered, over the period from 2002 to 2008. Non-financial firms with at least \$10m in total assets are included in the sample. The dependent variable equals one for 130 non-U.S. firms that deregistered from major U.S. exchanges in the year of deregistration (60 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 70 firms after Rule 12h-6). After firms deregister they are removed from the data set. Models (1), (2), (3), and (6) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (4) is estimated over 2002 to 2006 and excludes firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Model (5) is estimated over 2007 to 2008 and excludes firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6. Model (7) (Model (8)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table AI. All independent variables are lagged by one year. **The** *t***-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for two-way clustering on firms and on years. Results for Models (4) and (5) are not reported because there are only two time periods for the Rule 12h-6 group. Pseudo-R² is a goodness-of-fit measure based on the difference between unrestricted and restricted likelihood functions. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (7) and (8)).**

(1) (2)(3)(4)(5)(6) (7)(8) Constant -17.683 -20.498 -19.390 -18.396 -25.540 -14.689 $(5.89)^{**}$ $(10.02)^*$ $(3.73)^{*}$ $(8.26)^{\circ}$ $(11.01)^{*}$ $(6.17)^{\hat{}}$ Sales growth -1.007-5.268 -1.283-1.075 -0.687-2.958(3.43)*** (4.31)** (3.90)***,# (4.84)*** $(3.69)^{**}$ (1.12)-2.587 Financing deficit -1.096 -2.122 $(4.99)^{**}$ (0.60) $(4.32)^{*}$ Log(assets) -0.110 -0.479-0.148-0.121-0.090-0.192(1.30) $(4.63)^{**}$ (1.57) $(1.75)^{^{3}}$ (1.60) $(1.71)^{3}$ Leverage 0.580 0.347 1.080 0.554 0.689 1.238 (1.02)(0.34) $(1.73)^{*}$ (0.97)(0.99) $(1.66)^{*}$ ROA -0.4181.088 -0.3340.109 -0.107(0.75)(0.66)(0.48)(0.07)(0.12)Ownership 0.218 0.136 0.801 0.209 1.219 0.466 $(2.25)^{**}$ (0.45)(0.26) $(2.04)^{**}$ (0.40)(0.99)Rule 12h-6 dummy 1.259 2.853 1.484 1.239 1.014 1.964 (3.38)***,### $(3.70)^{**}$ $(3.27)^{**}$ $(3.67)^{**}$ $(3.16)^{**}$ $(3.24)^{**}$ S&P rating 0.005 (0.32)SOX CAR -8.400 -10.176 -19.784 (0.74)(0.52)(1.48)FCF problem 1.150 $(1.89)^*$ O-score 0.671 (0.78)Anti-self-dealing -0.289-0.5460.194 -0.310-1.275(0.64)(0.68)(0.19)(1.88)(1.16)Stock market cap / GDP -0.259-0.109-0.251-0.286-0.273-0.272 $(1.91)^*$ (0.56)(1.47) $(2.32)^{**}$ (0.97) $(1.68)^{*}$ 1.550 Log(GNP/capita) 2.261 2.227 1.393 1.752 1.637 $(8.29)^{**}$ $(6.92)^*$ $(6.59)^*$ $(8.34)^{**}$ $(3.29)^*$ $(11.27)^*$ Chi-square test (p-value) 1050 3423 1239 Number of observations 3228 2667 1428 Pseudo R² 0.1453 0.3570 0.1720 0.1335 0.1438 0.2068

Table IA.III.a Return Performance of Deregistering Firms

This table compares the return performance of firms that deregistered with non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. The regression, $R_{Dereg, t} - R_{Bench, t} = \alpha + \beta \times [R_{W_exUS, t} - R_{f,t}] + \gamma \times SMB_t + \delta \times HML_t + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated by OLS. R_{Dereg} is the weekly (Friday to Friday) U.S. dollar return on an equal-weighted portfolio of firms that deregistered. R_{Bench} is the return on an equal-weighted portfolio of non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. Each portfolio must have at least five firms. R_{W_exUS} is the weekly U.S. dollar-denominated return on the world market portfolio. SMB and HML are the U.S.-based size and book-to-market factors from Fama and French (1993). Firms with less than 100 weekly observations, less than \$\frac{100 \text{ million}}{100 \text{ million}}\$ in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. Deregistering firms are included in the portfolio starting on January 5, 2001 and are excluded from the portfolio starting one week prior to deregistration. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Model (1) includes all deregistering firms and the regression is estimated from January 5, 2001 to June 20, 2008. Model (2) estimates the regression for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 (over January 5, 2001 to December 1, 2006). Model (3) estimates the regression for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 (over January 5, 2001 to June 20, 2008). t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All deregistering firms | (2) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Constant | -0.0020 | -0.0018 | -0.0012 |
| | (2.85)*** | $(2.10)^{**}$ | (2.03)** |
| World market | 0.0367 | 0.0465 | 0.0372 |
| | (1.28) | (1.03) | (1.25) |
| SMB | -0.0164 | 0.0966 | -0.0840 |
| | (0.34) | (1.35) | (1.67)* |
| HML | 0.0563 | -0.1247 | 0.1201 |
| | (1.03) | (1.58) | (2.12)** |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0020 | | |
| | (1.66)* | | |
| Number of observations | 390 | 309 | 390 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0025 | 0.0158 | 0.0127 |

Table IA.III.b Return Performance of Deregistering Firms

This table compares the return performance of firms that deregistered with non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. The regression, $R_{Dereg, t} - R_{Bench, t} = \alpha + \beta \times [R_{W_exUS, t} - R_{f,f}] + \gamma \times SMB_t + \delta \times [R_{W_exUS, t} - R_{f,f}]$ $HML_t + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated by OLS. R_{Dereg} is the weekly (Friday to Friday) U.S. dollar return on an equal-weighted portfolio of firms that deregistered. R_{Rench} is the return on an equal-weighted portfolio of non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. Each portfolio must have at least five firms. $R_{W \ exUS}$ is the weekly U.S. dollar-denominated return on the world market portfolio. SMB and HML are the U.S.-based size and book-to-market factors from Fama and French (1993). Firms with less than 100 weekly observations, less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. Deregistering firms are included in the portfolio starting on January 5, 2001 and are excluded from the portfolio starting one week prior to deregistration. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. The sample of deregistering firms includes an additional 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted. Model (1) includes all deregistering firms and the regression is estimated from January 5, 2001 to June 27, 2008. Model (2) estimates the regression for the firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (over January 5, 2001 to January 5, 2007). Model (3) estimates the regression for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 (over January 5, 2001 to June 27, 2008). t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All deregistering firms | (2) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Constant | -0.0024 | -0.0024 | -0.0013 |
| | (3.18)*** | (2.58)** | (2.05)** |
| World market | 0.0453 | 0.0720 | 0.0436 |
| | (1.46) | (1.50) | (1.36) |
| SMB | -0.0529 | 0.0285 | -0.1440 |
| | (1.00) | (0.37) | (2.64)*** |
| HML | -0.0154 | -0.1277 | 0.0537 |
| | (0.26) | (1.53) | (0.88) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0025 | | |
| | (1.99)** | | |
| Number of observations | 391 | 314 | 391 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0091 | 0.0127 | 0.0144 |

Table IA.III.c Return Performance of Deregistering Firms

This table compares the return performance of firms that deregistered with non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. The regression, $R_{Dereg, t} - R_{Bench, t} = \alpha + \beta \times [R_{W_exUS, t} - R_{f,t}] + \gamma \times SMB_t + \delta \times HML_t + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated by OLS. R_{Dereg} is the weekly (Friday to Friday) U.S. dollar return on a **value-weighted portfolio** of firms that deregistered. R_{Bench} is the return on a **value-weighted portfolio** of non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. Each portfolio must have at least five firms. R_{W_exUS} is the weekly U.S. dollar-denominated return on the world market portfolio. SMB and HML are the U.S.-based size and book-to-market factors from Fama and French (1993). Firms with less than 100 weekly observations, less than \$10 million in assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. Deregistering firms are included in the portfolio starting on January 5, 2001 and are excluded from the portfolio starting one week prior to deregistration. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Model (1) includes all deregistering firms and the regression is estimated from January 5, 2001 to June 27, 2008. Model (2) estimates the regression for the firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 (over January 5, 2001 to June 27, 2008). t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All deregistering firms | (2) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Constant | -0.0008 | -0.0019 | -0.0001 |
| | (1.20) | (1.68)* | (0.17) |
| World market | -0.0625 | 0.1554 | -0.1069 |
| | (2.33)** | (2.61)*** | (3.77)*** |
| SMB | 0.0507 | 0.2085 | 0.0240 |
| | (1.11) | (2.20)** | (0.50) |
| HML | 0.2208 | 0.0186 | 0.2713 |
| | (4.29)*** | (0.18) | (5.02)*** |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0014 | | |
| | (1.28) | | |
| Number of observations | 391 | 314 | 391 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0649 | 0.0352 | 0.1106 |

Table IA.IV.a Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta$ Event_Dummy + ε_t , is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. Event_Dummy is a vector that includes a dummy variable for each of the SOX event dates from Litvak (2007), Table 1. Each dummy equals one (negative one) around the SOX event dates (-1,+1 window) that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction. Events predicted to have a negative (positive) reaction have "-" ("+") superscripts. Events in bold are identified by Litvak (2007) as important SOX events. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between 2002 and March 2007; and in Model (4) it includes all firms that deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Constant | 0.0002 | -0.0006 | -0.0006 | -0.0005 | -0.0009 | -0.0010 | -0.0009 |
| | (1.05) | (2.53)** | $(1.81)^*$ | (2.62)*** | (4.91)*** | (3.49)*** | (4.36)*** |
| 1 Early SEC | -0.0017 | -0.0038 | -0.0040 | -0.0036 | -0.0025 | -0.0028 | -0.0024 |
| | (0.57) | (1.11) | (0.79) | (1.15) | (0.88) | (0.66) | (0.75) |
| 2 House Committee | -0.0023 | -0.0062 | -0.0122 | -0.0020 | -0.0047 | -0.0107 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.79) | $(1.83)^*$ | (2.38)** | (0.63) | (1.63) | $(2.52)^{**}$ | (0.15) |
| 3 Full House | -0.0036 | -0.0049 | -0.0081 | -0.0027 | -0.0016 | -0.0048 | 0.0006 |
| | (1.56) | (1.87)* | (2.04)** | (1.11) | (0.73) | (1.46) | (0.24) |
| 4 Senate Committee 1st announcement | -0.0033 | -0.0001 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.0039 | 0.0036 | 0.0042 |
| | (1.14) | (0.03) | (0.08) | (0.04) | (1.37) | (0.85) | (1.32) |
| 5 Senate Committee follow up | 0.0024 | 0.0052 | 0.0032 | 0.0066 | 0.0035 | 0.0014 | 0.0049 |
| | (0.80) | (1.54) | (0.63) | (2.13)** | (1.20) | (0.34) | (1.55) |
| 6 WorldCom Announcement | -0.0030 | -0.0009 | -0.0017 | -0.0004 | 0.0025 | 0.0017 | 0.0031 |
| | (1.02) | (0.27) | (0.33) | (0.12) | (0.87) | (0.41) | (0.97) |
| 7 Sarbanes Amendment | -0.0014 | -0.0028 | -0.0030 | -0.0026 | -0.0016 | -0.0019 | -0.0014 |
| | (0.56) | (0.94) | (0.68) | (0.96) | (0.65) | (0.51) | (0.53) |
| 8 Dorgan Amendment | 0.0018 | 0.0006 | -0.0034 | 0.0034 | -0.0015 | -0.0054 | 0.0014 |
| | (0.54) | (0.15) | (0.59) | (0.97) | (0.45) | (1.13) | (0.38) |

Table IA.IV.a, continued

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 9 Bills pass House and Senate | -0.0007 | 0.0022 | 0.0064 | -0.0007 | 0.0035 | 0.0076 | 0.0006 |
| | (0.28) | (0.82) | (1.55) | (0.27) | (1.52) | (2.25)** | (0.24) |
| 10 ⁺ Conference Report | -0.0114 | -0.0076 | -0.0116 | -0.0048 | 0.0046 | 0.0006 | 0.0073 |
| | (4.47)*** | (2.60)*** | (2.61)*** | (1.79)* | $(1.83)^*$ | (0.17) | (2.70)*** |
| 11 President | 0.0007 | -0.0015 | -0.0002 | -0.0024 | -0.0026 | -0.0013 | -0.0036 |
| | (0.23) | (0.44) | (0.03) | (0.77) | (0.91) | (0.31) | (1.13) |
| 12 SEC Rule 302: no exemption | 0.0020 | -0.0043 | -0.0024 | -0.0056 | -0.0076 | -0.0056 | -0.0089 |
| | (0.67) | (1.26) | (0.46) | (1.80)* | (2.62)*** | (1.33) | (2.82)*** |
| 13 ⁺ Pitt suggests exemptions | -0.0023 | -0.0014 | -0.0047 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | -0.0023 | 0.0034 |
| | (0.99) | (0.53) | (1.19) | (0.41) | (0.47) | (0.69) | (1.41) |
| 14 ⁻ No exemptions to 404, 406, 407 | 0.0036 | -0.0010 | 0.0009 | -0.0022 | -0.0055 | -0.0037 | -0.0068 |
| | (1.22) | (0.28) | (0.17) | (0.72) | $(1.91)^*$ | (0.87) | (2.16)** |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.9122 | 1.1036 | 1.1240 | 1.0901 | 0.2317 | 0.2522 | 0.2183 |
| | (44.77)*** | (47.00)*** | (31.76)*** | (50.44)*** | (11.63)*** | (8.59)*** | (10.02)*** |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7285 | 0.7461 | 0.5744 | 0.7714 | 0.1610 | 0.0926 | 0.1303 |

Table IA.IV.b Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \times SOX$ dummy $+ \varepsilon_t$, is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The SOX dummy equals one (negative one) around the SOX event dates (-1,+1 window) that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction. SOX event dates are from Litvak (2007), Table 1. In Panel A, the dummy includes all 14 SOX events. In Panel B, the dummy includes eight SOX events that Litvak (2007) identifies as important. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) the portfolio includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between 2002 and March 2007; and in Model (4) it includes all firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Models (5) to (7) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms (all deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$100 \text{ million in total assets}, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

(2) (1) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) All exchange-All deregistering Pre-Rule 12h-6 Rule 12h-6 Dereg-Exch Dereg-Exch Dereg-Exch deregistering firms deregistering firms listed firms firms Panel A: All SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy Constant -0.0002 -0.0007 -0.0008 -0.0006 -0.0006 -0.0007-0.0005 $(3.06)^{***}$ $(2.89)^{***}$ $(3.35)^{***}$ $(2.77)^{***}$ $(2.62)^{***}$ (0.88) $(2.39)^{**}$ 0.0005 -0.0002 -0.0007 -0.0013 -0.0010 -0.0015 All events dummy -0.0005 (0.71)(0.62)(0.16)(0.89) $(1.82)^*$ (0.94) $(1.84)^*$ Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms 0.9476 1.1263 1.1532 1.1119 0.2222 0.2490 0.2077 (46.57)*** (11.26)*** $(48.43)^{***}$ (50.55)*** (8.56)*** $(32.20)^{***}$ $(9.31)^{***}$ Number of observations 782 782 782 782 782 782 782 Adjusted R² 0.7352 0.7506 0.5705 0.7664 0.1429 0.0854 0.1031 Panel B. Important SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy Constant -0.0001-0.0007 -0.0008 -0.0006 -0.0006 -0.0008 -0.0006 (3.04)*** (2.81)*** $(2.93)^{***}$ $(2.68)^{***}$ $(2.44)^{**}$ $(3.47)^{***}$ (0.76)-0.0001 -0.0011 0.0001 -0.0018 -0.0012 -0.0019 Important SOX events dummy 0.0000 $(1.73)^*$ $(1.84)^*$ (0.10)(0.98)(0.07)(1.32)(0.02)Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms 0.9468 1.1536 1.1097 0.2217 0.2501 0.2062 1.1251 (46.41)*** (32.14)*** (50.41)*** (11.20)*** (8.57)*** (9.23)*** (48.29)*** Number of observations 782 782 782 782 782 782 782 Adjusted R² 0.7350 0.7508 0.5705 0.7671 0.1412 0.0844 0.1031

Table IA.IV.c Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \times SOX$ dummy + ε_t , is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The SOX dummy equals one (negative one) around the SOX event dates (-1,+1 window) that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction. SOX event dates are from Litvak (2007), Table 1. In Panel A, the dummy includes all 14 SOX events. In Panel B, the dummy includes eight SOX events that Litvak (2007) identifies as important. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) the portfolio includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008 – the sample includes an additional 10 firms that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between 2002 and March 2007; and in Model (4) it includes all firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Models (5) to (7) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms (all deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Panel A: All SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.0000 | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0006 | -0.0009 | -0.0010 | -0.0008 | | | |
| | (0.23) | (3.07)*** | (2.48)** | (2.89)*** | (5.01)*** | (3.90)*** | (3.91)*** | | | |
| All events dummy | 0.0008 | -0.0004 | -0.0003 | -0.0006 | -0.0015 | -0.0013 | -0.0016 | | | |
| | (1.00) | (0.50) | (0.22) | (0.72) | (2.06)** | (1.24) | (2.01)** | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.9183 | 1.1057 | 1.1251 | 1.0910 | 0.2298 | 0.2492 | 0.2151 | | | |
| | (44.81)*** | (45.73)*** | (30.80)*** | (51.47)*** | (11.89)*** | (8.60)*** | (10.02)*** | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7199 | 0.7285 | 0.5487 | 0.7728 | 0.1576 | 0.0871 | 0.1179 | | | |
| | Pan | el B. Important SOX | Events Included in the | he SOX Event Dummy | / | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.0001 | -0.0007 | -0.0009 | -0.0006 | -0.0009 | -0.0011 | -0.0008 | | | |
| | (0.38) | (3.08)*** | (2.54)** | (2.84)*** | (5.21)*** | (4.10)*** | (4.03)*** | | | |
| Important SOX events dummy | 0.0001 | -0.0006 | 0.0002 | -0.0013 | -0.0009 | -0.0001 | -0.0016 | | | |
| | (0.13) | (0.57) | (0.10) | (1.31) | (1.04) | (0.09) | (1.59) | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.9176 | 1.1051 | 1.1257 | 1.0895 | 0.2299 | 0.2505 | 0.2142 | | | |
| | (44.65)*** | (45.61)*** | (30.75)*** | (51.32)*** | (11.85)*** | (8.62)*** | (9.95)*** | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7195 | 0.7285 | 0.5486 | 0.7731 | 0.1542 | 0.0853 | 0.1162 | | | |

Table IA.IV.d Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \times SOX$ dummy $+ \varepsilon_b$ is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The SOX dummy equals one (negative one) around the SOX event dates (-1,+1 window) that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction. SOX event dates are from Litvak (2007), Table 1. In Panel A, the dummy includes all 14 SOX events. In Panel B, the dummy includes eight SOX events that Litvak (2007) identifies as important. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) the portfolio includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between 2002 and March 2007; and in Model (4) it includes all firms that deregistering firms (all deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the **equal-weighted** return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Panel A: All SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Constant | -0.0002 | -0.0009 | -0.0011 | -0.0008 | -0.0008 | -0.0010 | -0.0007 | | | | |
| | (1.23) | (3.53)*** | (3.22)*** | (3.08)*** | (4.28)*** | (3.69)*** | (3.34)*** | | | | |
| All events dummy | -0.0009 | -0.0023 | -0.0020 | -0.0025 | -0.0017 | -0.0014 | -0.0019 | | | | |
| | (1.19) | (2.25)** | (1.49) | (2.48)** | (2.22)** | (1.29) | (2.30)** | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 1.0952 | 1.2262 | 1.3059 | 1.1720 | 0.1586 | 0.2383 | 0.1043 | | | | |
| | (43.34)*** | (36.04)*** | (29.20)*** | (34.70)*** | (6.28)*** | (6.67)*** | (3.79)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7062 | 0.6248 | 0.5218 | 0.6072 | 0.0513 | 0.0533 | 0.0219 | | | | |
| | Pan | el B. Important SOX | Events Included in the | he SOX Event Dummy | 1 | | | | | | |
| Constant | -0.0002 | -0.0009 | -0.0011 | -0.0008 | -0.0008 | -0.0010 | -0.0007 | | | | |
| | (1.13) | (3.54)*** | (3.25)*** | (3.06)*** | (4.39)*** | (3.81)*** | (3.41)*** | | | | |
| Important SOX events dummy | -0.0021 | -0.0036 | -0.0028 | -0.0042 | -0.0018 | -0.0010 | -0.0024 | | | | |
| | (2.19)** | (2.75)*** | (1.62) | (3.22)*** | (1.83)* | (0.71) | (2.22)** | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 1.0934 | 1.2231 | 1.3034 | 1.1684 | 0.1569 | 0.2372 | 0.1022 | | | | |
| | (43.35)*** | (35.99)*** | (29.14)*** | (34.68)*** | (6.21)*** | (6.63)*** | (3.71)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7075 | 0.6260 | 0.5221 | 0.6093 | 0.0493 | 0.0519 | 0.0215 | | | | |

Table IA.IV.e Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \times SOX$ dummy $+ \varepsilon_{t}$, is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The SOX dummy equals one (negative one) around the SOX event dates (-1,+1 window) that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction. SOX event dates are from Litvak (2007), Table 1. In Panel A, the dummy includes all 14 SOX events. In Panel B, the dummy includes eight SOX events that Litvak (2007) identifies as important. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar **value-weighted** return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) the portfolio includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between 2002 and March 2007; and in Model (4) it includes all firms that deregistering firms (all deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule 12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Panel A: All SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Constant | -0.0005 | -0.0005 | -0.0013 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 | -0.0008 | 0.0002 | | | | |
| | (2.56)** | (2.45)** | (3.10)*** | (1.46) | (0.19) | (2.36)** | (0.82) | | | | |
| All events dummy | -0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0016 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0019 | 0.0003 | | | | |
| | (0.26) | (0.39) | (0.96) | (0.05) | (0.84) | (1.34) | (0.38) | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 1.1586 | 1.0580 | 1.5048 | 0.9481 | -0.1150 | 0.3318 | -0.2249 | | | | |
| | (56.89)*** | (46.79)*** | (33.12)*** | (41.85)*** | (5.94)*** | (8.78)*** | (9.94)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8059 | 0.7371 | 0.5837 | 0.6917 | 0.0422 | 0.0887 | 0.1110 | | | | |
| | Pan | el B. Important SOX | Events Included in the | he SOX Event Dummy | / | | | | | | |
| Constant | -0.0005 | -0.0005 | -0.0013 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 | -0.0008 | 0.0002 | | | | |
| | (2.45)** | (2.25)** | (3.08)*** | (1.22) | (0.05) | (2.39)** | (0.98) | | | | |
| Important SOX events dummy | -0.0011 | -0.0011 | 0.0019 | -0.0018 | 0.0000 | 0.0030 | -0.0007 | | | | |
| | (1.20) | (1.07) | (0.90) | (1.75)* | (0.01) | $(1.73)^*$ | (0.67) | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 1.1569 | 1.0556 | 1.5061 | 0.9449 | -0.1157 | 0.3348 | -0.2265 | | | | |
| | (56.73)*** | (46.61)*** | (33.07)*** | (41.70)*** | (5.96)*** | (8.85)*** | (9.99)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8062 | 0.7375 | 0.5836 | 0.6929 | 0.0414 | 0.0901 | 0.1113 | | | | |

Table IA.IV.f
Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed firms and Deregistering Firms Around SOX Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \times SOX$ dummy $+ \varepsilon_b$, is estimated from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The SOX dummy equals one (negative one) on the SOX event dates that are predicted to have a negative (positive) price reaction - **event windows are defined as in Litvak (2007)**. SOX event dates are from Litvak (2007), Table 1. In Panel A, the dummy includes all 14 SOX events. In Panel B, the dummy includes eight SOX events that Litvak (2007) identifies as important. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) the portfolio includes all firms that deregistered between 2002 and 2008; in Model (3) it includes all firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Models (5) to (7) \underline{R}_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms (all deregistering firms; deregistering firms prior to Rule 12h-6; deregistering firms after Rule12h-6) and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations, firms with less than \$10 million in total assets, and firms that delisted prior to July 8, 2002 are excluded. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange- listed firms | (2) All deregistering firms | (3) Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (4) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (5) Dereg–Exch | (6) Dereg–Exch | (7) Dereg–Exch | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Panel A: All SOX Events Included in the SOX Event Dummy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.0001 | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0006 | -0.0009 | -0.0010 | -0.0008 | | | | |
| | (0.47) | (3.08)*** | (2.42)** | (2.93)*** | (4.96)*** | (3.84)*** | (4.11)*** | | | | |
| All events dummy | 0.0001 | -0.0010 | -0.0001 | -0.0016 | -0.0013 | -0.0004 | -0.0019 | | | | |
| | (0.11) | (0.78) | (0.06) | (1.39) | (1.25) | (0.29) | (1.67)* | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.9152 | 1.1035 | 1.1269 | 1.0878 | 0.2279 | 0.2514 | 0.2123 | | | | |
| | (44.81)*** | (47.15)*** | (31.92)*** | (50.68)*** | (11.45)*** | (8.61)*** | (9.74)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7227 | 0.7436 | 0.5694 | 0.7707 | 0.1487 | 0.0865 | 0.1147 | | | | |
| | Pan | el B. Important SOX | Events Included in the | he SOX Event Dummy | ý | | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.0001 | -0.0006 | -0.0008 | -0.0006 | -0.0009 | -0.0010 | -0.0008 | | | | |
| | (0.57) | (3.02)*** | (2.37)** | (2.89)*** | (5.00)*** | (3.85)*** | (4.16)*** | | | | |
| Important SOX events dummy | -0.0010 | -0.0021 | -0.0014 | -0.0026 | -0.0013 | -0.0006 | -0.0018 | | | | |
| | (0.83) | (1.46) | (0.64) | (1.97)** | (1.04) | (0.31) | (1.32) | | | | |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.9132 | 1.1024 | 1.1250 | 1.0874 | 0.2290 | 0.2516 | 0.2140 | | | | |
| | (44.85)*** | (47.28)*** | (31.96)*** | (50.86)*** | (11.53)*** | (8.64)*** | (9.83)*** | | | | |
| Number of observations | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 782 | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7229 | 0.7441 | 0.5696 | 0.7713 | 0.1481 | 0.0865 | 0.1136 | | | | |

Table IA.V.a Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around SOX Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around SOX announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$100m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2001. Models (1) to (4) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Deregistration dummy equals one for firms that subsequently voluntarily deregistered. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 (Rule 12h-6 dummy) equals one for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries - they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy is not significantly different from the Rule 12h-6 dummy in Model (2). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (5) and (6)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) 0.0044 Constant 0.0136 0.0137 0.0084 0.0128 0.0210 (0.91)(0.92)(0.77)(0.85)(1.39) $(0.25)^{\#}$ Sales growth 0.0031 0.0031 0.0031 0.0029 0.0034 0.0015 $(4.78)^{**}$ $(4.85)^{**}$ $(2.21)^{*}$ $(3.62)^{**}$ $(3.88)^{*}$ (0.90)Financing deficit -0.0031-0.00310.0023 (1.49)(1.49)(0.83)Log(assets) -0.0002-0.0002-0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 -0.0002 (0.59)(0.55)(0.34)(0.60)(0.78)(0.39)0.0022 0.0023 -0.0007 0.0048 0.0055 -0.0012 Leverage $(0.45)^{##}$ (0.84)(0.84)(1.54) $(1.89)^*$ (0.16)**ROA** -0.0064-0.0008 0.0022 -0.0064-0.0111 $(1.69)^*$ $(1.70)^*$ (0.13) $(1.88)^*$ (0.46)0.0014 0.0014 -0.0010 0.0018 0.0053 Ownership -0.0012 $(2.05)^{*,\#\#}$ (0.59)(0.58)(0.40)(0.77)(0.43)-0.0002 Deregistration dummy -0.0012-0.0016 -0.0012 -0.0018(1.34)(1.05)(1.37)(1.37)(0.17)Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy -0.0009 (0.64)Rule 12h-6 dummy -0.0014 (0.96)S&P rating -0.0002 $(3.07)^{**}$ FCF problem -0.0057 $(2.22)^{*}$ O-score 0.0002 (0.03)0.0002 Anti-self-dealing 0.0003 0.0003 -0.00120.0042 (0.08)(0.05)(0.10)(0.32)(1.23)Stock market cap / GDP -0.0015 -0.0014-0.0017-0.0013 -0.0012-0.0015 (1.27)(1.24)(0.76)(1.16)(0.58)(1.41)Log(GNP/capita) -0.0011 -0.0011 0.0006 -0.0012-0.0018-0.0005 (0.36)(0.92)(0.96)(1.40)(0.93)(0.58)Chi-square test (*p*-value) 2.91 (0.01) 346 Number of observations 346 159 352 204 142 Adjusted R² 0.0892 0.0867 0.1255 0.0889 0.1326 0.0431

Table IA.V.b Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around SOX Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around SOX announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2001. Models (1) to (4) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Deregistration dummy equals one for firms that subsequently voluntarily deregistered plus 10 additional firms that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 (Rule 12h-6 dummy) equals one for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries – they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy is not significantly different from the Rule 12h-6 dummy in Model (2). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (5) and (6)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative

financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Constant | 0.0142 | 0.0141 | 0.0085 | 0.0136 | 0.0202 | 0.0062 |
| | (0.97) | (0.96) | (0.77) | (0.91) | (1.36) | (0.37) |
| Sales growth | 0.0017 | 0.0017 | 0.0026 | 0.0011 | 0.0021 | 0.0004 |
| _ | $(1.98)^*$ | $(2.00)^*$ | $(2.69)^{**}$ | (1.11) | $(2.26)^{**}$ | (0.18) |
| Financing deficit | -0.0043 | -0.0043 | 0.0024 | | | |
| _ | $(1.98)^*$ | $(1.96)^*$ | (0.87) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0003 | -0.0003 | -0.0002 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 |
| - | (1.18) | (1.16) | (0.32) | (0.94) | (1.37) | (0.89) |
| Leverage | 0.0017 | 0.0017 | -0.0010 | 0.0043 | 0.0049 | -0.0022 |
| | (0.59) | (0.57) | (0.24) | (1.42) | (1.51) | $(0.73)^{##}$ |
| ROA | -0.0046 | -0.0047 | -0.0006 | | -0.0048 | -0.0037 |
| | (1.22) | (1.23) | (0.10) | | (1.14) | (0.89) |
| Ownership | 0.0016 | 0.0017 | -0.0010 | 0.0021 | -0.0003 | 0.0053 |
| | (0.70) | (0.74) | (0.40) | (0.92) | (0.12) | $(1.99)^{*,\#}$ |
| Deregistration dummy | -0.0016 | | -0.0017 | -0.0015 | -0.0020 | -0.0007 |
| | $(1.93)^*$ | | (1.19) | $(1.77)^*$ | $(1.77)^*$ | (0.51) |
| Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy | | -0.0019 | | | | |
| | | (1.60) | | | | |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | | -0.0015 | | | | |
| | | (1.11) | | | | |
| S&P rating | | | -0.0002 | | | |
| | | | $(3.02)^{***}$ | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | -0.0052 | | |
| | | | | $(2.82)^{***}$ | | |
| O-score | | | | -0.0008 | | |
| | | | | (0.21) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | | 0.0004 | -0.0011 | 0.0030 |
| | (0.07) | (0.08) | | (0.11) | (0.28) | (0.90) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0011 | -0.0012 | -0.0016 | -0.0009 | -0.0006 | -0.0014 |
| | (0.93) | (0.95) | (0.75) | (0.73) | (0.32) | (1.25) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0010 | -0.0010 | 0.0006 | -0.0011 | -0.0016 | -0.0003 |
| | (0.81) | (0.80) | (0.56) | (0.91) | (1.25) | (0.22) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | | | | | 2.55 | (0.02) |
| Number of observations | 376 | 376 | 160 | 381 | 226 | 150 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0533 | 0.0508 | 0.1264 | 0.0542 | 0.0644 | 0.0255 |
| | | | | | | ****** |

Table IA.V.c Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around SOX Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around SOX announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to an equal-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2001. Models (1) to (4) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Deregistration dummy equals one for firms that subsequently voluntarily deregistered. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 (Rule 12h-6 dummy) equals one for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries - they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy is not significantly different from the Rule 12h-6 dummy in Model (2). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (5) and (6)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| Constant | 0.0173 | 0.0173 | 0.0092 | 0.0164 | 0.0236 | 0.0090 |
| | (1.13) | (1.12) | (0.83) | (1.04) | (1.50) | (0.51) |
| Sales growth | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | 0.0025 | 0.0008 | 0.0023 | -0.0001 |
| | (1.42) | (1.43) | (1.59) | (0.68) | $(1.72)^*$ | (0.05) |
| Financing deficit | -0.0049 | -0.0050 | 0.0022 | | | |
| | $(2.17)^{**}$ | $(2.17)^{**}$ | (0.75) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0006 | -0.0006 | -0.0001 | -0.0005 | -0.0006 | -0.0006 |
| | $(2.19)^{**}$ | $(2.14)^{**}$ | (0.21) | $(1.69)^*$ | $(2.26)^{**}$ | (1.65) |
| Leverage | 0.0030 | 0.0029 | 0.0008 | 0.0059 | 0.0064 | -0.0007 |
| | (1.00) | (0.97) | (0.18) | $(1.87)^*$ | $(1.87)^*$ | $(0.22)^{\#\#}$ |
| ROA | -0.0016 | -0.0017 | 0.0049 | | -0.0020 | 0.0000 |
| | (0.40) | (0.42) | (0.77) | | (0.44) | (0.00) |
| Ownership | 0.0020 | 0.0021 | -0.0011 | 0.0026 | 0.0000 | 0.0056 |
| | (0.86) | (0.90) | (0.39) | (1.13) | (0.01) | $(2.14)^{**,\#}$ |
| Deregistration dummy | -0.0019 | | -0.0015 | -0.0018 | -0.0021 | -0.0012 |
| | $(2.08)^{**}$ | | (0.93) | $(1.98)^*$ | $(1.71)^*$ | (0.84) |
| Pre-Rule 12h-6 dummy | | -0.0022 | | | | |
| | | (1.62) | | | | |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | | -0.0018 | | | | |
| | | (1.22) | | | | |
| S&P rating | | | -0.0002 | | | |
| | | | (3.39)*** | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | -0.0020 | | |
| | | | | (1.03) | | |
| O-score | | | | -0.0007 | | |
| | | | | (0.15) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0019 | 0.0020 | | 0.0022 | 0.0011 | 0.0041 |
| | (0.55) | (0.56) | | (0.62) | (0.26) | (1.15) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0016 | -0.0017 | -0.0020 | -0.0013 | -0.0011 | -0.0017 |
| | (1.20) | (1.22) | (0.79) | (0.97) | (0.53) | (1.47) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0012 | -0.0012 | 0.0002 | -0.0014 | -0.0019 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.93) | (0.92) | (0.19) | (1.02) | (1.39) | (0.32) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | | | | | 2.35 | (0.03) |
| Number of observations | 373 | 373 | 159 | 378 | 224 | 149 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0840 | 0.0816 | 0.1759 | 0.0879 | 0.0888 | 0.0617 |

Table IA.VI.a Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed Firms and Rule 12h-6 Deregistering Firms Around Rule 12h-6 Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \textit{Event_Dummy} + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007. *Event_Dummy* is a vector that includes dummy variables for three announcement dates related to adoption of Rule 12h-6 from www.sec.gov. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) R_p is the equal-weighted return on a portfolio of firms that subsequently deregistered using Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Model (3) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations and firms with less than \$100 million in total assets are excluded. In Panel A, coefficients are estimated for each dummy variable. In Panel B, a single dummy variable that equals one over all event days is defined. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange-listed firms | (2) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Dereg–Exch |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Panel A | : Individual Deregistration | | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.17)** | (3.03)*** | (1.14) |
| 1 December 14, 2005 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | 0.0003 |
| | (0.42) | (0.36) | (0.10) |
| 2 December 13, 2006 | -0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0.0011 |
| | (0.38) | (0.02) | (0.42) |
| 3 March 21, 2007 | 0.0012 | -0.0003 | -0.0017 |
| | (0.56) | (0.14) | (0.67) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8691 | 0.9223 | 0.0623 |
| | (55.80)*** | (54.37)*** | (3.31)*** |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8005 | 0.7918 | 0.0094 |
| | Panel B: Condensed Ever | nt Dummy | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.18)** | (3.03)*** | (1.14) |
| All events dummy | -0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0001 |
| • | (0.14) | (0.28) | (0.08) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8697 | 0.9223 | 0.0617 |
| | (55.95)*** | (54.50)*** | (3.28)*** |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8008 | 0.7923 | 0.0112 |

Table IA.VI.b Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed Firms and Rule 12h-6 Deregistering Firms Around Rule 12h-6 Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \textit{Event_Dummy} + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007. *Event_Dummy* is a vector that includes dummy variables for three announcement dates related to adoption of Rule 12h-6 from www.sec.gov. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) R_p is the equal-weighted return on a portfolio of firms that subsequently deregistered using Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Model (3) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the equal-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations and firms with less than \$10 million in total assets are excluded. In Panel A, coefficients are estimated for each dummy variable. In Panel B, a single dummy variable that equals one over all event days is defined. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange-listed firms | (2) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Dereg–Exch |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Panel A | : Individual Deregistration | on Event Dummies | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.07)** | (2.61)*** | (0.91) |
| 1 December 14, 2005 | -0.0005 | -0.0011 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.23) | (0.41) | (0.18) |
| 2 December 13, 2006 | -0.0002 | 0.0005 | 0.0009 |
| | (0.10) | (0.18) | (0.34) |
| 3 March 21, 2007 | 0.0015 | 0.0004 | -0.0012 |
| | (0.66) | (0.15) | (0.46) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8686 | 0.8737 | 0.0062 |
| | (51.14)*** | (44.61)*** | (0.32) |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7713 | 0.7193 | -0.0046 |
| | Panel B: Condensed Eve | nt Dummy | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.08)** | (2.62)*** | (0.91) |
| All events dummy | 0.0003 | -0.0001 | -0.0003 |
| | (0.19) | (0.04) | (0.17) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8692 | 0.8740 | 0.0058 |
| | (51.31)*** | (44.74)*** | (0.30) |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7718 | 0.7199 | -0.0024 |

Table IA.VI.c Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed Firms and Rule 12h-6 Deregistering Firms Around Rule 12h-6 Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta \textit{Event_Dummy} + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007. *Event_Dummy* is a vector that includes dummy variables for three announcement dates related to adoption of Rule 12h-6 from www.sec.gov. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar value-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) R_p is the equal-weighted return on a portfolio of firms that subsequently deregistered using Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Model (3) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations and firms with less than \$10 million in total assets are excluded. In Panel A, coefficients are estimated for each dummy variable. In Panel B, a single dummy variable that equals one over all event days is defined. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange-listed firms | (2) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Dereg–Exch |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Panel A | : Individual Deregistration | on Event Dummies | |
| Constant | -0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| | (1.35) | (0.24) | (1.02) |
| 1 December 14, 2005 | -0.0013 | -0.0009 | 0.0005 |
| | (0.72) | (0.35) | (0.25) |
| 2 December 13, 2006 | 0.0015 | 0.0020 | 0.0006 |
| | (0.83) | (0.82) | (0.31) |
| 3 March 21, 2007 | 0.0014 | 0.0002 | -0.0014 |
| | (0.80) | (0.09) | (0.70) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8693 | 0.8627 | -0.0067 |
| | (67.47)*** | (48.16)*** | (0.47) |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8545 | 0.7492 | -0.0040 |
| | Panel B: Condensed Eve | nt Dummy | |
| Constant | -0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| | (1.36) | (0.24) | (1.02) |
| All events dummy | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | -0.0001 |
| | (0.52) | (0.32) | (0.08) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8699 | 0.8628 | -0.0072 |
| | (67.60)*** | (48.26)*** | (0.50) |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.8546 | 0.7496 | -0.0022 |

Table IA.VI.d Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed Firms and Rule 12h-6 Deregistering Firms Around Rule 12h-6 Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta Event_Dummy + \varepsilon_t$, is estimated from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007. *Event_Dummy* is a vector that includes dummy variables for three announcement dates related to adoption of Rule 12h-6 from www.sec.gov. The event windows are defined as in Fernandes, Lel, and Miller (2010). In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms crosslisted on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) R_p is the equal-weighted return on a portfolio of firms that subsequently deregistered using Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Model (3) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations and firms with less than \$10 million in total assets are excluded. In Panel A, coefficients are estimated for each dummy variable. In Panel B, a single dummy variable that equals one over all event days is defined. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| | (1) All exchange-listed | (2) Rule 12h-6 | (3) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | firms | deregistering firms | Dereg-Exch |
| Panel A: | Individual Deregistration | n Event Dummies | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0005 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.11)** | (3.44)*** | (1.27) |
| 1 December 14, 2005 | -0.0009 | -0.0014 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.39) | (0.62) | (0.17) |
| 2 December 13, 2006 | -0.0012 | -0.0006 | 0.0008 |
| | (0.56) | (0.27) | (0.31) |
| 3 March 21, 2007 | -0.0003 | -0.0016 | -0.0015 |
| | (0.11) | (0.74) | (0.58) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8526 | 0.8971 | 0.0527 |
| | (53.14)*** | (55.92)*** | (2.79)*** |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7842 | 0.8008 | 0.0052 |
| F | Panel B: Condensed Ever | nt Dummy | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0005 | -0.0002 |
| | $(2.12)^{**}$ | (3.44)*** | (1.27) |
| All events dummy | -0.0008 | -0.0012 | -0.0004 |
| | (0.61) | (0.94) | (0.26) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8528 | 0.8970 | 0.0523 |
| | (53.28)*** | (56.05)*** | (2.78)*** |
| Number of observations | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7847 | 0.8013 | 0.0073 |

Table IA.VI.e Stock-price Reactions of Exchange-listed Firms and Rule 12h-6 Deregistering Firms Around Rule 12h-6 Announcement Dates

The regression, $R_{p,t} = \alpha + \beta \times R_{b,t} + \delta$ **Event_Dummy** + ε_t , is estimated from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007. **Event_Dummy** is a vector that includes dummy variables for **six announcement dates** related to adoption of Rule 12h-6 from **www.sec.gov**. In Model (1) R_p is the daily U.S. dollar equal-weighted return on a portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms cross-listed on U.S. exchanges. In Model (2) R_p is the equal-weighted return on a portfolio of firms that subsequently deregistered using Rule 12h-6 between April 2007 and December 2008. In Model (3) R_p is the difference in returns on the portfolio of deregistering firms and the portfolio of exchange-listed firms that did not deregister (denoted "Dereg-Exch"). R_b is the value-weighted return on the benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. Firms with less than 260 daily observations and firms with less than \$10 million in total assets are excluded. In Panel A, coefficients are estimated for each dummy variable. In Panel B, a single dummy variable that equals one over all event days is defined. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

| espectively. | (1) All exchange-listed firms | (2) Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | (3) Dereg–Exch |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Panel A | : Individual Deregistration | on Event Dummies | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.28)** | (3.54)*** | (1.21) |
| 1 February 9, 2004 | 0.0053 | 0.0030 | -0.0026 |
| | (2.35)** | (1.35) | (1.00) |
| 2 January 25, 2005 | -0.0024 | -0.0014 | 0.0011 |
| | (1.05) | (0.64) | (0.42) |
| 3 March 18, 2005 | -0.0008 | -0.0015 | -0.0009 |
| | (0.33) | (0.67) | (0.35) |
| 4 December 14, 2005 | -0.0009 | -0.0014 | -0.0005 |
| | (0.39) | (0.64) | (0.19) |
| 5 December 13, 2006 | -0.0013 | -0.0007 | 0.0008 |
| | (0.57) | (0.31) | (0.30) |
| 6 March 21, 2007 | 0.0017 | 0.0002 | -0.0016 |
| | (0.75) | (0.11) | (0.61) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8737 | 0.9151 | 0.0477 |
| | (59.22)*** | (63.13)*** | (2.81)*** |
| Number of observations | 1041 | 1041 | 1041 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7732 | 0.7944 | 0.0024 |
| | Panel B: Condensed Eve | nt Dummy | |
| Constant | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 |
| | (2.29)** | (3.56)*** | (1.21) |
| All events dummy | 0.0003 | -0.0003 | -0.0006 |
| - | (0.30) | (0.33) | (0.58) |
| Portfolio: Level 1 & Rule 144a firms | 0.8753 | 0.9163 | 0.0472 |
| | (59.41)*** | (63.44)*** | (2.80)*** |
| Number of observations | 1041 | 1041 | 1041 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.7726 | 0.7948 | 0.0058 |

Table IA.VII.a

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around the March 21, 2007 Rule 12h-6 announcement date. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$100m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The *t*-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries – they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Constant | 0.0142 | 0.0322 | 0.0169 | 0.0204 | 0.0167 | 0.0212 | 0.0058 |
| | $(2.01)^*$ | (3.70)**** | (3.01)*** | $(3.24)^{***}$ | $(2.69)^{**}$ | $(3.73)^{***}$ | (0.43) |
| Sales growth | 0.0009 | -0.0050 | -0.0013 | -0.0010 | -0.0010 | 0.0001 | -0.0097 |
| | (0.74) | (1.11) | (1.17) | (0.99) | (0.96) | (0.06) | (3.58)***,### |
| Financing deficit | -0.0026 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | -0.0004 | | | |
| | (0.81) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.11) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0003 | -0.0006 | -0.0002 | -0.0003 | -0.0001 | 0.0000 | -0.0001 |
| | (0.98) | (1.15) | (0.61) | (0.90) | (0.15) | (0.09) | (0.27) |
| Leverage | 0.0023 | -0.0013 | -0.0001 | -0.0002 | 0.0007 | 0.0058 | -0.0074 |
| | (0.70) | (0.31) | (0.02) | (0.07) | (0.26) | (1.13) | $(1.78)^{*,\#}$ |
| ROA | 0.0019 | -0.0033 | 0.0026 | 0.0029 | | 0.0019 | 0.0053 |
| | (0.47) | (0.42) | (0.75) | (0.81) | | (0.36) | (0.80) |
| Ownership | -0.0037 | -0.0047 | -0.0035 | -0.0036 | -0.0031 | -0.0068 | 0.0004 |
| | $(2.60)^{**}$ | $(1.72)^*$ | $(1.97)^*$ | $(2.02)^*$ | $(1.92)^*$ | $(3.20)^{***}$ | $(0.19)^{##}$ |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | -0.0012 | -0.0005 | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0011 | 0.0007 | -0.0017 |
| | (1.10) | (0.26) | (0.64) | (0.65) | (1.14) | (0.41) | (1.12) |
| S&P rating | | 0.0001 | | | | | |
| | | $(2.02)^*$ | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | -0.1348 | | -0.1481 | -0.2954 | 0.0597 |
| | | | $(2.20)^{**}$ | | $(2.50)^{**}$ | $(2.63)^{**}$ | $(0.47)^{\#}$ |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -0.3303 | | | |
| | | | | $(1.83)^*$ | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 0.0635 | | | |
| | | | | (0.55) | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | | -0.0018 | | |
| | | | | | (0.79) | | |
| O-score | | | | | -0.0070 | | |
| | | | | | (0.63) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0015 | | 0.0029 | 0.0017 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 | 0.0014 |
| | (0.80) | | $(1.70)^*$ | (0.83) | $(1.91)^*$ | (1.39) | (0.47) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0004 | -0.0008 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0009 | 0.0004 |
| | (1.21) | (0.80) | (0.85) | (0.73) | (1.27) | $(1.80)^*$ | (0.49) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0009 | -0.0022 | -0.0014 | -0.0014 | -0.0015 | -0.0020 | -0.0003 |
| | (1.52) | $(2.47)^{**}$ | $(2.55)^{**}$ | $(2.79)^{***}$ | $(3.03)^{***}$ | $(4.53)^{***}$ | (0.21) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | | | | | | 4.16 | (0.00) |
| Number of observations | 374 | 135 | 321 | 321 | 313 | 159 | 162 |
| Adjusted R ² | -0.0018 | 0.0290 | 0.0042 | 0.0101 | 0.0091 | 0.0586 | 0.0206 |
| riajasica it | 0.0010 | 0.0270 | 0.0072 | 0.0101 | 0.0071 | 0.0500 | 0.0200 |

Table IA.VII.b

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around the March 21, 2007 Rule 12h-6 announcement date. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The variable "Disclosure" is used instead of "Anti-self-dealing." The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries – they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)(6)(7) 0.0057 0.0110 0.0147 0.0073 0.0130 0.0098 Constant 0.0322 $(3.70)^*$ $(1.76)^{3}$ $(2.14)^*$ (0.97) $(1.94)^*$ (0.74)(0.71)-0.0001 -0.0022 -0.0050-0.0024-0.0022-0.0023-0.0087 Sales growth (3.98)***,## (0.10)(1.11)(1.35)(1.24)(1.36)(1.03)Financing deficit 0.0013 0.0001 -0.0019-0.0020(0.88)(0.95)(0.39)(0.01)Log(assets) -0.0001-0.00060.0001 0.0001 0.0003 0.0004 0.0001 (0.45)(1.15)(0.45)(0.21)(0.85)(0.89)(0.21)Leverage 0.0042 -0.0013 0.0000 -0.0003-0.00020.0050 -0.0091 (2.74)**,## (1.19)(0.31)(0.01)(0.11)(0.07)(1.18)**ROA** -0.00330.0001 0.0074 0.0034 0.0002 -0.0033(0.66)(0.42)(0.02)(0.04)(0.40)(1.64)-0.0009 Ownership -0.0017-0.0047-0.0014-0.0016-0.0059 0.0030 (0.42) $(1.26)^{###}$ (1.17) $(1.72)^{\circ}$ (0.88)(1.00) $(2.48)^{\circ}$ Rule 12h-6 dummy -0.0011-0.0005-0.0006-0.0006-0.00110.0007 -0.0005 (0.93)(0.26)(0.47)(0.53)(1.06)(0.44)(0.27)S&P rating 0.0001 $(2.02)^*$ -0.0951 SOX CAR -0.1271 -0.27660.0327 $(2.14)^{**}$ (1.23) $(2.75)^*$ (0.25)SOX CAR (positive) -0.3185 $(1.92)^{\circ}$ SOX CAR (negative) 0.0618 (0.57)FCF problem 0.0005 (0.25)O-score 0.0030 (0.25)0.0032 0.0047 0.0037 0.0049 0.0037 **Disclosure** 0.0047 (1.03)(1.56)(1.30)(1.48)(1.45)(0.67)Stock market cap / GDP -0.0005 -0.0008-0.0003 -0.0002-0.0004-0.0005 0.0004 (0.80)(0.56)(1.28)(0.53)(0.85)(1.01)(0.52)Log(GNP/capita) -0.0005-0.0022-0.0015-0.0015-0.0014-0.0020-0.0012(0.59) $(2.47)^{\circ}$ $(2.13)^{\circ}$ $(2.31)^{\circ}$ $(2.03)^{\circ}$ $(3.61)^{\circ}$ (0.71)4.29 (0.00) Chi-square test (*p*-value) Number of observations 378 135 322 322 318 162 160 Adjusted R² -0.0095 0.0290 0.0028 0.0077 -0.00210.0305 0.0481

Table IA.VII.c

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around the March 21, 2007 Rule 12h-6 announcement date. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The variable "Accounting standards" is used instead of "Anti-self-dealing." The tstatistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries - they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chisquared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Constant | 0.0030 | 0.0322 | 0.0082 | 0.0118 | 0.0046 | 0.0102 | 0.0079 |
| | (0.38) | $(3.70)^{***}$ | (1.28) | (1.63) | (0.59) | (1.32) | (0.62) |
| Sales growth | 0.0000 | -0.0050 | -0.0024 | -0.0022 | -0.0021 | -0.0022 | -0.0082 |
| | (0.01) | (1.11) | (1.33) | (1.22) | (1.34) | (1.02) | (3.94)***,# |
| Financing deficit | 0.0012 | 0.0001 | -0.0015 | -0.0017 | | | |
| | (0.37) | (0.01) | (0.77) | (0.88) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0001 | -0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 |
| _ | (0.48) | (1.15) | (0.45) | (0.22) | (0.90) | (0.90) | (0.28) |
| Leverage | 0.0044 | -0.0013 | 0.0001 | -0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0050 | -0.0087 |
| S | (1.22) | (0.31) | (0.02) | (0.07) | (0.01) | (1.22) | $(2.62)^{**,\#}$ |
| ROA | 0.0035 | -0.0033 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | , , | -0.0026 | 0.0067 |
| | (0.69) | (0.42) | (0.05) | (0.07) | | (0.33) | (1.49) |
| Ownership | -0.0009 | -0.0047 | -0.0010 | -0.0011 | -0.0003 | -0.0057 | 0.0033 |
| · | (0.69) | $(1.72)^*$ | (0.68) | (0.73) | (0.16) | $(2.56)^{**}$ | $(1.51)^{###}$ |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | -0.0013 | -0.0005 | -0.0010 | -0.0010 | -0.0014 | 0.0002 | -0.0010 |
| ž | (1.25) | (0.26) | (0.91) | (0.91) | (1.37) | (0.15) | (0.63) |
| S&P rating | ` / | 0.0001 | ` , | , , | ` / | , , | ` / |
| C | | $(2.02)^*$ | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | (' / | -0.1263 | | -0.0921 | -0.2708 | 0.0336 |
| 2 | | | (2.27)** | | (1.28) | (2.84)*** | (0.26) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | (=/ | -0.3106 | (-1-5) | (=10.1) | (===) |
| z orr oran (postavo) | | | | (1.93)* | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 0.0633 | | | |
| Borr Critt (negative) | | | | (0.56) | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | (0.50) | 0.0003 | | |
| i Ci problem | | | | | (0.11) | | |
| O-score | | | | | 0.0018 | | |
| O score | | | | | (0.15) | | |
| Accounting standards | 0.0002 | | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Accounting standards | $(2.75)^{***}$ | | $(2.72)^{**}$ | $(2.40)^{**}$ | (2.81)*** | $(1.80)^*$ | (1.62) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0002 | -0.0003 | -0.0004 | -0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Stock market cap / GDI | $(1.75)^*$ | (0.80) | (0.48) | (0.58) | (0.87) | (0.85) | (0.40) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0013 | -0.0022 | -0.0020 | -0.0021 | -0.0020 | -0.0024 | -0.0018 |
| Log(GIVI/Capita) | $(1.72)^*$ | $(2.47)^{**}$ | (2.80)*** | (3.13)*** | $(2.87)^{***}$ | (3.27)*** | (1.15) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | (1.72) | (2.47) | (2.80) | (3.13) | (2.67) | (3.27) | (0.00) |
| Cin-square test (p-value) | | | | | | 4.10 | (0.00) |
| Number of observations | 382 | 135 | 325 | 325 | 321 | 164 | 161 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0075 | 0.0290 | 0.0150 | 0.0202 | 0.0130 | 0.0357 | 0.0644 |

Table IA.VII.d

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around the March 21, 2007 Rule 12h-6 announcement date. The CARs are computed relative to an **equal-weighted** benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The *t*-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries – they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Constant | 0.0110 | 0.0268 | 0.0100 | 0.0175 | 0.0073 | 0.0131 | 0.0000 |
| | (1.40) | (3.23)*** | $(1.73)^*$ | $(2.65)^{**}$ | (1.01) | $(2.09)^{**}$ | (0.00) |
| Sales growth | -0.0007 | -0.0052 | -0.0032 | -0.0026 | -0.0028 | -0.0026 | -0.0095 |
| | (0.48) | (1.14) | (1.61) | (1.40) | (1.56) | (1.12) | (3.90)***,## |
| Financing deficit | 0.0018 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | | | |
| | (0.62) | (0.03) | (0.17) | (0.03) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0002 | -0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| | (0.68) | (1.13) | (0.28) | (0.10) | (0.70) | (0.88) | (0.11) |
| Leverage | 0.0035 | -0.0005 | -0.0006 | -0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | -0.0073 |
| | (0.97) | (0.11) | (0.21) | (0.33) | (0.01) | (0.98) | $(1.93)^{*,\#}$ |
| ROA | 0.0032 | -0.0027 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 | | -0.0035 | 0.0072 |
| | (0.63) | (0.34) | (0.12) | (0.14) | | (0.43) | (1.55) |
| Ownership | -0.0037 | -0.0049 | -0.0035 | -0.0035 | -0.0029 | -0.0072 | 0.0006 |
| | $(2.47)^{**}$ | $(1.75)^*$ | $(2.25)^{**}$ | $(2.28)^{**}$ | (1.61) | (3.24)*** | $(0.31)^{###}$ |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | -0.0012 | -0.0005 | -0.0008 | -0.0009 | -0.0011 | 0.0007 | -0.0015 |
| | (1.13) | (0.28) | (0.77) | (0.82) | (1.23) | (0.48) | (0.95) |
| S&P rating | | 0.0001 | | | | | |
| | | $(2.40)^{**}$ | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | -0.1129 | | -0.0996 | -0.2284 | 0.0505 |
| | | | $(2.25)^{**}$ | | (1.53) | $(2.54)^{**}$ | (0.43) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -0.3085 | | | |
| , | | | | (1.53) | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 0.0257 | | | |
| ` 6 | | | | (0.25) | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | , , | -0.0002 | | |
| • | | | | | (0.08) | | |
| O-score | | | | | -0.0003 | | |
| | | | | | (0.02) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0017 | | 0.0028 | 0.0020 | 0.0030 | 0.0044 | 0.0015 |
| 8 | (0.84) | | $(1.72)^*$ | (0.99) | $(1.82)^*$ | $(2.08)^{**}$ | (0.51) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0005 | -0.0010 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 | -0.0004 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 |
| 2 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | (1.58) | (1.03) | (0.82) | (0.07) | (1.02) | (1.24) | (0.07) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0007 | -0.0018 | -0.0011 | -0.0015 | -0.0011 | -0.0020 | 0.0002 |
| Log(er ir , cupius) | (1.31) | $(2.03)^*$ | $(2.20)^{**}$ | (3.01)*** | (2.16)** | $(4.54)^{***}$ | (0.14) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | (1.51) | (2.00) | (2.20) | (3.01) | (2.10) | 4 90 | (0.00) |
| | | | | | | | (3.30) |
| Number of observations | 404 | 135 | 338 | 338 | 333 | 172 | 166 |
| Adjusted R ² | -0.0021 | 0.0136 | 0.0039 | 0.0091 | 0.0008 | 0.0347 | 0.0219 |

Table IA.VII.e

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around all three event windows listed in Table VII. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The *t*-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries – they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| mancing deficit samples. | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Constant | 0.0031 | 0.0204 | 0.0054 | 0.0065 | 0.0063 | 0.0100 | -0.0053 |
| | (0.50) | $(2.19)^{**}$ | (0.72) | (0.82) | (0.70) | (1.12) | (0.67) |
| Sales growth | -0.0008 | -0.0028 | -0.0021 | -0.0020 | -0.0035 | -0.0019 | -0.0080 |
| - | (0.85) | (0.78) | (1.33) | (1.26) | (1.32) | (1.71) | $(2.01)^*$ |
| Financing deficit | -0.0017 | 0.0012 | -0.0026 | -0.0027 | , , | , , | , , |
| C | (0.65) | (0.27) | (0.72) | (0.74) | | | |
| Log(assets) | 0.0002 | -0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 |
| | (0.78) | (0.41) | (1.21) | (1.05) | (0.06) | (0.67) | (1.33) |
| Leverage | 0.0060 | -0.0013 | 0.0044 | 0.0043 | 0.0039 | 0.0090 | -0.0015 |
| <u>C</u> | (1.12) | (0.50) | (0.79) | (0.80) | (0.57) | (1.18) | (0.67) |
| ROA | 0.0001 | -0.0017 | -0.0039 | -0.0038 | , , | -0.0079 | 0.0060 |
| | (0.06) | (0.36) | (1.47) | (1.44) | | $(2.74)^{**}$ | (2.05)**,### |
| Ownership | -0.0024 | -0.0053 | -0.0027 | -0.0028 | -0.0028 | -0.0022 | -0.0015 |
| • | (1.41) | $(2.30)^{**}$ | (1.30) | (1.32) | (0.87) | (0.92) | (0.74) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | -0.0008 | 0.0008 | -0.0004 | -0.0004 | -0.0016 | -0.0003 | -0.0002 |
| , | (1.04) | (0.67) | (0.40) | (0.41) | $(1.75)^*$ | (0.20) | (0.15) |
| S&P rating | , , | 0.0000 | ` , | , | , | , , | , |
| 2 | | (1.64) | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | ` , | -0.0071 | | -0.0196 | -0.0661 | 0.1033 |
| | | | (0.17) | | (0.46) | (1.20) | (1.43) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | ` ′ | -0.0713 | ` , | ` , | , , |
| 4 | | | | (0.67) | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 0.0570 | | | |
| ` | | | | (0.77) | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | ` ′ | 0.0016 | | |
| 1 | | | | | (0.52) | | |
| O-score | | | | | 0.0092 | | |
| | | | | | (0.66) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0001 | | 0.0015 | 0.0011 | 0.0018 | 0.0025 | 0.0001 |
| C | (0.08) | | (0.99) | (0.66) | (1.12) | (0.95) | (0.06) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0010 | -0.0011 | -0.0011 | -0.0011 | -0.0010 | -0.0011 | -0.0008 |
| • | (4.19)*** | $(1.93)^*$ | $(3.32)^{***}$ | (3.34)*** | $(3.33)^{***}$ | $(2.07)^*$ | (1.21) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0005 | -0.0016 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | -0.0006 | -0.0014 | 0.0000 |
| | (0.86) | $(2.20)^{**}$ | (1.30) | (1.34) | (0.75) | $(1.92)^*$ | (0.02) |
| Chi-square test (p-value) | . , | . / | , , | • / | . , | | (0.00) |
| Number of observations | 404 | 135 | 338 | 338 | 333 | 172 | 166 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0418 | 0.0531 | 0.0471 | 0.0457 | 0.0329 | 0.0794 | 0.0696 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table IA.VII.f

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around the Rule 12h-6 Announcement Date This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated over the March 21, 22, and 23 event window as in Fernandes, Lel, and Miller (2010). Rule 12h-6 announcement date. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes all non-financial exchange-listed firms with at least \$10m in total assets that have at least 260 daily return observations in Datastream from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 and have accounting data in Worldscope in 2006. Models (1) to (5) include all firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (6) (Model (7)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. The t-statistics (in parentheses) are adjusted for clustering on countries - they are computed assuming observations are independent across countries, but not within countries. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (6) and (7)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Constant | 0.0152 | 0.0140 | 0.0095 | 0.0109 | 0.0050 | 0.0106 | 0.0072 |
| | (1.28) | $(2.39)^{**}$ | $(1.76)^*$ | $(1.90)^*$ | (0.89) | (1.53) | (0.59) |
| Sales growth | 0.0082 | -0.0106 | -0.0030 | -0.0029 | -0.0027 | -0.0021 | -0.0103 |
| | $(2.77)^{***}$ | $(2.57)^{**}$ | (1.50) | (1.43) | (1.39) | (0.94) | (3.69)***,## |
| Financing deficit | 0.0021 | -0.0033 | -0.0005 | -0.0006 | | | |
| | (0.49) | (0.57) | (0.14) | (0.18) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0003 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| | (0.73) | (1.59) | (0.47) | (0.36) | $(2.43)^{**}$ | (0.84) | (0.01) |
| Leverage | 0.0063 | -0.0023 | -0.0048 | -0.0048 | -0.0035 | -0.0034 | -0.0063 |
| | (1.06) | (0.67) | $(1.93)^*$ | $(1.90)^*$ | (1.58) | $(1.77)^*$ | (1.40) |
| ROA | -0.0061 | -0.0032 | -0.0003 | -0.0002 | | -0.0040 | 0.0040 |
| | (1.65) | (0.53) | (0.08) | (0.06) | | (0.64) | (0.67) |
| Ownership | -0.0075 | -0.0050 | -0.0046 | -0.0046 | -0.0028 | -0.0070 | -0.0028 |
| | $(4.07)^{***}$ | $(2.08)^{**}$ | $(2.79)^{***}$ | $(2.78)^{***}$ | (1.64) | $(2.21)^{**}$ | (1.36) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | -0.0011 | 0.0005 | -0.0011 | -0.0012 | -0.0010 | 0.0017 | -0.0036 |
| | (1.33) | (0.34) | (1.24) | (1.26) | (0.99) | (1.16) | (2.75)***,## |
| S&P rating | | 0.0001 | | | | | |
| | | $(2.60)^{**}$ | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | -0.1897 | | -0.2163 | -0.2043 | -0.1379 |
| | | | (2.97)*** | | (3.16)*** | $(3.23)^{***}$ | (1.00) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -0.2764 | | | |
| | | | | $(1.74)^*$ | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | -0.1032 | | | |
| | | | | (0.80) | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | | -0.0041 | | |
| _ | | | | | (1.40) | | |
| O-score | | | | | 0.0034 | | |
| | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | (0.58) | 0.00.50 | 0.0011 |
| Anti-self-dealing | 0.0028 | | 0.0028 | 0.0022 | 0.0032 | 0.0063 | -0.0011 |
| | (0.89) | | (1.54) | (1.13) | (1.56) | (2.26)** | (0.39)## |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0007 | -0.0017 | -0.0007 | -0.0007 | -0.0009 | -0.0007 | -0.0005 |
| T (G) TD () | (1.15) | (1.49) | (2.21)** | (2.18)** | (1.52) | (0.92) | (0.75) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | -0.0012 | -0.0025 | -0.0010 | -0.0010 | -0.0012 | -0.0017 | -0.0003 |
| | (1.27) | (3.59)*** | $(2.10)^{**}$ | $(2.17)^{**}$ | $(2.19)^{**}$ | (3.50)*** | (0.26) |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) | | | | | | 2.20 | (0.04) |
| Number of observations | 404 | 135 | 338 | 338 | 333 | 172 | 166 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0756 | 0.0575 | 0.0330 | 0.0318 | 0.0366 | 0.0315 | 0.0456 |
| riajustou it | 0.0750 | 0.0373 | 0.0550 | 0.0310 | 0.0300 | 0.0313 | 0.0430 |

Table IA.VIII.a Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcements

This table shows the cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) for firms that announced deregistration between 2002 and 2008. The sample includes 109 deregistering firms (44 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 65 firms after Rule 12h-6) with stock return data in Datastream around the deregistration announcement. Three firms are excluded from the sample because they released other significant news on the same day they announced deregistration. Announcement dates are identified from Lexis-Nexis searches, from SEC filings such as Form 6K, and for firms that deregistered under Rule 12h-6, from Form 15F filings. All returns are in U.S. dollars, Returns are adjusted with a market model using one of two possible benchmark portfolios. The first value-weighted benchmark portfolio includes either all non-U.S. firms cross-listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs and the second includes all non-U.S. cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. In both portfolios, firms are required to have at least 260 daily observations during the sample period and \$100 million in total assets. Market model parameters are estimated over the period from day -244 to -6. CARs are computed over the three-day window (-1, +1) around the announcement date. Significance of average CARs is based on t-statistics that account for cross-sectional dependence as in Brown and Warner (1985). The binomial test tests whether the percentage of negative CARs is different from 50% (p-value reported). *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate that the average CAR for Rule 12h-6 firms is significantly different from the average CAR for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6.

| | Level 1 OTC and Rule 144a ADRs as benchmark firms | Non-U.S. firms on U.S. exchanges as benchmark firms |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| All firms | | |
| CAR | -0.57% | -0.63 |
| t-statistic | (1.59) | $(1.72)^*$ |
| % negative | 64% | 62% |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.002^{**} | 0.024** |
| Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | |
| CAR | -1.13% | -1.18% |
| t-statistic | (1.46) | (1.51) |
| % negative | 65% | 65% |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.066^* | 0.066^* |
| Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | |
| CAR | -0.19% | -0.24% |
| <i>t</i> -statistic | (0.51) | (0.66) |
| % negative | 61% | 60% |
| Binomial test (<i>p</i> -value) | 0.107 | 0.130 |

Table IA.VIII.b Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcements

This table shows the cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) for firms that announced deregistration between 2002 and 2008. The sample includes 137 deregistering firms (62 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 75 firms after Rule 12h-6) with stock return data in Datastream around the deregistration announcement plus 10 additional firms (nine firms before Rule12h-6 and one after) that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted. Five firms are excluded from the sample because they released other significant news on the same day they announced deregistration. Announcement dates are identified from Lexis-Nexis searches, from SEC filings such as Form 6K, and for firms that deregistered under Rule 12h-6, from Form 15F filings. All returns are in U.S. dollars. Returns are adjusted with a market model using one of two possible benchmark portfolios. The first value-weighted benchmark portfolio includes either all non-U.S. firms cross-listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs and the second includes all non-U.S. cross-listed on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. In both portfolios, firms are required to have at least 260 daily observations during the sample period and \$10 million in total assets. Market model parameters are estimated over the period from day -244 to -6. CARs are computed over the three-day window (-1, +1) around the announcement date. Significance of average CARs is based on t-statistics that account for cross-sectional dependence as in Brown and Warner (1985). The binomial test tests whether the percentage of negative CARs is different from 50% (p-value reported). *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate that the average CAR for Rule 12h-6 firms is significantly different from the average CAR for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6.

| | Level 1 OTC and Rule 144a ADRs as benchmark firms | Non-U.S. firms on U.S. exchanges as benchmark firms |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| All firms | | |
| CAR | -1.15% | -1.15% |
| t-statistic | (2.64)*** | (2.64)** |
| % negative | 57% | 60% |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.092^* | 0.018** |
| Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | |
| CAR | -1.98% | -1.91% |
| t-statistic | (2.37)** | (2.27)** |
| % negative | 61% | 64% |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.026^{**} | 0.014** |
| Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | |
| CAR | -0.41%# | -0.47%# |
| t-statistic | (1.02) | (1.17) |
| % negative | 62% | 64% |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.047** | 0.024** |

Table IA.VIII.c Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcements

This table shows the cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) for firms that announced deregistration between 2002 and 2008. The sample includes 137 deregistering firms (62 firms prior to Rule 12h-6 and 75 firms after Rule 12h-6) with stock return data in Datastream around the deregistration announcement. Five firms are excluded from the sample because they released other significant news on the same day they announced deregistration. Announcement dates are identified from Lexis-Nexis searches, from SEC filings such as Form 6K, and for firms that deregistered under Rule 12h-6, from Form 15F filings, All returns are in U.S. dollars, Returns are adjusted with a market model using one of two possible benchmark portfolios. The first equal-weighted benchmark portfolio includes either all non-U.S. firms cross-listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs and the second includes all non-U.S. crosslisted on U.S. exchanges that did not deregister. In both portfolios, firms are required to have at least 260 daily observations during the sample period and \$10 million in total assets. Market model parameters are estimated over the period from day -244 to -6. CARs are computed over the three-day window (-1, +1) around the announcement date. Significance of average CARs is based on t-statistics that account for cross-sectional dependence as in Brown and Warner (1985). The binomial test tests whether the percentage of negative CARs is different from 50% (p-value reported). *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. #, ##, and ### indicate that the average CAR for Rule 12h-6 firms is significantly different from the average CAR for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6.

| | Level 1 OTC and Rule 144a ADRs as benchmark firms | Non-U.S. firms on U.S. exchanges as benchmark firms | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| All firms | | | | | |
| CAR | -1.20% | -1.11% | | | |
| t-statistic | (2.94)*** | (2.66)*** | | | |
| % negative | 64% | 63% | | | |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.001*** | 0.004*** | | | |
| Pre-Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | | | | |
| CAR | -2.19% | -2.12% | | | |
| t-statistic | (2.74)*** | (2.61)** | | | |
| % negative | 75% | 69% | | | |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.000^{***} | 0.004*** | | | |
| Rule 12h-6 deregistering firms | | | | | |
| CAR | -0.43%# | -0.33%# | | | |
| t-statistic | (1.06) | (0.79) | | | |
| % negative | 58% | 64% | | | |
| Binomial test (p-value) | 0.200 | 0.019** | | | |

Table IA.IX.a

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around firms' deregistration announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes 103 non-financial deregistering firms with at least \$100m in total assets, that have deregistration announcement CARS in Table VIII, and have complete data on firm characteristics in the year prior to deregistration. Models (1) to (4) and (7) include all deregistering firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Model (8) (Model (9)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, ***, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The positive SOX CAR is not significantly different from the negative SOX CAR dummy in Model (4). #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples (Models (5) and (6)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (8) and (9)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| Constant | -0.1103 | -0.8391 | -0.1618 | -0.1371 | 0.0483 | -0.2749 | -0.1471 | 0.0245 | -0.3583 |
| | (1.19) | (4.43)*** | $(1.69)^*$ | (1.43) | (0.24) | (0.89) | (1.49) | (0.19) | (2.84)***,## |
| Sales growth | -0.0048 | -0.0776 | -0.0239 | -0.0217 | -0.0341 | -0.0405 | -0.0273 | -0.0261 | -0.0225 |
| | (0.25) | (1.59) | (1.24) | (1.13) | (1.54) | (1.14) | (1.40) | (1.36) | (0.45) |
| Financing deficit | -0.0947 | -0.1293 | -0.0670 | -0.0692 | -0.0926 | -0.0513 | | | |
| | $(2.29)^{**}$ | $(1.92)^*$ | (1.55) | (1.61) | $(1.75)^*$ | (1.15) | | | |
| Log(assets) | -0.0007 | 0.0086 | -0.0003 | -0.0017 | -0.0065 | 0.0030 | -0.0004 | 0.0051 | -0.0028 |
| | (0.25) | $(1.92)^*$ | (0.11) | (0.63) | (1.49) | (1.16) | (0.17) | (1.55) | (0.71) |
| Leverage | 0.0344 | 0.0945 | 0.0252 | 0.0283 | -0.0119 | 0.0412 | 0.0252 | -0.0690 | 0.0820 |
| | (1.31) | $(1.86)^*$ | (0.99) | (1.12) | (0.31) | (1.11) | (0.84) | $(2.06)^{**}$ | (2.24)**,### |
| ROA | -0.0202 | -0.0086 | -0.0331 | -0.0281 | -0.0179 | -0.0037 | | -0.0817 | 0.0089 |
| | (0.53) | (0.12) | (0.87) | (0.74) | (0.31) | (0.08) | | (1.69) | (0.15) |
| Ownership | -0.0217 | 0.0017 | -0.0115 | -0.0174 | -0.0143 | -0.0172 | -0.0106 | -0.0222 | 0.0132 |
| | (0.97) | (0.06) | (0.54) | (0.80) | (0.46) | (0.73) | (0.50) | (0.87) | (0.41) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0020 | 0.0122 | -0.0010 | -0.0007 | | | -0.0028 | 0.0255 | -0.0093 |
| - | (0.19) | (0.77) | (0.10) | (0.07) | | | (0.28) | $(1.94)^*$ | $(0.66)^{\#}$ |
| SOX cost | 0.0043 | -0.0035 | | | | | | | |
| | (0.43) | (0.28) | | | | | | | |
| S&P rating | | -0.0006 | | | | | | | |
| | | (0.91) | | | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | , , | 0.7333 | | 1.2900 | 0.6193 | 0.8141 | 0.9959 | 0.0593 |
| | | | (1.17) | | (1.20) | (1.00) | (1.28) | (1.24) | (0.07) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -1.0473 | | | | | |
| 4 , | | | | (0.80) | | | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 2.0824 | | | | | |
| (2) | | | | $(1.94)^*$ | | | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | , | | | -0.0143 | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | (0.41) | | |
| O-score | | | | | | | -0.0013 | | |
| | | | | | | | (0.02) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | -0.0134 | | -0.0025 | -0.0080 | -0.0211 | 0.0071 | -0.0013 | -0.0110 | 0.0111 |
| g | (0.80) | | (0.16) | (0.50) | (0.92) | (0.35) | (0.08) | (0.54) | (0.49) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0010 | -0.0056 | -0.0010 | -0.0027 | -0.0087 | -0.0001 | -0.0006 | 0.0073 | -0.0108 |
| Stock market cap / CB1 | (0.16) | (0.50) | (0.17) | (0.43) | (0.40) | (0.02) | (0.10) | (0.80) | (1.34) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | 0.0115 | 0.0670 | 0.0160 | 0.0169 | 0.0066 | 0.0207 | 0.0147 | -0.0091 | 0.0376 |
| 20g(3111/cupim) | (1.30) | (3.27)**** | $(1.72)^*$ | (1.82)* | (0.28) | (0.72) | (1.55) | (0.73) | (3.05)***,### |
| Chi-square test (p-value) | (1.50) | (3.27) | (1.72) | (1.52) | 1.66 (0.101) | | (1.55) | 2.20 (0.02) | |
| Number of observations | 103 | 46 | 96 | 96 | 34 | 62 | 97 | 40 | 56 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.0139 | 0.3401 | 0.0161 | 0.0321 | 0.0592 | 0.0142 | -0.0226 | 0.1310 | 0.1171 |
| Aujusieu K | 0.0139 | 0.5401 | 0.0101 | 0.0341 | 0.0372 | 0.0142 | -0.0220 | 0.1510 | 0.11/1 |

Table IA.IX.b

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around firms' deregistration announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to a value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes 115 non-financial deregistering firms plus eight additional firms that announced voluntary delisting and deregistration, but were at risk of being involuntarily delisted, with at least \$10m in total assets, that have deregistration announcement CARS in Table VIII, and have complete data on firm characteristics in the year prior to deregistration. Models (1) to (4) and (7) include all deregistering firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Model (8) (Model (9)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. t-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. ^^ indicates that the positive SOX CAR is significantly different from the negative SOX CAR at the 5% level. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples (Models (5) and (6)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (8) and (9)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

(2) (3) (4) (6)(9) (1) (5) (7) (8)-0.1277-0.6983 -0.1534 -0.0272 -0.1449 -0.0464 Constant -0.1299-0.2648-0.2424(1.59) $(3.76)^*$ $(1.84)^*$ (0.90)(1.65)(0.31) $(2.17)^*$ (1.57)(0.18)Sales growth 0.0002 -0.0577-0.0107-0.0101-0.0078-0.0382-0.0341-0.0289-0.0034(0.01)(1.14)(0.65)(0.63)(0.38)(1.36) $(2.06)^{\circ}$ (1.48)(0.08)-0.1404 -0.1259 -0.1258 -0.1249 -0.1508 -0.0339 Financing deficit $(4.30)^*$ $(1.79)^{'}$ $(3.70)^*$ $(3.34)^*$ $(0.91)^{\#}$ $(3.66)^*$ Log(assets) 0.0014 0.0088 0.0015 0.0003 0.0003 0.0027 0.0033 0.0085 0.0004 (1.90)(0.68)(0.08)(1.38) $(2.34)^{*}$ (0.12)(0.61)(0.13)(1.43)-0.0765 Leverage 0.0409 0.1368 0.0340 0.0385 0.0040 0.0277 0.0063 0.0723 (2.43)**,### $(1.79)^{*}$ $(2.76)^{*}$ $(1.96)^*$ (1.50) $(1.72)^{\circ}$ (0.12)(0.85)(0.24)ROA 0.0405 -0.0030 0.0137 -0.0307 -0.0193 -0.0036 0.0002 -0.0026(0.01)(0.56)(0.11)(0.10)(0.28)(0.52)(0.07)(1.12)Ownership -0.00490.0235 0.0026 -0.00330.0016 -0.0183 0.0136 0.0271 0.0113 (0.25)(0.81)(0.13)(0.17)(0.06)(0.79)(0.69)(0.95)(0.41)Rule 12h-6 dummy 0.0022 0.0007 -0.0022-0.0021-0.00190.0233 -0.0146(0.24)(0.05)(0.24)(0.23)(0.19)(1.49) $(1.09)^{\#}$ SOX cost -0.0052 -0.0004(0.05)(0.39)S&P rating -0.0010(1.62)-0.0790 SOX CAR 0.1472 0.2591 0.6363 0.5012 -0.1099(0.25)(0.24)(1.01)(0.13)(0.55)(0.13)-1.9466 SOX CAR (positive) $(1.67)^{*}$ SOX CAR (negative) 1.8401 $(1.83)^{*,'}$ 0.0010 FCF problem (0.04)O-score 0.0363 (0.83)Anti-self-dealing -0.0219 -0.0106 -0.0149 -0.0368 0.0069 -0.0030 -0.0150 0.0156 (1.45)(0.70)(1.00)(1.62)(0.36)(0.19)(0.60)(0.73)Stock market cap / GDP -0.0006-0.0034 0.0001 -0.0016-0.00050.0003 0.0048-0.00780.0008 (0.29)(0.02)(0.02)(0.04)(1.00)(0.09)(0.27)(0.13)(0.42)Log(GNP/capita) 0.0100 0.0550 0.0121 0.0129 0.0034 0.0207 0.0084 -0.0080 0.0218 (1.32) $(2.66)^{*}$ (1.52)(1.64)(0.22)(0.73)(1.01)(0.55) $(2.12)^{3}$ Chi-square test (p-value) 1.13 (0.35) 1.74 (0.076) 47 51 Number of observations 123 48 114 114 115 63 Adjusted R² 0.1453 0.2459 0.1201 0.1480 0.24760.0501 0.0129 0.0876 0.0464

Table IA.IX.c

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around firms' deregistration announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to an **equal-weighted** benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed in the U.S. via Level 1 OTC or Rule 144a ADRs. The sample includes 115 non-financial deregistering firms with at least \$10m in total assets, that have deregistration announcement CARS in Table VIII, and have complete data on firm characteristics in the year prior to deregistration. Models (1) to (4) and (7) include all deregistering firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Model (8) (Model (9)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. *t*-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. ^ indicates that the positive SOX CAR is significantly different from the negative SOX CAR at the 10% level. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples (Models (5) and (6)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (8) and (9)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | | | | | | | | 0 | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Constant | -0.1579 | -0.8486 | -0.2090 | -0.1849 | 0.0074 | -0.2820 | -0.1965 | -0.0539 | -0.3627 |
| | $(1.77)^*$ | (4.32)*** | (2.20)** | $(1.95)^*$ | (0.03) | (0.93) | $(1.98)^*$ | (0.37) | (2.91)*** |
| Sales growth | 0.0040 | -0.0831 | -0.0077 | -0.0071 | 0.0018 | -0.0414 | -0.0269 | -0.0166 | -0.0331 |
| | (0.24) | (1.64) | (0.46) | (0.43) | (0.08) | (1.44) | (1.59) | (0.96) | (0.76) |
| Financing deficit | -0.1315 | -0.1310 | -0.1123 | -0.1108 | -0.1193 | -0.0362 | | | |
| | (3.83)*** | $(1.88)^*$ | $(3.12)^{***}$ | $(3.11)^{***}$ | $(2.40)^{**}$ | (0.93) | | | |
| Log(assets) | 0.0005 | 0.0079 | 0.0007 | -0.0003 | -0.0009 | 0.0027 | 0.0026 | 0.0085 | -0.0015 |
| | (0.22) | $(1.69)^*$ | (0.32) | (0.14) | (0.19) | (1.42) | (1.11) | $(2.68)^{**}$ | $(0.40)^{##}$ |
| Leverage | 0.0441 | 0.0982 | 0.0345 | 0.0393 | -0.0052 | 0.0292 | 0.0034 | -0.0920 | 0.1011 |
| | $(1.79)^*$ | $(1.86)^*$ | (1.42) | (1.63) | (0.12) | (0.90) | (0.12) | $(2.67)^{**}$ | (3.09)***,### |
| ROA | -0.0029 | -0.0154 | -0.0064 | -0.0057 | 0.0245 | -0.0367 | | -0.0316 | 0.0269 |
| | (0.11) | (0.20) | (0.23) | (0.21) | (0.44) | (1.39) | | (0.96) | (0.49) |
| Ownership | -0.0167 | -0.0001 | -0.0071 | -0.0115 | -0.0120 | -0.0207 | 0.0018 | 0.0011 | 0.0068 |
| | (0.80) | (0.00) | (0.35) | (0.56) | (0.36) | (0.89) | (0.09) | (0.04) | (0.23) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0030 | 0.0175 | -0.0014 | -0.0015 | | | -0.0014 | 0.0222 | -0.0094 |
| | (0.31) | (1.07) | (0.14) | (0.16) | | | (0.14) | (1.63) | (0.67) |
| SOX cost | -0.0013 | -0.0041 | | | | | | | |
| | (0.14) | (0.31) | | | | | | | |
| S&P rating | | -0.0006 | | | | | | | |
| | | (0.88) | | | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | 0.2725 | | 0.2459 | 0.6855 | 0.0866 | 0.7183 | -0.2277 |
| | | | (0.45) | | (0.21) | (1.22) | (0.14) | (0.89) | (0.26) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -1.5676 | | | | | |
| • | | | | (1.31) | | | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 1.7403 | | | | | |
| | | | | $(1.71)^{*,^{\wedge}}$ | | | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | | | | -0.0067 | | |
| • | | | | | | | (0.23) | | |
| O-score | | | | | | | 0.0449 | | |
| | | | | | | | (1.02) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | -0.0232 | | -0.0112 | -0.0158 | -0.0310 | 0.0014 | -0.0039 | -0.0154 | 0.0057 |
| Č | (1.48) | | (0.73) | (1.02) | (1.26) | (0.07) | (0.25) | (0.70) | (0.26) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0011 | -0.0081 | -0.0004 | -0.0020 | -0.0009 | -0.0001 | 0.0007 | 0.0056 | -0.0110 |
| • | (0.18) | (0.69) | (0.06) | (0.32) | (0.04) | (0.01) | (0.12) | (0.57) | (1.38) |
| Log(GNP/capita) | 0.0144 | 0.0689 | 0.0187 | 0.0191 | 0.0020 | 0.0227 | 0.0146 | -0.0063 | 0.0359 |
| | (1.66) | (3.24)*** | $(2.01)^{**}$ | $(2.08)^{**}$ | (0.08) | (0.79) | (1.52) | (0.45) | (2.97)***,## |
| Chi-square test (p-value) | . , | | , , | • • | | (0.58) | | | (0.00) |
| Number of observations | 115 | 46 | 106 | 106 | 40 | 66 | 107 | 47 | 59 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.1027 | 0.3235 | 0.0625 | 0.0839 | 0.0210 | 0.0708 | -0.0196 | 0.1183 | 0.1302 |

Table IA.IX.d

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around firms' deregistration announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to a <u>value-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed on a U.S. exchange that did not deregister.</u> The sample includes 115 non-financial deregistering firms with at least \$10m in total assets, that have deregistration announcement CARS in Table VIII, and have complete data on firm characteristics in the year prior to deregistration. Models (1) to (4) and (7) include all deregistering firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Model (8) (Model (9)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.I in the paper. *t*-statistics are in parentheses. *, ***, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. ^ indicates that the positive SOX CAR is significantly different from the negative SOX CAR at the 10% level. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples (Models (5) and (6)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (8) and (9)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| Constant -0.1692 (1.93) (4.26)* (2.39)* (2.13)* (0.03) (1.07) (2.16)* (0.04) (2.91)** -0.0048 (1.93) (4.26)* (2.39)* (2.13)* (0.03) (1.07) (2.16)* (0.04) (2.91)** -0.00498 (-0.023) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) -0.00408 (0.023) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.01) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) -0.0008 (0.023) (0.03) (0.03) (0.01) (0.00) -0.0008 (0.023) (0.03) (0.03) (0.01) (0.00) -0.0050 (0.54) (0.54) (0.05) -0.0050 (0.54) (0.05) (0.05) -0.0050 (0.54) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) -0.0050 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) -0.0050 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0014 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.00) -0.0024 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) -0.0040 (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) -0.0040 (0.05) (0 | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|--|---|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales growth 0.0048 (0.29) (0.29) (1.38) (0.26) (0.23) (0.23) (0.03) (0.13) (1.11) (1.44) (0.56) (0.54) -0.0230 (0.29) (0.23) (0.23) (0.13) (1.11) (1.14) (1.44) (0.56) (0.54) Financing deficit 0.1305 (3.87)*** (1.80)** (3.22)**** (3.22)**** (2.61)*** (1.20) -0.0001 (0.20) (0.000) -0.0012 (0.01) (0.000) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0033 (0.00) -0.0044 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0034 (0.00 | Constant | -0.1692 | | | | 0.0061 | -0.3159 | | -0.0722 | -0.3560 |
| Sales growth 0.0048 (0.29) (0.29) (1.38) (0.26) (0.23) (0.23) (0.03) (0.13) (1.11) (1.44) (0.56) (0.54) -0.0230 (0.29) (0.23) (0.23) (0.13) (1.11) (1.14) (1.44) (0.56) (0.54) Financing deficit 0.1305 (3.87)*** (1.80)** (3.22)**** (3.22)**** (2.61)*** (1.20) -0.0001 (0.20) (0.000) -0.0012 (0.01) (0.000) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0033 (0.00) -0.0044 (0.00) -0.0014 (0.00) -0.0012 (0.00) -0.0034 (0.00 | | $(1.93)^*$ | (4.26)*** | $(2.39)^{**}$ | $(2.13)^{**}$ | (0.03) | (1.07) | (2.16)** | (0.49) | $(2.91)^{***}$ |
| Financing deficit -0,1305 (3.87)*** (1.80)** (2.25)*** (3.22)**** (3.22)**** (2.61)*** (1.20)** -0,0520 (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) -0.0010 (1.20) (1.20) -0.0011 (1.20) (1.20) -0.0012 (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) -0.0013 (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) -0.0021 (1.20) (1 | Sales growth | 0.0048 | -0.0700 | -0.0043 | | 0.0030 | -0.0310 | -0.0242 | -0.0098 | -0.0230 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | (0.29) | (1.38) | (0.26) | (0.23) | (0.13) | (1.11) | (1.44) | (0.56) | (0.54) |
| Log(assets) | Financing deficit | | -0.1255 | | | | -0.0520 | | | |
| Log(assets) | | $(3.87)^{***}$ | $(1.80)^*$ | $(3.22)^{***}$ | $(3.22)^{***}$ | $(2.61)^{**}$ | (1.20) | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Log(assets) | 0.0010 | 0.0076 | | -0.0001 | -0.0015 | 0.0029 | 0.0029 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | (0.41) | (1.63) | (0.42) | (0.06) | (0.32) | (1.41) | (1.25) | $(2.81)^{***}$ | $(0.57)^{##}$ |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Leverage | 0.0441 | 0.0881 | 0.0359 | 0.0409 | -0.0033 | 0.0409 | 0.0038 | -0.0840 | 0.0947 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | $(1.83)^*$ | (1.67) | (1.50) | $(1.72)^*$ | (0.08) | (1.28) | (0.14) | $(2.42)^{**}$ | (2.95)***,### |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ROA | -0.0082 | -0.0115 | -0.0076 | -0.0069 | 0.0102 | -0.0048 | | -0.0284 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | (0.30) | (0.15) | (0.28) | (0.25) | (0.18) | (0.17) | | (0.86) | (0.27) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Ownership | -0.0163 | -0.0039 | -0.0095 | -0.0140 | -0.0212 | -0.0200 | 0.0000 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | (0.80) | (0.12) | (0.47) | (0.69) | (0.64) | (0.88) | (0.00) | (0.17) | (0.00) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0010 | 0.0120 | -0.0030 | -0.0032 | | | -0.0029 | 0.0224 | -0.0094 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | • | (0.11) | (0.73) | (0.32) | (0.34) | | | (0.30) | (1.64) | (0.68) |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SOX cost | -0.0022 | -0.0039 | | | | | | | |
| S&P rating $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | (0.30) | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | S&P rating | , , | -0.0005 | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | S | | (0.71) | | | | | | | |
| SOX CAR (positive) $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SOX CAR | | , , | 0.5456 | | 0.6791 | 0.6093 | 0.3492 | 1.2755 | -0.0860 |
| SOX CAR (positive) $ \begin{array}{c} -1.3508 \\ (1.15) \\ \text{SOX CAR (negative)} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -0.0089 \\ (0.31) \\ \text{Corre} \\ \end{array} $ | | | | (0.90) | | (0.58) | (1.02) | (0.56) | (1.57) | (0.10) |
| SOX CAR (negative) $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -1.3508 | | | | | |
| FCF problem $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | • | | | | (1.15) | | | | | |
| FCF problem $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 2.0583 | | | | | |
| FCF problem $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | (2) | | | | (2.05)**,^ | | | | | |
| O-score $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | FCF problem | | | | , , | | | -0.0089 | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 | | | | | | | (0.31) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing -0.0202 -0.0104 -0.0151 -0.0345 0.0054 -0.0030 -0.0165 0.0098 (1.31) (0.68) (0.99) (1.42) (0.29) (0.19) (0.75) (0.46) Stock market cap / GDP -0.0017 -0.0050 -0.0013 -0.0029 -0.0062 0.0002 0.0000 0.0019 -0.0113 (0.28) (0.43) (0.21) (0.48) (0.26) (0.03) (0.00) (0.00) (0.19) (1.44) (0.29) (0.113) (0.19) (0.113) $($ | O-score | | | | | | | ` ' | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | (1.06) | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Anti-self-dealing | -0.0202 | | -0.0104 | -0.0151 | -0.0345 | 0.0054 | | -0.0165 | 0.0098 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | , g | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Stock market cap / GDP | | -0.0050 | | | | | | ` / | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Log(GNP/capita) | | | | | ` ' | . , | | ` / | |
| Chi-square test (<i>p</i> -value) $0.94 (0.50)$ $2.75 (0.00)$ Number of observations 115 46 106 106 40 66 107 47 59 | - 3(·- · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chi-square test (p-value) | (-1,0) | (=.=0) | (=) | (=:==) | ` / | | (07) | | |
| | Number of observations | 115 | 46 | 106 | 106 | 40 | 66 | 107 | 47 | 59 |
| Adjusted R* | Adjusted R ² | 0.1048 | 0.3152 | 0.0720 | 0.0959 | 0.0330 | 0.0967 | -0.0138 | 0.1133 | 0.1349 |

Table IA.IX.e

Cross-sectional Regressions of Stock-price Reactions Around Deregistration Announcement Dates

This table presents cross-sectional regressions that examine the impact of firm and country characteristics on cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) estimated around firms' deregistration announcement dates. The CARs are computed relative to an <u>equal-weighted benchmark portfolio that includes all non-U.S. firms listed on a U.S. exchange that did not deregister.</u> The sample includes 115 non-financial deregistering firms with at least \$10m in total assets, that have deregistration announcement CARS in Table VIII, and have complete data on firm characteristics in the year prior to deregistration. Models (1) to (4) and (7) include all deregistering firms with data on each firm characteristic. Model (5) (Model (6)) is estimated for firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (after Rule 12h-6). Model (8) (Model (9)) is estimated for firms with a positive (negative) financing deficit. The Rule 12h-6 dummy equals one for firms that deregistered after Rule 12h-6. Other variable definitions are in Table A.i in the paper. *t*-statistics are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. ^^ indicates that the positive SOX CAR is significantly different from the negative SOX CAR at the 5% level. #, ##, and ### indicate statistical significance for a chi-squared test that tests whether the coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples (Models (5) and (6)) or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples (Models (8) and (9)). "Chi-squared" indicates the joint test that all coefficients are equal between the pre-Rule 12h-6 and Rule 12h-6 samples or between the positive and negative financing deficit samples.

| | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Constant | -0.1635 | -0.8446 | -0.2079 | -0.1810 | 0.0099 | -0.2830 | -0.1929 | -0.0598 | -0.3441 |
| | $(1.88)^*$ | (4.13)*** | $(2.22)^{**}$ | $(1.95)^*$ | (0.04) | (0.94) | $(1.97)^*$ | (0.40) | (2.82)*** |
| Sales growth | 0.0006 | -0.0602 | -0.0095 | -0.0088 | -0.0049 | -0.0326 | -0.0309 | -0.0165 | -0.0245 |
| | (0.03) | (1.14) | (0.57) | (0.54) | (0.23) | (1.15) | $(1.84)^*$ | (0.93) | (0.57) |
| Financing deficit | -0.1317 | -0.1309 | -0.1176 | -0.1159 | -0.1345 | -0.0503 | | | |
| | (3.93)*** | $(1.80)^*$ | $(3.31)^{***}$ | $(3.32)^{***}$ | $(2.83)^{***}$ | (1.11) | | | |
| Log(assets) | 0.0005 | 0.0058 | 0.0006 | -0.0006 | -0.0010 | 0.0024 | 0.0027 | 0.0079 | -0.0022 |
| | (0.21) | (1.19) | (0.25) | (0.26) | (0.22) | (1.14) | (1.15) | $(2.43)^{**}$ | $(0.57)^{##}$ |
| Leverage | 0.0493 | 0.0995 | 0.0401 | 0.0456 | 0.0007 | 0.0448 | 0.0074 | -0.0770 | 0.0963 |
| | $(2.06)^{**}$ | $(1.81)^*$ | $(1.68)^*$ | $(1.93)^*$ | (0.02) | (1.35) | (0.27) | $(2.16)^{**}$ | (3.01)***,### |
| ROA | -0.0055 | -0.0126 | -0.0086 | -0.0078 | 0.0016 | -0.0124 | | -0.0259 | 0.0033 |
| | (0.21) | (0.16) | (0.31) | (0.29) | (0.03) | (0.45) | | (0.77) | (0.06) |
| Ownership | -0.0234 | -0.0079 | -0.0159 | -0.0208 | -0.0254 | -0.0247 | -0.0053 | -0.0028 | -0.0068 |
| | (1.16) | (0.24) | (0.78) | (1.03) | (0.79) | (1.09) | (0.26) | (0.10) | (0.24) |
| Rule 12h-6 dummy | 0.0029 | 0.0146 | -0.0013 | -0.0015 | | | -0.0009 | 0.0204 | -0.0072 |
| | (0.31) | (0.86) | (0.14) | (0.16) | | | (0.10) | (1.45) | (0.53) |
| SOX cost | -0.0025 | -0.0055 | | | | | | | |
| | (0.28) | (0.40) | | | | | | | |
| S&P rating | | -0.0006 | | | | | | | |
| _ | | (0.80) | | | | | | | |
| SOX CAR | | | 0.2780 | | 0.2170 | 0.4658 | 0.0583 | 0.7985 | -0.2109 |
| | | | (0.46) | | (0.19) | (0.73) | (0.09) | (0.96) | (0.25) |
| SOX CAR (positive) | | | | -1.7713 | | | | | |
| • | | | | (1.51) | | | | | |
| SOX CAR (negative) | | | | 1.9126 | | | | | |
| | | | | (1.91)*,^^ | | | | | |
| FCF problem | | | | | | | -0.0164 | | |
| • | | | | | | | (0.57) | | |
| O-score | | | | | | | 0.0434 | | |
| | | | | | | | (0.99) | | |
| Anti-self-dealing | -0.0227 | | -0.0119 | -0.0170 | -0.0347 | 0.0021 | -0.0041 | -0.0179 | 0.0104 |
| <i>y</i> | (1.49) | | (0.78) | (1.12) | (1.48) | (0.11) | (0.26) | (0.79) | (0.49) |
| Stock market cap / GDP | -0.0031 | -0.0100 | -0.0024 | -0.0042 | -0.0032 | -0.0015 | -0.0009 | 0.0047 | -0.0139 |
| Broom marrier cup / GBT | (0.50) | (0.83) | (0.40) | (0.69) | (0.14) | (0.19) | (0.14) | (0.46) | (1.78)* |
| Log(GNP/capita) | 0.0154 | 0.0723 | 0.0193 | 0.0198 | 0.0027 | 0.0231 | 0.0146 | -0.0049 | 0.0358 |
| ==8(31.1, oup.m) | (1.82)* | (3.27)*** | $(2.10)^{**}$ | (2.19)** | (0.11) | (0.80) | (1.53) | (0.34) | (3.02)***,## |
| Chi-square test (p-value) | (02) | (=.=,) | (=.10) | (=/) | 0.82 | | (-100) | | (0.00) |
| Number of observations | 115 | 46 | 106 | 106 | 40 | 66 | 107 | 47 | 59 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.1279 | 0.2824 | 0.0871 | 0.1163 | 0.0747 | 0.0724 | -0.0021 | 0.0726 | 0.1456 |

Table IA.X

Sample of Deregistering Firms

This table provides the list of 141 non-U.S. firms that voluntarily delisted and deregistered between 2002 and 2008. There are 66 firms that deregistered prior to Rule 12h-6 (Panel A) and 75 firms that deregistered using Rule 12h-6 (Panel B).

| Company name | Deregistration | Filing | Announcement | Country of | Home trading market |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| | form type | date | date | domicile | |
| Pa | anel A. Pre-Rule 12 | h-6 Deregiste | ering Firms | | |
| Alliance Atlantis Communications Inc | 15-12G | 9/26/2006 | 9/26/2006 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| AT Plastics Inc | 15-15D | 5/30/2002 | 5/3/2002 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Autonomy Corp PLC | 15-12G | 4/29/2005 | 4/29/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Banco Comercial Portugues SA | 15-12G | 10/16/2003 | 10/16/2003 | Portugal | Euronext Lisbon |
| Banco Totta & Acores SA | 15-12B | 11/21/2003 | 11/21/2003 | Portugal | Euronext Lisbon |
| Baran Group Ltd | 15-12G | 7/9/2004 | 6/25/2004 | Israel | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange |
| Biacore International AB | 15-12G | 12/29/2004 | 12/29/2004 | Sweden | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Cable & Wireless PLC | 15-12B | 6/9/2006 | 9/19/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| BE Semiconductor Industries NV | 15-12G | 1/8/2007 | 12/13/2006 | Netherlands | Euronext Amersdam |
| Colt Telecom Group PLC | 15-12G | 6/19/2006 | 2/3/2006 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Completel Europe NV | 15-12G | 10/17/2003 | 5/27/2002 | Netherlands | Euronext Paris |
| Controladora Comercial Mexicana SA de CV | 15-15D | 12/8/2006 | 10/20/2006 | Mexico | Mexican Stock Exchange |
| Cristalerias de Chile SA (Glassworks of Chile) | 15-12B | 7/15/2005 | 4/19/2005 | Chile | Santiago Stock Exchange |
| Datamirror Corp | 15-12G | 3/1/2006 | 11/1/2005 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Dectrol Internationale | 15-12G | 2/28/2007 | 2/28/2007 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Desc SA de CV | 15-12B | 1/6/2005 | 10/20/2004 | Mexico | Mexican Stock Exchange |
| Dialog Semiconductor PLC | 15-12G | 2/7/2007 | 11/1/2006 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| E Machitown Co Ltd (formerly Crayfish Co) | 15-12G | 5/23/2005 | 8/22/2003 | Japan | Mothers Market (Tokyo Stock Exchange |
| Eimo OYJ | 15-12G | 12/13/2002 | 12/13/2002 | Finland | Helsinki Stock Exchange |
| Elamex SA de CV | 15-12G | 1/30/2006 | 1/27/2006 | Mexico | None |
| Enodis PLC (formerly Berisford) | 15-12B | 8/2/2005 | 5/16/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Espirito Santo Financial Group SA | 15-15D | 5/25/2006 | 4/28/2006 | Luxembourg | Euronext Lisbon |
| Esprit Energy Trust (formerly Esprit Exploration; Canadian 88 Energy) | 15-12B | 9/23/2002 | 9/20/2002 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Corp Ltd (formerly Fisher & Paykel Industries) | 15-12G | 2/28/2003 | 12/5/2002 | New Zealand | New Zealand Stock Exchange |
| Fletcher Building Ltd (formerly Fletcher Challenge Building) | 15-12B | 12/17/2002 | 12/17/2002 | New Zealand | New Zealand Stock Exchange |
| FNX Mining Company Inc (formerly Fort Knox Gold Resources) | 15-12B | 6/14/2006 | 5/23/2006 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Group Iusacell SA de CV | 15-15D | 9/14/2006 | 9/14/2006 | Mexico | Mexican Stock Exchange |
| Hot Cable Systems Media Ltd (formerly Matav-Cable Systems Media) | 15-12G | 6/30/2006 | 2/24/2005 | Israel | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange |
| Icos Vision Systems Corp NV | 15-12G | 11/6/2006 | 10/26/2006 | Belgium | Euronext Brussels |
| Incam AG | 15-12G | 7/11/2003 | 7/11/2003 | Germany | OTC (Berliner Freiverkehr) |
| Inficon Holding AG | 15-12G | 9/8/2005 | 9/8/2005 | Switzerland | SWX Swiss Exchange |
| Internacional de Ceramica SA de CV | 15-15D | 2/2/2005 | 9/8/2004 | Mexico | Mexican Stock Exchange |
| Intershop Communications AG | 15-12G | 3/26/2004 | 10/30/2003 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| ITO-Yokado Co Ltd | 15-12G | 4/6/2004 | 4/9/2003 | Japan | Tokyo Stock Exchange |

| Company name | Deregistration form type | Filing date | Announcement date | Country of domicile | Home trading market |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Panel A. Pre-Rule 12 | h-6 Deregiste | ering Firms | | |
| Lastminute.com PLC | 15-12G | 11/24/2004 | 7/15/2004 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Leitch Technology Corp | 15-12G | 6/27/2005 | 6/27/2005 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton | 15-15D | 3/8/2004 | 3/8/2004 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Metro International SA | 15-12G | 12/23/2003 | 12/11/2003 | Luxembourg | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Mintails (formerly Gaming and Ent. Group; Trans Global Interactive) | 15-12G | 3/3/2003 | 3/3/2003 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| Mitchells & Butlers PLC | 15-12B | 8/24/2006 | 4/13/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Modern Times Group MTG AB | 15-15D | 12/23/2003 | 12/17/2003 | Sweden | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Pioneer Corp (formerly Pioneer Electronic) | 15-12B | 12/18/2006 | 12/18/2006 | Japan | Tokyo Stock Exchange |
| Polyair Inter Pack Inc | 15-12G | 3/12/2007 | 2/20/2007 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Premier Farnell PLC (formerly Farnell Electronics) | 15-12B | 7/1/2005 | 12/9/2004 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Provalis PLC (formerly Cortecs) | 15-15D | 12/15/2005 | 2/24/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| QSC AG | 15-12G | 5/13/2003 | 5/13/2003 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Quinenco SA | 15-12B | 4/24/2007 | 11/16/2006 | Chile | Santiago Stock Exchange |
| Rank Group PLC | 15-12G | 4/6/2006 | 7/1/2005 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Regus Group PLC | 15-12G | 5/17/2004 | 11/6/2002 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Riverdeep Group PLC | 15-12G | 10/16/2002 | 10/17/2002 | Ireland | Irish Stock Exchange |
| Robogroup TEK Ltd (formerly Eshed Robotec 1982) | 15-12G | 9/8/2005 | 8/4/2005 | Israel | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange |
| RSA Insurance Group PLC (formerly Royal & Sun Alliance Group) | 15-15D | 1/8/2007 | 9/28/2006 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| SAES Getters SPA | 15-12G | 5/8/2003 | 5/8/2003 | Italy | Italian Stock Exchange |
| Scania AB | 15-15D | 1/29/2003 | 1/29/2003 | Sweden | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| SYGNIS Pharma AG (formerly Lion Bioscience) | 15-12G | 5/19/2005 | 8/5/2004 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Tele2 AB (formerly Netcom AB) | 15-12G | 6/29/2006 | 6/30/2006 | Sweden | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Tenon Ltd (formerly Fletcher Challenge Forests) | 15-12G | 6/9/2005 | 6/10/2005 | New Zealand | New Zealand Stock Exchange |
| Toll NZ Ltd (formerly Tranz Rail Holdings) | 15-12G | 10/28/2002 | 10/25/2002 | New Zealand | Australian Stock Exchange |
| Trader Classified Media NV (formerly Trader.com) | 15-12G | 12/19/2002 | 12/18/2002 | Netherlands | Euronext Paris |
| Tradus (formerly QXL Ricardo PLC) | 15-12G | 3/31/2003 | 2/26/2003 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Transcom Worldwide SA | 15-12G | 5/28/2003 | 5/14/2003 | Luxembourg | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Transgene SA | 15-15D | 4/3/2006 | 10/12/2005 | France | Euronext Paris |
| United Business Media PLC (formerly United News and Media) | 15-12G | 2/2/2006 | 12/22/2004 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Vero Software PLC (formerly VI Group) | 15-12G | 5/12/2004 | 5/12/2004 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Vivendi SA (formerly Vivendi Universal) | 15-12B | 10/31/2006 | 1/17/2006 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Wescast Industries Inc | 15-12G | 7/1/2005 | 6/16/2005 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |

| Company name | Deregistration | Filing | Announcement | Country of | Home trading market |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 1 7 | form type | date | date | domicile | <u> </u> |
| | Panel B. Rule 12h- | 6 Deregisteri | ng Firms | | |
| Acambis PLC (formerly Peptide Therapeutics) | 15F-12G | 6/7/2007 | 9/13/2006 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Air France-KLM | 15F-12B | 2/7/2008 | 11/22/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Adecco SA | 15F-12G | 6/5/2007 | 4/11/2007 | Switzerland | SWX Swiss Exchange |
| Akzo Nobel NV | 15F-12G | 9/28/2007 | 7/24/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| Altana | 15F-12G | 6/21/2007 | 4/26/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Amcor Ltd | 15F-12G | 6/4/2007 | 5/2/2007 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| Ansell Ltd | 15F-12G | 6/5/2007 | 5/4/2006 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| Arcadis NV (formerly Heidemij NV) | 15F-12G | 6/9/2008 | 5/16/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| Atlas South Sea Pearl LTD (formerly Atlas Pacific) | 15F-12G | 6/30/2008 | 7/11/2007 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| Australia & New Zealand Banking Group Ltd | 15F-12G | 7/13/2007 | 6/20/2007 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| BASF AG | 15F-12B | 9/6/2007 | 7/30/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Bayer AG | 15F-12B | 9/28/2007 | 9/5/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Benetton Group SPA | 15F-12B | 10/22/2007 | 9/12/2007 | Italy | Italian Stock Exchange |
| BG Group PLC | 15F-12B | 9/21/2007 | 7/25/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| British Airways PLC | 15F-12B | 6/5/2007 | 4/25/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Bunzl PLC | 15F-12B | 6/6/2007 | 5/1/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Canwest Global Communications Corp | 15F-12B | 6/13/2007 | 5/11/2007 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Ciba Specialty Chemicals Holding Inc. | 15F-12D | 7/20/2007 | 6/26/2007 | Switzerland | SWX Swiss Exchange |
| Dassault Systemes SA | 15F-12G | 10/16/2008 | 7/31/2008 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Dorel Industries Inc | 15F-12G | 4/1/2008 | 4/1/2008 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Ducati Motor Holding SPA | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 5/14/2007 | Italy | Italian Stock Exchange |
| E On AG | 15F-12B | 9/10/2007 | 8/21/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| EDP Energias De Portugal SA | 15F-12B | 6/7/2007 | 5/18/2007 | Portugal | Euronext Lisbon |
| Enel SPA | 15F-12B | 12/20/2007 | 11/29/2007 | Italy | Italian Stock Exchange |
| EPCOS AG | 15F-15D | 11/30/2007 | 11/8/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Extendicare REIT (formerly Extendicare Inc) | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 6/4/2007 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Fiat SPA | 15F-12B | 8/3/2007 | 8/3/2007 | Italy | Italian Stock Exchange |
| Genesys SA | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 5/10/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Groupe Danone | 15F-12B | 7/5/2007 | 4/26/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Imperial Tobacco Group PLC | 15F-12B | 9/12/2008 | 7/24/2008 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Infovista SA | 15F-12G | 6/25/2007 | 6/25/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| International Power PLC (formerly National Power) | 15F-12B | 6/28/2007 | 6/6/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Koor Industries Ltd | 15F-12B | 2/11/2008 | 5/14/2007 | Israel | Tel Aviv Stock Exchange |
| KPN NV (Royal KPN) | 15F-12B | 4/4/2008 | 12/17/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| Lafarge | 15F-12B | 9/24/2007 | 8/2/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| LMS Medical Systems Inc | 15F-12B | 6/6/2008 | 6/4/2008 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |

| Company name | Deregistration | K, continued Filing | Announcement | Country of | Home trading market |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| | form type Panel B. Rule 12h- | date 6 Deregisteri | date ing Firms | domicile | |
| Macronix International Ltd | 15F-12G | 10/29/2007 | 9/21/2007 | Taiwan | Taiwan Stock Exchange |
| MASISA SA (formerly Terranova) | 15F-12B | 3/13/2008 | 2/20/2008 | Chile | Santiago Stock Exchange |
| Meldex International PLC (formerly Bioprogress) | 15F-12G | 6/18/2007 | 5/29/2007 | U.K. | AIM (London Stock Exchange) |
| Metso Corp (formerly Valmet-Rauma) | 15F-12B | 9/17/2007 | 7/26/2007 | Finland | OMX Nordic Exchange |
| Millea Holdings Inc (formerly (Tokyo Marine and Fire) | 15F-12G | 7/30/2007 | 7/5/2007 | Japan | Tokyo Stock Exchange |
| Mirae Corporation | 15F-12G | 5/20/2008 | 3/7/2008 | Korea | Korea Exchange (KRX) |
| Naspers Ltd | 15F-15D | 6/8/2007 | 5/17/2007 | South Africa | Johannesburg Stock Exchange |
| National Australia Bank Ltd | 15F-12B | 6/21/2007 | 5/10/2007 | Australia | Australian Stock Exchange |
| National Telephone Co of Venezuela (CANTV) | 15F-12B | 6/30/2008 | 5/17/2007 | Venezuela | Caracas Stock Exchange |
| NIS Group (formerly Nissin Company) | 15F-12G | 8/8/2008 | 7/14/2008 | Japan | Tokyo Stock Exchange |
| Norsk Hydro ASA | 15F-12B | 11/29/2007 | 10/22/2007 | Norway | Oslo Stock Exchange |
| Oce NV (formerly Oce Van Der Grinten) | 15F-12G | 6/29/2007 | 6/29/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| PCCW Ltd (formerly Pacific Century Cyberworks) | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 4/27/2007 | Hong Kong | Hong Kong Stock Exchange |
| Petroleum Geo Services | 15F-12B | 7/20/2007 | 6/26/2007 | Norway | Oslo Stock Exchange |
| Pfeiffer Vacuum Technology AG | 15F-12B | 10/4/2007 | 8/30/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Publicis Groupe SA | 15F-12B | 9/7/2007 | 9/7/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Rhodia | 15F-12B | 9/28/2007 | 7/31/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Royal Ahold NV | 15F-12B | 9/28/2007 | 8/29/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| SCOR | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 4/3/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| SGL Carbon AG | 15F-12B | 6/26/2008 | 3/26/2007 | Germany | Frankfurt Stock Exchange |
| Skyepharma PLC | 15F-12G | 6/4/2007 | 5/3/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Sodexho Alliance SA | 15F-12B | 7/16/2007 | 5/30/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Spirent Communications PLC | 15F-12B | 6/5/2007 | 3/1/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Stolt Nielsen SA | 15F-12G | 5/28/2008 | 5/28/2008 | U.K. | Oslo Stock Exchange |
| Stora Enso Corp | 15F-12B | 1/7/2008 | 12/6/2007 | Finland | OMX Nordic Exchange |
| Suez (formerly Suez Lyonnaise Des Eaux) | 15F-12B | 9/21/2007 | 8/29/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Swisscom AG | 15F-12B | 9/4/2007 | 7/6/2007 | Switzerland | SWX Swiss Exchange |
| Technip | 15F-12B | 8/6/2007 | 7/25/2007 | France | Euronext Paris |
| Telekom Austria AG | 15F-12B | 6/5/2007 | 4/24/2007 | Austria | Vienna Stock Exchange |
| Telenor ASA | 15F-12G | 6/12/2007 | 5/22/2007 | Norway | Oslo Stock Exchange |
| Telstra Corp Ltd | 15F-12B | 6/4/2007 | 3/28/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| TNT NV (formerly TPG NV) | 15F-12B | 6/18/2007 | 5/29/2007 | Netherlands | Euronext Amsterdam |
| Trend Micro Inc | 15F-12G | 6/27/2007 | 4/26/2007 | Japan | Tokyo Stock Exchange |
| United Utilities PLC | 15F-12B | 6/25/2007 | 5/30/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| UPM Kymmene Corp | 15F-12B | 12/6/2007 | 10/30/2007 | Finland | OMX Nordic Exchange |
| Vernalis PLC (formerly British Biotech) | 15F-12G | 6/4/2007 | 4/24/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |
| Volvo AB | 15F-12G | 12/13/2007 | 6/14/2007 | Sweden | Stockholm Stock Exchange |
| Westaim Corp | 15F-12G | 10/21/2008 | 10/20/2008 | Canada | Toronto Stock Exchange |
| Wolseley PLC | 15F-12B | 1/2/2008 | 12/11/2007 | U.K. | London Stock Exchange |

Table IA.XI Firms Excluded From the Final Sample

This table provides the list of non-U.S. firms that deregistered with the SEC, but are excluded from the sample. Prior to Rule 12h-6, we exclude 26 firms that delisted / deregistered between 2002 and March 21, 2007. The table also lists 11 firms that delisted and deregistered prior to SOX and seven firms that delisted prior to SOX and deregistered because they were acquired or we could not verify deregistration. After Rule 12h-6 we exclude 128 firms.

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|--|---|
| | Panel A. Pre-Rule 12h-6 Period |
| Certicom Corp | Announced voluntary delisting and deregistration on June 12, 2002. The firm also announced a large loss and restructuring plan on that date. On June 18, the firm received notice from NASDAQ that it would be delisted. |
| Datalex PLC | Announced voluntary delisting and there is no direct evidence that NASDAQ was going to delist the firm. However, a news article mentions that the firm's stock price was below \$1 and that the firm was in the midst of a major restructuring plan. |
| Grupo Elektra SA de CV | Announced voluntary delisting and there is no direct evidence the firm had to leave. However, the firm's controlling shareholder faced charges brought by the SEC and the SEC was considering whether to prohibit the controlling shareholder from managing any publicly traded firms on U.S. markets. |
| Grupo IMSA SA de CV | Announced voluntary delisting and there is no direct evidence the firm had to leave. However, the firm's controlling shareholder faced charges brought by the SEC and the SEC was considering whether to prohibit the controlling shareholder from managing any publicly traded firms on U.S. markets. |
| IFCO Systems NV | Announced voluntary delisting, but prior to the announcement the firm had received notice from NASDAQ that its shares were subject to delisting as a result of the failure of the bid price of its ordinary shares to close at the minimum \$1 per share for the period required under NASDAO rules. |
| Song Networks Holding AB (formerly Tele1 Europe) | Announced voluntary delisting, but prior to the announcement the firm received warning from NASDAQ that if the value of the firm's depositary receipts did not permanently rise above \$1 the firm would be delisted. |
| Tatneft OAO | Announced voluntary delisting in 2006. However, in 2004 the firm did not submit its annual report (Form 20F) to the SEC. The firm was eventually given until July 2006 to submit the 20F to the SEC. It delisted in June 2006. |
| Telent PLC (formerly Marconi Corp) | Announced voluntary delisting, but the announcement coincided with the firm's proposed sale of the majority of its telecommunications equipment and international services businesses. |
| TV Azteca SA de CV | Announced voluntary delisting and there is no direct evidence the firm had to leave. However, the firm's controlling shareholder faced charges brought by the SEC and the SEC was considering whether to prohibit the controlling shareholder from managing any publicly traded firms on U.S. markets. |
| Baltimore PLC (formerly Baltimore Technologies) | Deregistered after SOX, but delisted prior to SOX; deregistered more than two years after delisting. |
| Cinram Income Fund (formerly Cinram International) | Deregistered after SOX, but delisted prior to SOX; deregistered more than two years after delisting. |
| Quebecor Inc | Deregistered after SOX, but delisted prior to SOX; deregistered more than two years after delisting. |
| Hilan Tech Ltd (formerly Teleweb Telegraph Comm) | Deregistered after SOX, but delisted prior to SOX; deregistered more than two years after delisting; not in Worldscope. |
| SKF Inc | Delisted in 2003, but deregistered under Rule 12h-6. |
| Swedish Match Corp | Delisted in 2004, but deregistered under Rule 12h-6. |
| Telefonica Del Peru SAA | Delisted in 2004, but deregistered under Rule 12h-6. |
| Teliasonera AB | Delisted in 2004, but deregistered under Rule 12h-6. |
| Electrolux AB | Delisted in 2005, but deregistered under Rule 12h-6. |
| Nera ASA | Deregistered more than two years after delisting. |
| Virgin Express Holdings PLC | Deregistered more than two years after delisting. |
| Carmel Container Systems | Not in Worldscope. |
| Electrochemical Industries (1952) Ltd (formerly Electrochem Industries Frutarom) Liquidation World Inc | Voluntarily delisted in 2002; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or on Thomson Research to verify deregistration; in 2004, three Israeli banks filed for Electrochemical Industries to be placed in receivership. Voluntarily delisted in 2003 and does not file anymore; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration. |

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|---|---|
| | Panel A. Pre-Rule 12h-6 Period |
| Boardwalk Real REIT (formerly Boardwalk Equities) | Voluntarily delisted in 2004 and does not file anymore; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration. |
| CSK Corp (formerly CSK Holdings) | Voluntarily delisted in 2005 and does not file anymore; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration. |
| Kirin Brewery Company Ltd | Voluntarily delisted in 2006 and does not file anymore; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration. |
| Aegis Group PLC | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Docdata NV | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Ecsoft Group PLC | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| EPI Holdings (formerly Great Wall Cybertech / Electronic) | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Grupo Movil Access SA de CV (formerly BIPER) | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Israel Land Development Company Ltd | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX |
| Mid-States PLC | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Premium Brands Inc (formerly Fletcher Fine Foods) | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Sky Network Television Ltd | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget (SCA) | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Virgin Group PLC | Voluntarily delisted and deregistered prior to SOX. |
| Norcen Energy Resources Ltd | Voluntarily delisted in 1994; acquired by Union Pacific Resources Inc in 1998 and subsequently deregistered the securities. |
| English China Clays PLC (formerly ECC Group) | Voluntarily delisted in 1997; press release states that it applied to the SEC to deregister its ordinary shares; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration; acquired by Imetal (now Imerys) in 1999. |
| Nord Pacific Ltd | Voluntarily delisted in 1998; acquired by Allied Gold in 2004 and subsequently deregistered the securities. |
| Rigel Energy Corp (formerly Total Canada Oil & Gas) | Voluntarily delisted in 1998; acquired by Talisman in 1999 and subsequently deregistered the securities. |
| Ramco Energy PLC | Voluntarily delisted in 2000 and does not file anymore; we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or Thomson Research to verify deregistration. |
| Russel Metals Inc (formerly Federal Industries) | Voluntarily delisted in 2000 and said it would deregister 90 days later, but we cannot find a Form 15 on Edgar or on Thomson Research to verify deregistration; continued filing with the SEC in connection with U.S. registered senior notes until it deregistered them in 2007. |
| Interactive Investor International PLC (formerly III) | Voluntarily delisted in 2001; was acquired by AMP later in 2001 and subsequently deregistered the securities. |

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|---|--|
| | Panel B. Rule 12h-6 Period |
| Hanaro Telecom Inc | Announced voluntary delisting and there is direct evidence that the firm was forced to leave. There is a reference in news articles in 2006 that it had not been doing well and was restructuring. A subsequent news article in 2008 mentions that the firm was forced to leave. |
| ASE Test Ltd | Acquired by ASE Inc and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Arizona Star Resource Corp | Acquired by Barrick Gold and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Bayer Schering Pharma AG | Acquired by Bayer and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Xenova Group PLC | Acquired by Celtic Pharma Development and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| QUILMES Industrial SA | Acquired by Companhia de Bebidas das Americas (AmBev) (now Anheuser-Busch InBev) and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Northern Peru Copper Corp | Acquired by Copper Bridge Acquisition Corp and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Hawthorne Gold Corp | Acquired by Cusac Gold Mines Ltd and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Inco Ltd | Acquired by CVRD and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Pacific Asia China Energy Inc | Acquired by GREKA China Ltd and GREKA Acquisitions Ltd and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Hanson Building Materials PLC (formerly Hanson) | Acquired by HeidelbergCement AG and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Novatel Inc | Acquired by Hexagon Canada Acquisition Inc and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Scottish Power PLC | Acquired by Iberdrola and deregistered as a result of the takeover; also deregistered debt securities. |
| Tyler Resources Inc | Acquired by Jinchuan Group and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Merck Serono SA | Acquired by Merck KGaA and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| TDC A/S | Acquired by Nordic Telephone Company and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| CDG Investments Inc | Acquired by Preo Software and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Fortel Inc | Acquired by QuStream and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Action Energy Inc | Acquired by Rolling Thunder and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| SCOR Holding Ltd (formerly Converium Holding) | Acquired by SCOR and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Corporate Express NV (formerly Buhrman NV) | Acquired by Staples and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| ECI Telecom Ltd | Acquired by Swarth Group and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Novamerican Steel Inc | Acquired by Symmetry Holdings Inc and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Embratel Participacoes SA | Acquired by Telefonos de Mexico and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Protherics PLC | Acquired by Therapeutic Antibodies Inc and deregistered as a result of the takeover. |
| Breakwater Resources Ltd | Delisted by NASDAQ for violating listing standards; moved to the OTC market and subsequently deregistered. |
| SR Telecom Inc | Delisted by NASDAQ for violating listing standards; moved to the OTC market and subsequently deregistered. |
| Petsec Energy Ltd | Delisted by the NYSE for violating listing standards; moved to the OTC market and subsequently deregistered. |
| Unimarc Supermarkets Inc | Delisted by the NYSE for violating listing standards; moved to the OTC market and subsequently deregistered. |
| Alstom | Delisted by the NYSE for violating listing standards; previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities. |
| British Energy Group PLC | Delisted by the NYSE for violating listing standards; previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities. |
| Electrolux AB | Deregistered under Rule 12h-6, but delisted prior to Rule 12h-6 period; delisted more than two years before deregistration. |
| SKF Inc | Deregistered under Rule 12h-6, but delisted prior to Rule 12h-6 period; delisted more than two years before deregistration. |
| Swedish Match Corp | Deregistered under Rule 12h-6, but delisted prior to Rule 12h-6 period; delisted more than two years before deregistration. |
| Telefonica Del Peru SAA | Deregistered under Rule 12h-6, but delisted prior to Rule 12h-6 period; delisted more than two years before deregistration. |
| Teliasonera AB | Deregistered under Rule 12h-6, but delisted prior to Rule 12h-6 period; delisted more than two years before deregistration. |

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|---|---|
| | Panel B. Rule 12h-6 Period |
| Aerco Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| AES Gener Inc | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Ainsworth Lumber Co Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Aurelia Energy NV | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| BELL Canada | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Camboriu Cable System de Telecomunicacoes Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Cemex, SAB de CV | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Commercial Cable TV Sao Paulo Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Concordia Bus AB | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Concordia Bus Finland OY AB | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Concordia Bus Nordic AB | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Concordia Bus Nordic Holding AB | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Gracechurch Card Funding No 6 PLC | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Hanson Australia Funding Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Jean Coutu Group (PJC) Inc | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Kowloon Canton Railway Corp | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| MTR Corp LTD | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Norbord Inc | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| OSLO Challenger PLC | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| OSLO Explorer PLC | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| OSLO Seismic Services Inc | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| PGS Geophysical AS | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Russel Metals Inc | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Smurfit Kappa Funding PLC | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Tevecap SA | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| TVA Communications Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| TVA Parana Ltd | Deregistered debt securities only. |
| Shaw Communications Inc | Deregistered preferred shares only; common shares are still listed on the NYSE. |
| Third Century Bancorp | Filing under Rule 12g-4(a), a pre-existing Rule; the Rule change has no bearing on the decision to deregister. |
| ACE Aviation Holdings Inc. | Holding company from Air Canada court-supervised restructuring; was terminated and distributed its assets to shareholders. |
| Intesa Sanpaolo SPA | Merger between Intesa and Sanpaolo IMI; Sanpaolo IMI's securities were deregistered as a result of the merger. |
| East Energy Corp (fomerly Gobi Gold) | Never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Gemalto NV | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Gemplus (registered); subsequently deregistered. |
| Coolbrands International Inc | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Integrated Brands (registered); subsequently deregistered. |
| Genterra Inc | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Mirtronics (registered); subsequently deregistered. |
| BTG PLC | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Protherics; subsequently deregistered. |
| Iberdrola SA | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Scottish Power; subsequently deregistered. |
| Vecima Networks Inc | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquiring Spectrum Signal Processing (registered); subsequently deregistered. |

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|--|--|
| Sopheon PLC (formerly Polydoc) | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; successor registrant after acquired Teltech (registered); subsequently deregistered. |
| Telecom Italia Media SPA | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; acquired Tin.it (owned by Telecom Italia) and offered ordinary shares to Telecom Italia's shareholders in the US; subsequently deregistered the securities. |
| Arcelor Brasil (formerly Belgo-Mineira Steel) | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; merger between Belgo-Mineira, Companhia Siderurgica de Tubarao, and Vega do Sul. |
| Daiichi Sankyo Company Ltd | Never listed on a U.S. exchange; merger between Daiichi Pharmaceutical and Sankyo Company. |
| ETZ Lavud Ltd | No data in Worldscope. |
| Eurotrust A/S | No data in Worldscope or Datastream. |
| Advanced Proteome Therapeutics Corp | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Alamos Gold Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Atlanta Gold Inc (formerly Twin Mining) | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Berkley Resources Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Candente Resource Corp | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| CLP Holdings Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Commonwealth Bank Of Australia | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Crew Gold Corp | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| El Nino Ventures Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Euro Disney SCA | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Evolving Gold Corp | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Farallon Resources Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Gentry Resources Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Guildhall Minerals Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Halo Resources Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| J Pacific Gold Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Kirkland Lake Gold Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Lund Gold Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| OSI Geospatial Inc (formerly Offshore Systems Int'l) | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| PivX Solutions Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Resin Systems Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Rolling Thunder Exploration Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Sonic Technology Solutions Inc | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Southwestern Resources Corp | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Vannessa Ventures Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Wealth Minerals Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Zoloto Resources Ltd | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange. |
| Cookson Group PLC | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange; previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities. |
| Pernod Ricard SA | OTC listed, but never listed on a U.S. exchange; previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities. |
| Autonomy Corp PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Cable & Wireless PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |

| Excluded firms | Reason for exclusion |
|--|--|
| Panel B. Rule 12h-6 Period | |
| Cinram International Income Fund (formerly Cinram Int'l) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities. |
| Colt Telecom Group PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Dialog Semiconductor PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Enodis PLC (formerly Berisford) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Fletcher Building Ltd (formerly Fletcher Challenge) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| ICOS Vision Systems Corp NV | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Mitchells & Butlers PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| OAO Tatneft | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Premier Farnell PLC (formerly Farnell Electronics) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Rank Group PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| RSA Insurance Group PLC (formerly Royal & Sun) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Tenon Ltd (formerly Fletcher Challenge Forests) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| United Business Media PLC | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Vivendi SA (formerly Vivendi Universal) | Previously filed form 15 and deregistered the subject securities (included in Pre-Rule 12h-6 sample). |
| Imperial Chemical Industries PLC | Received and rejected a takeover bid just prior to Form 15F filing; subsequently acquired by Akzo Nobel NV. |
| Havas SA | Received notice of non-compliance with listing standards from NASDAQ and then announced voluntary delisting; previously filed Form 15. |
| Coles Group Ltd (formerly Coles Myer) | Voluntarily delisted from the NYSE in 2006; became an acquisition target prior to deregistration; subsequently acquired by Wesfarmers. |